Appendix 2: Forage fishes in the Gulf of Alaska

Olav A. Ormseth and Johanna Vollenweider NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center

Executive summary

The "forage fish category" in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) Fishery Management Plan (FMP) includes over fifty species ranging from smelts to euphasiids. These are species that have been identified as important ecosystem components, mainly as prey for other fishes, seabirds, and marine mammals. Directed fishing for forage fishes is prohibited under the FMP, and retention of incidental catches of forage fishes is managed under a maximum retention allowance. Therefore, Annual Catch Limits (ACLs) are not estimated for the forage fish complex. The intent of this document is to review current information on forage fishes and identify future assessment needs. Data on the abundance, distribution, and life histories of forage fish species are still extremely limited. For 2007, the GOA Plan Team requested only a short summary and we only include information on two of the most important forage fish species, capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) and eulachon (*Thaleichthys pacificus*). We also depart from the usual SAFE report format.

Capelin

Capelin is a small (max. length 20 cm) pelagic forage fish distributed throughout the coastal GOA. They are particularly abundant in nearshore areas and in the vicinity of large canyons off the coast of Kodiak Island. Capelin has an entirely marine life cycle, spawning in intertidal areas. There are currently no directed fisheries for capelin in the GOA, and most of the incidental catch of capelin in Alaska occurs in the pollock fisheries (Table 1). Biomass estimates for GOA capelin are highly uncertain. Neither the gear or the sampling location of the AFSC bottom trawl survey are optimized for capelin, and survey biomass estimates (Table 2) are almost certainly low and unreliable. Echo-integration trawl (EIT) surveys conducted by the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) resulted in a capelin biomass estimate of 116,000 t in 2003 (Table 3). Capelin biomass estimates from AFSC mass-balance ecosystem models are even higher, at approximately 2 million tons (Table 3). Given the ubiquity of capelin in predator diets it is likely that this latter estimate is a better reflection of capelin biomass in the GOA, but it is clear that reconciling these estimates is critical for monitoring the interaction between capelin and the ecosystem.

Eulachon

Eulachon is slightly larger than capelin (max. length 34 cm) and spawns in freshwater, usually in large glacial river systems with high discharge. The oceanic distribution of eulachon is not well known but it is well-represented in AFSC bottom trawl surveys (Table 2) and is caught incidentally in large numbers (Table 3), primarily in the pollock fisheries. As is the case for Pacific salmon species, the anadromous nature of eulachon potentially exposes it to additional human impacts including habitat disruption. Eulachon are also highly desired as a subsistence food item and because of their high lipid content were historically used as a source of oil for food and light. Subsistence and personal use fisheries in Alaska still remove large amounts of eulachon in southcentral and southeast Alaska. The scale of these removals is unclear. For example, in 2003 the reported personal use harvest (based on sportfish license-holder surveys) of eulachon in the 20-Mile River was 4.6 t, while a simultaneous creel survey estimated a 20-Mile River harvest of 14.9 t (Table 4). In addition, there are no reporting requirements for federallymanaged subsistence fisheries. There are directed fisheries in Alaska state waters for eulachon in Upper Cook Inlet, the Copper River area, and in southeast Alaska. There has been little commercial activity in recent years, due to either lack of interest or closures resulting from concerns over diminished spawning runs, but there is potential for substantial amounts of harvest. For example, the annual harvest quota for the Copper River is 272 t, although this fishery is closely monitored as a test fishery and the quota is adjusted based on run strength.

Eulachon biomass estimates are uncertain. Biomass estimates of the Copper River run in 2001, based on larval abundance, were between 2,637 t and 8,108 t depending on assumptions regarding levels of river discharge. This resulted in an estimated range of directed commercial fishery exploitation rates of 0.87-2.99%. In Berners Bay in southeast Alaska, peak abundance during the 2002 spawning run was 139 t although it is unclear how this corresponded to total biomass for that run. Eulachon run strength also appears to fluctuate dramatically among years. The return of spawning eulachon in the Unuk River in southeast Alaska has been extremely low since 2004 and runs in British Columbia rivers have also been weak in recent years. These declines have yet to be explained, but in British Columbia three possible causes have been identified: offshore fishery bycatch, excessive directed fishing, and freshwater habitat destruction.

Tables

<u>Table 1</u>. Fishery incidental catch of capelin and eulachon. Data from the Catch Accounting System at the Alaska Regional Office.

year	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007*
total catch					
capelin (t)	6.2	68.0	2.8	0.1	0.0
eulachon (t)	18.1	169.0	848.1	398.9	162.7

* 2007 estimates as of October 5, 2007.

Table 2. AFSC GOA bottom trawl survey biomass estimates for capelin and eulachon

capelin biomass (t)				eulachon biomass (t)					
	western	central	Eastern	gulfwide		western	central	eastern	gulfwide
1990	0	136	14	151	1990	453	19,043	8,493	27,988
1993	2	46	76	124	1993	2,553	24,172	8,278	35,003
1996	5	718	755	1,479	1996	1,444	26,470	4,334	32,248
1999	34	102	106	241	1999	438	11,665	2,587	14,690
2001	4	275		279	2001	2,867	49,061		51,928
2003	18	7,272	298	7,588	2003	1,610	94,991	16,882	113,482
2005	2	428	586	1,015	2005	195	40,796	14,080	55,071
2007	29	631	125	785	2007	1,126	41,184	9,486	51,796

Table 3. Comparison of 2003 capelin biomass estimates in the GOA.

Method	estimated biomass (t)
bottom trawl survey	7,588
EIT survey ¹	115,978
mass-balance model ²	2,014,309

¹Guttormsen, M. A., and P. T. Yasenak. 2007. AFSC Processed Rep. 2007-04, NOAA/NMFS. ²S. Gaichas, AFSC, pers. comm.

						Unuk	
	20-Mile	20-Mile				River	total
	River	River creel	statewide	Upper	Copper	subsistence	minimum
	reported	survey	reported	Cook Inlet	River	and	state-
	personal	personal	personal	directed	directed	personal	waters
	use	use	use	fishery	fishery	use catch	harvest
1978				0.1			0.1
1980				1.8			1.8
1986	7.4						7.4
1987	7.9						7.9
1988	8.4						8.4
1989	6.2						6.2
1990	8.0						8.0
1991	4.2						4.2
1992	2.6						2.6
1993	1.8						1.8
1994	3.0		6.4				6.4
1995	2.0		3.2				3.2
1996	1.3		3.7				3.7
1997	2.3		4.6				4.6
1998	2.0		4.8	8.6	78.3		91.7
1999	2.7		6.5	45.5	no fishery		51.9
2000	0.8		4.7		59.2		63.9
2001	2.2		5.1		71	8.5	76.1
2002	4.6	14.9	5.8		no fishery	2.1	5.8
2003	2.2		4.7		no fishery	8.4	4.7
2004	0.6		4.5		16.7	0.7	21.2
2005	0.5				no fishery	no fishery	0.0
2006				41.3	no fishery	no fishery	41.3

<u>Table 4</u>. Eulachon harvest (t) in state waters of Alaska. Original data in numbers or pounds converted to metric tons (t) using an average body weight of 60 g or conversion factor 1 lb. = 0.454 kg, respectively. Total harvest figures do not include Unuk River subsistence harvest.

Sources:

Jennings, G. B., K. Sundet, and A. E. Bingham. 2007. Participation, catch, and harvest in Alaska sport fisheries during 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 07-40, Anchorage.

Miller, M.G. and D. Bosch. 2007. Area management report for the recreational fisheries of Anchorage, 2005 and 2006. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Management Report No. 07-53, Anchorage

Moffitt, S., Marston, B., and Miller, M. 2002. Summary of eulachon research in the Copper River delta, 1998-2002. Regional Information Report No. 2A02-34. Anchorage: Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Sigler, M.F., Womble, J.N., Vollenweider, J.J. 2004. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 61: 1475–1484 (2004)

Spangler, E. K., Spangler, R. E. and B. L. Norcross. 2003. Eulachon subsistence use and ecology investigations. USFWS Office of Subsistence Management, Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, Final Report No. 00-041, Anchorage, Alaska

D. Bosch, ADF&G, personal communication.

M. Miller, ADF&G, personal communication.

S. Moffit, ADF&G, personal communication.

T. Tisler, ADF&G, personal communication.

(This page intentionally left blank)