

## Chapter 4

# Assessment of the Yellowfin sole stock in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands

Thomas K. Wilderbuer, Daniel G. Nichol and James Ianelli

### Executive Summary

#### Summary of Changes in Assessment Inputs

##### *Changes to the input data*

- 1) 2011 fishery age composition.
- 2) 2011 survey age composition.
- 3) 2012 trawl survey biomass point estimate and standard error.
- 4) Estimate of the discarded and retained portions of the 2011 catch.
- 5) Estimate of total catch made through the end of 2012.

##### *Changes to the assessment methodology*

No changes to the assessment methodology. The assessment updates last year's with results and management quantities that are very similar to the 2011 assessment. Yellowfin sole continue to be well-above  $B_{MSY}$  and the annual harvest is below the ABC level.

#### Summary of Results

Quantity	As estimated or specified last year for:		As estimated or recommended this year for:	
	2012	2013	2013	2014
$M$ (natural mortality rate)	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
Tier	1a	1a	1a	1a
Projected total (age 6+) biomass (t)	1,945,000	1,985,000	1,963,000	1,960,000
Female spawning biomass (t)				
Projected	592,700	604,900	582,300	601,000
$B_0$	954,100		966,900	
$B_{MSY}$	341,000		353,000	
$F_{OFL}$	0.114	0.114	0.112	0.112
$maxF_{ABC}$	0.104	0.104	0.105	0.105
$F_{ABC}$	0.104	0.104	0.105	0.105
OFL (t)	222,000	226,400	220,000	219,000
maxABC (t)	203,000	206,700	206,000	206,000
ABC (t)	203,000	206,700	206,000	206,000
Status	As determined last year for:		As determined this year for:	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
Overfishing	No	No	No	No
Overfished	No	No	No	No
Approaching overfished	No	No	No	No

## SSC Comments

*For the BSAI, the SSC's recommended priorities for CIE reviews are yellowfin sole, northern rock sole, and Greenland turbot. Some of the issues to address include growth, as well as attempts to incorporate environmental variability.*

*Four alternative models for weight-at-age were examined (in the 2011 assessment). A model that uses the annual survey weight-at-age data as true values was recommended, although the SSC considers this to be a placeholder for this year. The SSC supports the Plan Team's suggestion of examining simpler or non-parametric alternative growth models instead of the other models (Models 2 and 3) considered this year.*

BSAI yellowfin sole were included as part of the CIE review, held June 11-13, 2012. The same growth model was used in this assessment as was used last year. An alternative growth model designed to provide a smoothing to the empirical weight at age data should be in place for the next assessment.

## Introduction

The yellowfin sole (*Limanda aspera*) is one of the most abundant flatfish species in the eastern Bering Sea (EBS) and is the target of the largest flatfish fishery in the world. They inhabit the EBS shelf and are considered one stock. Abundance in the Aleutian Islands region is negligible.

Yellowfin sole are distributed in North American waters from off British Columbia, Canada, (approx. lat. 49° N) to the Chukchi Sea (about lat. 70° N) and south along the Asian coast to about lat. 35° N off the South Korean coast in the Sea of Japan. Adults exhibit a benthic lifestyle and occupy separate winter, spawning and summertime feeding distributions on the eastern Bering Sea shelf. From over-winter grounds near the shelf margins, adults begin a migration onto the inner shelf in April or early May each year for spawning and feeding. In recent years, the directed fishery has typically occurred from late winter through autumn (Wilderbuer et al. 1992).

## Catch History

Yellowfin sole have annually been caught with bottom trawls on the Bering Sea shelf since the fishery began in 1954 and were overexploited by foreign fisheries in 1959-62 when catches averaged 404,000 t annually (Fig. 4.1, top panel). As a result of reduced stock abundance, catches declined to an annual average of 117,800 t from 1963-71 and further declined to an annual average of 50,700 t from 1972-77. The lower yield in this latter period was partially due to the discontinuation of the U.S.S.R. fishery. In the early 1980s, after the stock condition had improved, catches again increased reaching a peak of over 227,000 t in 1985.

During the 1980s, there was also a major transition in the characteristics of the fishery. Yellowfin sole were traditionally taken exclusively by foreign fisheries and these fisheries continued to dominate through 1984. However, U.S. fisheries developed rapidly during the 1980s in the form of joint ventures, and during the last half of the decade began to dominate and then take all of the catch as the foreign fisheries were phased out of the EBS. Since 1990, only domestic harvesting and processing has occurred.

The management of the yellowfin sole fishery changed significantly in 2008 with the implementation of Amendment 80 to the BSAI Fisheries Management Plan. The Amendment directly allocated fishery resources among BSAI trawl harvesters in consideration of their historic harvest patterns and future harvest needs in order to improve retention and utilization of fishery resources by the non-AFA trawl catcher/processor fleet. This was accomplished by extending the groundfish retention standards to all H&G vessels and also by providing the ability to form cooperatives within the newly formed Amendment 80 sector. In addition, Amendment 80 also mandated additional monitoring requirements which included observer coverage on all hauls, motion-compensating scales for weighing samples, flow scales to obtain accurate catch weight estimates for the entire catch, no mixing of hauls and no on-deck sorting. The

partitioning of TAC and PSC (prohibited species catch) among cooperatives has significantly changed the way the annual catch has accumulated and the rate of target catch per bycatch ton (Fig 4.1, bottom panel).

Yellowfin sole are usually headed and gutted, frozen at sea, and then shipped to Asian countries for further processing (see “market profile” in the 2011 economic SAFE report for details). In 2010, following a comprehensive assessment process, the yellowfin sole fishery was certified under the Marine Stewardship Council environmental standard for sustainable and well-managed fisheries. The certification also applies to all the major flatfish fisheries in the BSAI and GOA. The total annual catch (t) since implementation of the MFCMA in 1977 is shown in Table 4.1.

The 1997 catch of 181,389 t was the largest since the fishery became completely domestic but it has since been at lower levels, averaging 98,100 t from 1998-2011. The 2011 catch totaled 151,164 t (77% of the ABC), the highest annual catch in the past 14 years. For 2012, the fishery caught over 70% of the total catch of 133,000 (66% of the ABC, calculated through September) during February through May, primarily from areas 509, 513 and 521. As of mid-October 2012, the fishing season is ongoing. In order to estimate the total 2012 catch for the stock assessment model, the average proportion of the 2008-2011 cumulative catch attained by the 38<sup>th</sup> week of the year (mid-September) was applied to the 2012 catch amount at the same time period and results in a 2012 catch estimate of 133,000 t. The size composition of the 2012 catch for both males and females, from observer sampling, are shown in Figure 4.2, the catch proportions by month and area are shown in Figure 4.3, and maps of the locations where yellowfin sole were caught in 2012, by month, are shown in Figure 4.4. The average age of yellowfin sole in the 2011 catch is estimated at 12.8 and 11.9 years for females and males, respectively.

The time-series of catch in Table 6.1 also includes yellowfin sole that were discarded in domestic fisheries during the period 1987 to the present. Annual discard estimates were calculated from at-sea sampling (Table 4.2). The rate of discard has ranged from a low of 5% of the total catch in 2008 -2011 to 30% in 1992. The trend has been toward fuller retention of the catch in recent years, and with the advent of the Amendment 80 harvest practices, discarding is at its lowest level since these estimates have become available (3% in 2011). Historically, discarding primarily occurred in the yellowfin sole directed fishery, with lesser amounts in the Pacific cod, Pollock, rock sole, flathead sole, and “other flatfish” fisheries (Table 4.3).

## Data

The data used in this assessment include estimates of total catch, bottom trawl survey biomass estimates and their attendant 95% confidence intervals, catch-at-age from the fishery and population age composition estimates from the bottom trawl survey. Weight-at-age and proportion mature-at-age are also available from studies conducted during the bottom trawl surveys.

### *Fishery Catch and Catch-at-Age*

This assessment uses fishery catch data from 1955- 2011 (Table 4.1), including an estimate of the 2012 catch, and fishery catch-at-age (numbers) from 1964-2011 (Table 4.4, 1977-2011). The 2011 fishery age composition is primarily composed of fish older than 9 years with a large amount of 20+ fish.

### *Survey Biomass Estimates and Population Age Composition Estimates*

Indices of relative abundance available from AFSC surveys have also shown a major increase in the abundance of yellowfin sole during the late 1970s increasing from 21 kg/ha in 1975 to 51 kg/ha in 1981 (Fig. 4.2, Bakkala and Wilderbuer 1990). These increases have also been documented through Japanese commercial pair trawl data and catch-at-age modeling in past assessments (Bakkala and Wilderbuer 1990).

Since 1981, the survey CPUEs have fluctuated widely. For example, they increased from 51 kg/ha in 1981 to 84 kg/ha in 1983 and then declined sharply to 39 kg/ha in 1986. They continued to fluctuate from 1986-90, although with less amplitude (Fig. 4.5). From 1990-2006, the estimated CPUE was relatively

stable but has declined the past few years. Fluctuations of the magnitude shown between 1980 and 1990 and again between 1998 and 1999, 2008 and 2009 and also 2011 and 2012 are unreasonable considering the combined elements of slow growth and long life span of yellowfin sole and low to moderate exploitation rate, characteristics which should produce more gradual changes in abundance.

Biomass estimates for yellowfin sole from the annual bottom trawl survey on the eastern Bering Sea shelf are shown in Table 4.5. The data show a doubling of exploitable biomass between 1975 and 1979 with a further increase to over 3.3 million t in 1981. Total survey abundance estimates fluctuated erratically from 1983 to 1990 with biomass ranging from as high as 3.5 million t in 1983 to as low as 1.9 million t in 1986. Biomass estimates since 1990 indicate an even trend at high levels of abundance for yellowfin sole, with the exception of the results from the 1999 and 2000 summer surveys, which were at lower levels. Surveys from 2001-2005 estimated an increase each year but the estimates since 2006 indicate a stable level with some annual variability. However, the 2012 estimate is a 19% decrease from 2011.

Variability of yellowfin sole survey abundance estimates (Fig. 4.6) is in part due to the availability of yellowfin sole to the survey area (Nichol, 1998). Yellowfin sole are known to undergo annual migrations from wintering areas off the shelf-slope break to near shore waters where they spawn throughout the spring and summer months (Nichol, 1995; Wakabayashi, 1989; Wilderbuer et al., 1992). Exploratory survey sampling in coastal waters of the eastern Bering Sea indicate that yellowfin sole concentrations can be greater in these shallower areas not covered by the standard AFSC survey. Commercial bottom trawlers have commonly found high concentrations of yellowfin sole in areas such as near Togiak Bay (Low and Narita, 1990) and in more recent years from Kuskokwim Bay to just south of Nunivak Island. The coastline areas are sufficiently large enough to offer a substantial refuge for yellowfin sole from the current survey.

Over the past 15 years survey biomass estimates for yellowfin sole have shown a positive correlation with shelf bottom temperatures (Nichol, 1998); estimates have generally been lower during cold years. The 1999 survey, which was conducted in exceptionally cold waters, indicated a decline in biomass that was unrealistic. The bottom temperatures during the 2000 survey were much warmer than in 1999, and the biomass increased, but still did not approach estimates from earlier years. Average bottom temperature and biomass both increased again during the period 2001 – 2003, with the 2003 value the highest temperature and biomass observed over the 22 year time series. Given that both 1999 and 2000 surveys were conducted two weeks earlier than previous surveys, it is possible that the time difference may also have also affected the availability of yellowfin sole to the survey. If, for example, the timing of peak yellowfin sole spawning in nearshore waters corresponded to the time of the survey, a greater proportion of the population would be unavailable to the standard survey area. This trend was observed again in 2009 and 2012 when the temperatures and the bottom trawl survey point estimates were lower. Summer shelf bottom temperatures in 2012 were the 2<sup>nd</sup> coldest recorded by the survey and the time-series and resulted in a 19% decline from 2011.

We propose two possible reasons why survey biomass estimates are lower during years when bottom temperatures are low. First, catchability may be lower because yellowfin sole may be less active when cold. Less active fish may be less susceptible to herding, and escapement under the footrope of survey gear may increase if fish are less active. Secondly, bottom temperatures may influence the timing of the inshore spawning migrations of yellowfin sole and therefore affect their availability to the survey area. Because yellowfin sole spawning grounds include nearshore areas outside the survey area, availability of fish within the survey area can vary with the timing of this migration and the timing of the survey. In the case of 2012, a very cold year in the Bering Sea, it is unclear from examining survey station catches along the survey border near Kuskowkim bay if a significant portion of the biomass lies outside this border (Fig 4.7).

Yellowfin sole population numbers-at-age estimated from the annual bottom trawl surveys are shown in Table 4.6 and their occurrence in trawl survey hauls and associated collections of lengths and age

structures since 1982 are shown in Table 4.7. Their total tonnage caught in the resource assessment surveys since 1982 are listed in Table 4.8 and also in an appendix table with IPHC survey catches.

#### *Length and Weight-at-Age*

Past assessments of yellowfin sole have used sex-specific, time-invariant growth based on the average length-at-age and weight-at-length relationships from the time-series of survey observations summed over all years since 1982. These weight-at-age estimates were estimated from the following relationships:

Parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth curve have been estimated for yellowfin sole, by sex, from the trawl survey database as follows:

	$L_{inf}$	K	$t_0$	n
Males	33.7	0.161	-0.111	656
Females	37.8	0.137	0.112	709

A sex-specific length-weight relationship was also calculated from the survey database using the usual power function,  $\text{weight (g)} = a \text{ Length(cm)}^b$ , where a and b are parameters estimated to provide the best fit to the data (Fig. 4.8).

	a	b	n
males	0.00854	3.081	2,701
females	0.0054	3.227	3,662

These estimates of weight at length were applied to the annual trawl survey estimates of population length at age averaged over all years, by sex, to calculate the weight at each age (Fig. 4.8).

Recent applications of dendrochronology (tree-ring techniques) have been used to develop biochronologies from the otolith growth increments of northern rock sole (*Lepidopsetta polyxystra*), yellowfin sole and Alaska plaice (*Pleuronectes quadrituberculatus*) in the eastern Bering Sea. These techniques ensure that all growth increments are assigned the correct calendar year, allowing for estimation of somatic growth by age and year for chronologies that span approximately 25 years (Matta et al. 2010). The analysis indicated that yellowfin sole somatic growth has annual variability and is positively correlated with May bottom water temperature in the Bering Sea (Fig. 4.9).

The relationship between temperature and growth was further explored by reanalyzing yellowfin sole growth by age and year. Length-weight data collected when obtaining otolith (age) samples in RACE surveys (n=7,000 from 1987, 1994 and 1999-2009) also indicate that weight at age exhibits annual variability and is highly correlated with summer bottom water temperature observations with a lag of 2-3 years for the temperature effect to be seen (shown for age 5 fish in figure 4.10). These observations were then extended back to 1979 using survey population length-at-age estimates (since weight-at-age is a power function of the length-at-age, Clark et al. 1999, Walters and Wilderbuer 2000).

In this assessment the reanalyzed growth data were incorporated and growth was modeled as time-varying and temperature-dependent functions input into an age-structured stock assessment model and then comparing the results with the base model that uses time-invariant growth. Four growth models were developed as follows: Mean age-specific somatic body mass (here referred to as weight-at-age) is modeled as a von Bertalanffy growth function in the initial year of the stock assessment (1954) and projected forward such that the model expected mean weight at age  $j$  in year  $i$  for a given sex is constant over the projection (Model 0). In Model 1 the annual observed population mean weight-at-age (time-varying) is used in the stock assessment model. Model 2 is a fit to the data used in Model 1 by the estimation of year and age specific parameters and Model 3 estimates annual weight-at-age as a function of annual May sea surface temperature anomalies. The growth models are as follows:

Model			
0	$\hat{w}_{ij} = \hat{w}_{i-1,j-1} + g_j$	$i > 1954, j > 1$	Constant fixed growth
1	$\hat{w}_{ij} = w_{ij},$ $\hat{w}_{ij} = \bar{w}_{.j}$	$1982 \leq i \leq 2009,$ $i < 1982, i > 2009$	As estimated for each age from survey data
2	$\hat{w}_{ij} = \hat{w}_{i-1,j-1} + g_j e^{\varepsilon_{ij}}$	$i > 1954, j > 1$	$\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_g^2)$ Year-effect freely estimated on growth increment
3	$\hat{w}_{ij} = \hat{w}_{i-1,j-1} + g_j e^{\varepsilon_{ij}}$ $\varepsilon_{ij} = T_i \alpha + \delta_i$	$i > 1954, j > 1$ $\delta_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{res}^2)$	Year-effect on growth increment linked to temperature conditions

where  $w_{ij}$  represents the observed estimates of mean weights at age and year,  $g_j$  is the expected growth increment in the most recent completed year (as estimated from the a sex-specific von-Bertalanfy growth curve) and  $\varepsilon_i$  is a process error term which is modeled as to have an optional year-effect and separate age effect in model 2. For model 3 temperature anomalies are introduced for the entire period and the parameter  $\alpha$  scales them and the residual variance is computed  $\sigma_g^2$ .

For all models except 1, the negative log-likelihood function for the weight-at-age data applied was:

$$-\ln L_w = \sum_{i=1982}^{2009} \sum_{j=5}^{15} \frac{n_{ij} (\ln w_{ij} - \ln \hat{w}_{ij})^2}{2\sigma_{ij}^2}$$

### *Maturity-at-age*

Maturity information collected from yellowfin sole females during the 1992 and 1993 eastern Bering Sea trawl surveys is used in this assessment (Table 4.10). Nichol (1995) estimated the age of 50% maturity at 10.5 years based on the histological examination of 639 ovaries. In the case of most north Pacific flatfish species, including yellowfin sole, sexual maturity occurs well after the age of entry into the fishery. Yellowfin sole are 90% selected to the fishery by age 11 whereas females have been found to be 61% mature at this age.

## Analytic Approach

### Model Structure

The abundance, mortality, recruitment and selectivity of yellowfin sole were assessed with a stock assessment model using the AD Model Builder language (Ianelli and Fournier 1998). The conceptual model is a separable catch-age analysis that uses survey estimates of biomass and age composition as auxiliary information (Fournier and Archibald 1982). The assessment model simulates the dynamics of the population and compares the expected values of the population characteristics to the characteristics observed from surveys and fishery sampling programs. This is accomplished by the simultaneous estimation of the parameters in the model using the maximum likelihood estimation procedure. The fit of the simulated values to the observable characteristics is optimized by maximizing a log(likelihood) function given some distributional assumptions about the observed data.

Since the sex-specific weight-at-age for yellowfin sole diverges after age of maturity (about age 10 for 50% of the stock) with females growing larger than males, the current assessment model is coded to accommodate the sex-specific aspects of the population dynamics of yellowfin sole. The model allows for the input of sex-specific estimates of fishery and survey age composition and weight-at-age and provides sex-specific estimates of population numbers, fishing mortality, selectivity, fishery and survey age composition and allows for the estimation of sex-specific natural mortality and catchability. The model retains the utility to fit combined sex data inputs.

The suite of parameters estimated by the model are classified by three likelihood components:

<b>Data component</b>	<b>Distributional assumption</b>
Trawl fishery catch-at-age	Multinomial
Trawl survey population age composition	Multinomial
Trawl survey biomass estimates and S.E.	Log normal

The total log likelihood is the sum of the likelihoods for each data component (Table 4.11). The likelihood components may be weighted by an emphasis factor, however, equal emphasis was placed on fitting each likelihood component in the yellowfin sole assessment except for the catch. The AD Model Builder software fits the data components using automatic differentiation (Griewank and Corliss 1991) software developed as a set of libraries (AUTODIFF C++ library). Table 4.11 also presents the key equations used to model the yellowfin sole population dynamics in the Bering Sea and Table 4.12 provides a description of the variables used in Table 4.11.

Sharp increases in trawl survey abundance estimates for most species of Bering Sea flatfish between 1981 and 1982 indicate that the 83-112 trawl was more efficient for capturing these species than the 400-mesh eastern trawl used in 1975, and 1979-81. Allowing the model to tune to these early survey estimates would most likely underestimate the true pre-1982 biomass, thus exaggerating the degree to which biomass increased during that period. Although this underestimate would have little effect on the estimate of current yellowfin sole biomass, it would affect the spawner and recruitment estimates for the time-series. Hence, the pre-1982 survey biomass estimates were omitted from the analysis.

The model of yellowfin sole population dynamics was evaluated with respect to the observations of the time-series of survey and fishery age compositions and the survey biomass trend since 1982.

### **Parameters Estimated Independently**

Natural mortality ( $M$ ) was initially estimated by a least squares analysis where catch-at-age data were fitted to Japanese pair trawl effort data while varying the catchability coefficient ( $q$ ) and  $M$  simultaneously. The best fit to the data (the point where the residual variance was minimized) occurred at a  $M$  value of 0.12 (Bakkala and Weststad 1984). This was also the value which provided the best fit to the observable population characteristics when  $M$  was profiled over a range of values in the stock assessment model using data up to 1992 (Wilderbuer 1992). Since then, natural mortality has been estimated as a free parameter in some of the stock assessment model runs which have been evaluated for the past five years. A natural mortality value of 0.12 is used for both sexes in the base model presented in this assessment.

Yellowfin sole maturity schedules were estimated from in-situ observations as discussed in a previous section (Table 4.10).

## Parameters Estimated Conditionally

The parameters estimated by the model are presented below:

Fishing mortality	Selectivity	Survey catchability	Year class strength	Spawner-recruit	Total
61	240	2	59	2	364

The increase in the number of parameters estimated in this assessment compared to last year (2) can be accounted for by the input of another year of fishery data and the entry of another year class into the observed population. The number of time-varying fisheries selectivity parameters remained the same as last year since the 2012 fishery selectivity was set equal to the average of the three most recent years.

### *Year class strengths*

The population simulation specifies the numbers-at-age in the beginning year of the simulation, the number of recruits in each subsequent year, and the survival rate for each cohort as it moves through the population over time using the population dynamics equations given in Table 4.11.

### *Selectivity*

Fishery and survey selectivity was modeled separately for males and females using the two parameter formulation of the logistic function (Table 4.11). The model was run with an asymptotic selectivity curve for the older fish in the fishery and survey, but still was allowed to estimate the shape of the logistic curve for young fish. The oldest year classes in the surveys and fisheries were truncated at 20 and allowed to accumulate into the age category 20+ years. A single selectivity curve, for both males and females, was fit for all years of survey data.

Given that there have been annual changes in management, vessel participation and most likely gear selectivity, time-varying fishing selectivity curves be estimated. A logistic equation was used to model fishery selectivity and is a function of time-varying parameters specifying the age and slope at 50% selection,  $\phi_t$  and  $\eta_t$ , respectively. The fishing selectivity ( $S^f$ ) for age  $a$  and year  $t$  is modeled as,

$$S_{a,t}^f = \left[ 1 + e^{\eta_t(a-\phi_t)} \right]^{-1}$$

where  $\eta_t$  and  $\phi_t$  are time-varying and partitioned (for estimation) into parameters representing the mean and a vector of deviations (log-scale) conditioned to sum to zero. The deviations are constrained by a lognormal prior with a variance that was iteratively estimated. The process of iterating was to first set the variance to a high value (diffuse prior) of 0.5<sup>2</sup> and estimate the deviations. The next step was to compare the variability of model estimates. These values were then rounded up slightly and fixed for subsequent runs. The 2012 values were fixed as the average of the 3 most recent years.

### *Fishing Mortality*

The fishing mortality rates (F) for each age and year are calculated to approximate the catch weight by solving for F while still allowing for observation error in catch measurement. A large emphasis was placed on the catch likelihood component to force the model to match the observed catch.

### *Survey Catchability*

A past assessment (Wilderbuer and Nichol 2001) first examined the relationship between estimates of survey biomass and bottom water temperature. To better understand how water temperature may affect the catchability of yellowfin sole to the survey trawl, catchability was estimated for each year in the stock assessment model as:

$$q = e^{-\alpha + \beta T}$$



where  $q$  is catchability,  $T$  is the average annual bottom water temperature anomaly at survey stations less than 100 m, and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are parameters estimated by the model. The catchability equation has two parts. The  $e^{-\alpha}$  term is a constant or time-independent estimate of  $q$ . The model estimate of  $\alpha = -0.132$  indicates that  $q > 1$  suggesting that yellowfin sole are herded into the trawl path of the net which is consistent with the experimental results for other flatfish species. The second term,  $e^{\beta T}$  is a time-varying (annual)  $q$  which responds to metabolic aspects of herding or distribution (availability) which can vary annually with bottom water temperature. The result of incorporating bottom temperature to estimate annual  $q$  is shown in Figure 4.11 (for the base model).

### *Spawner-Recruit Estimation*

Annual recruitment estimates were constrained to fit a Ricker (1958) form of the stock recruitment relationship as follows:

$$R = \alpha S e^{-\beta S}$$

where  $R$  is age 1 recruitment,  $S$  is female spawning biomass ( $t$ ) the previous year, and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are parameters estimated by the model. The spawner-recruit fitting is estimated in a later phase after initial estimates of survival, numbers-at-age and selectivity are obtained.

### *Model Evaluation*

The model evaluation for this stock assessment involved a three-step process. The first step was to evaluate the productivity of the yellowfin sole stock by an examination of which data sets to include for spawner-recruit fitting. The second step then evaluated the growth models presented in a previous section and the third step evaluated various hypothesized states of nature by fitting natural mortality and catchability estimates in various combinations.

The SSC determined in December 2006 that yellowfin sole would be managed under the Tier 1 harvest guidelines, and therefore future harvest recommendations would be based on  $MSY$  and  $F_{MSY}$  values calculated from a spawner-recruit relationship.  $MSY$  is an equilibrium concept and its value is dependent on both the spawner-recruit estimates which are assumed to represent the equilibrium stock size-recruitment relationship and the model used to fit the estimates. In the yellowfin sole stock assessment model, a Ricker form of the stock-recruit relationship was fit to various combinations of these data and estimates of  $F_{MSY}$  and  $B_{MSY}$  were calculated, assuming that the fit to the stock-recruitment data represents the long-term productivity of the stock.

For this assessment, 2 different stock-recruitment time-series were investigated: the full time-series 1955-2006 (Model A) and the post-regime shift era, 1978-2006 (Model B) (Fig. 4.12) (see Joint Plan Team recommendations for September 2012). Very different estimates of the long-term sustainability of the stock ( $F_{MSY}$  and  $B_{MSY}$ ) are obtained, depending on which years of stock-recruitment data were included in the fitting procedure (Table 4.13). When the entire time-series from 1955-2006 was fit, the large recruitments that occurred at low spawning stock sizes in the 1960s and early 1970s determined that the yellowfin sole stock was most productive at a smaller stock size with the result that  $F_{MSY}$  is 1.2 times  $F_{35\%}$  ( $F_{35\%} = 0.133$ ). Therefore,  $F_{MSY}$  is a relatively high value (0.163) and  $B_{MSY}$  is 268,000 (Model A). If we limit the analysis to consider only recruitments which occurred after the well-documented regime shift in 1977, a lower value of  $F_{MSY}$  is obtained (0.114) and  $B_{MSY}$  is 353,000 t. Table 4.13 indicates that the ABC values from Model A and C harvest scenarios would also be quite high. Posterior distributions of  $F_{MSY}$  for these models indicate that this parameter is estimated with less uncertainty for Models A and C resulting in the reduced buffer between ABC and OFL relative to Model B (Table 4.13 and Fig 4.13).

It is important for the Tier 1 calculations to identify which subset of the stock recruitment data is used. Using the full time series to fit the spawner recruit curve estimates that the stock is most productive at a

small stock size. Thus  $MSY$  and  $F_{MSY}$  are relatively high values and  $B_{MSY}$  is a lower value. If the stock was productive in the past at a small stock size because of non density dependent factors (environment), then reducing the stock size to low levels could be detrimental to the long-term sustainability of the stock if the environment, and thus productivity, had changed from the earlier period. Since observations of yellowfin sole recruitment at low stock sizes are not available from multiple time periods, it is uncertain if future recruitment events at low stock conditions would be as productive as during the late 1960s-early 1970s.

Given the uncertainty of the productivity of yellowfin sole at low spawning stock sizes, and because the AFSC policy for reference point time-series selection is to use the post 1977 regime shift values unless there is a compelling reason to do otherwise, the productivity of yellowfin sole in this assessment is estimated by fitting the 1977-2006 spawner-recruit data in the model.

The second step in the model evaluation is the evaluation of the growth model for yellowfin sole. Estimates of female spawning biomass from the four growth models (described in a previous section) are shown in Figure 4.15 and indicate that all 4 models estimate the same trend with Model 1 estimates trending lower in the last six years than the others. Estimates of 2012 ABC and  $F_{ABC}$  are also similar with Model 1 values lower than the others.

	model 0	model 1	model 2	model 3
2012 FSB	705,100	582,300	640,100	800,900
2013 $F_{ABC}$	0.105	0.104	0.112	0.108
2013 ABC	240,040	206,200	249,400	256,300

Growth Model 1 was selected as the model of choice for this assessment since 1) It does not use time invariant growth as in Model 0 (which is not realistic and unsupported by the growth data) but instead relies on the annually collected survey population and age data to calculate annual estimates of length at age and weight-at-age, and 2) in its present formulation, Model 2 does not fit the FSB trend of model 1 in the most recent years and thus warrants more exploration before incorporation into the assessment model. Both Models 2 and 3 could further benefit from using the estimated population as a covariate to model the annual growth increment due to density dependent effects. The model modifications have not been pursued for this assessment.

The third step in the model evaluation for this assessment entails the use of a single structural model to consider the uncertainty in the key parameters  $M$  and catchability. This is the Model which has been the model of choice in the past 6 assessments and operates by fixing  $M$  at 0.12 for both sexes and then estimates  $q$  using the relationship between survey catchability and the annual average water temperature at the sea floor (from survey stations at less than 100 m). The other models used in the evaluation represented various combinations of estimating  $M$  or  $q$  as free parameters with different amounts of uncertainty in the parameter estimates (Wilderbuer et al. 2010). The results are detailed in those assessments and are not repeated here except for the following observations.

Modeling survey catchability as a nonlinear function of bottom water temperature returns a mean value of 1.15. This value is consistent with supporting evidence from experiments examining the bridle efficiency of the Bering Sea survey trawl which indicate that yellowfin sole are herded into the trawl path from an area between the wing tips of the net and the point where the bridles contact the seafloor (Somerton and Munro 2001). It is also consistent with our hypothesis of the timing of the survey relative to the temperature dependent timing of the annual spawning migration to nearshore areas which are outside of the survey area. The herding experiments suggest that the survey trawl catchability is greater than 1.0. The likelihood profile of  $q$  from the model indicated a small variance with a narrow range of likely values with a low probability of  $q$  being equal to the value of 1.0 in a past assessment (Wilderbuer and Nichol 2003).

A model that allowing  $M$  to be estimated as a free parameter for males with females fixed at 0.12 provided a better fit to the sex ratio estimated from the annual trawl survey age compositions than did the base model (both sexes fixed at  $M = 0.12$ ). However, since the population sex ratio annually observed at the time of the survey is a function of the timing of the annual spawning in adjacent inshore areas, it is questionable that providing the best fit to these observations is really fitting the population sex ratio better. Thus, the model configuration which utilizes the relationship between annual seafloor temperature and survey catchability with  $M$  fixed at 0.12 for both sexes is used to base the assessment of the condition of the Bering Sea yellowfin sole resource for the 2012 fishing season.

## Model Results

The 2012 trawl survey point estimate decreased 19% from 2011. As in 1999 (the coldest survey year), a large temperature-dependent  $q$  adjustment was estimated for 2012 (2<sup>nd</sup> coldest year). Therefore, even with the 19% drop in survey biomass the stock assessment model indicates the stock condition is about the same as last year. The model results indicate the stock has been in a slowly declining condition since the mid-1980s and provides estimates of female spawning biomass, total biomass and ABC that are very close to those used to manage the stock in 2012. The declining population trend has now flattened out and may start to increase due to an above-average year class spawned in 2003.

### *Fishing Mortality and Selectivity*

The assessment model estimates of the annual fishing mortality in terms of age-specific annual  $F$  and on fully selected ages are given in Tables 4.14 and 4.15, respectively. The full-selection  $F$  has averaged 0.08 over the period of 1978-2012 with a maximum of 0.12 in 1978 and a minimum in 2001 at 0.046. Selectivities estimated by the model (Table 4.16, Fig. 4.14) indicate that both sexes of yellowfin sole are 50% selected by the fishery at about age 9 and nearly fully selected by age 13, with annual variability.

### *Abundance Trend*

The model estimates  $q$  at an average value of 1.15 for the period 1982-2011 which results in the model estimate of the 2012 age 2+ total biomass at 2,117,500 t (Table 4.17). Model results indicate that yellowfin sole total biomass (age 2+) was at low levels during most of the 1960s and early 1970s (700,000-800,000 t) after a period of high exploitation (Table 4.17, Fig. 4.16, bottom left panel). Sustained above average recruitment from 1967-76 combined with light exploitation resulted in a biomass increase to a peak of 2.9 million t by 1984. The population biomass has since been in a slow decline as the strong 1981 and 1983 year-classes have passed through the population with only the 1991 and 1995 year classes at levels observed during the 1970s. Although the stock biomass has declined since the peak values in the mid-1980s, it has remained at high and stable levels in recent years and is currently estimated at 73% of the peak level.

The female spawning biomass has also declined since the peak in 1985, with a 2012 estimate of 540,200 t (37% decline). The spawning biomass has been in a gradual decline for the past ten years and is just below the  $B_{40\%}$  level and 1.5 times the  $B_{MSY}$  level (Fig. 4.16). The model estimate of yellowfin sole population numbers at age for all years is shown in Table 4.18 and the resulting fit to the observed fishery and survey age compositions input into the model are shown in the Figure 4.17. The fit to the trawl survey biomass estimates are shown in Figure 4.15. Allowing  $q$  to be correlated with annual bottom temperature provides a better fit to the bottom trawl survey estimates (Fig. 4.18). Table 4.19 lists the numbers of female spawners estimated by the model for all ages and years. The estimated average age of yellowfin sole in the population is 6.1 years for males and females.

Both the trawl survey and the stock assessment model indicate that the yellowfin sole resource increased during the 1970s and early 1980s to a peak level during the mid-1980s. The yellowfin sole population biomass slowly decreased over the sixteen years since the mid-1990s as the majority of year-classes during those years were below average strength. Above-average recruitment from the 2003 year-class is expected to maintain the abundance of yellowfin sole at a level above  $B_{MSY}$  in the near future. The stock

assessment projection model indicates an increasing trend in female spawning biomass through 2019 if the fishing mortality rate continues at the same level as the average of the past 5 years.

#### *Recruitment Trends*

The primary reason for the sustained increase in abundance of yellowfin sole during the 1970s and early 1980s was the recruitment of a series of stronger than average year classes spawned in 1967-76 (Figure 4.19 and Table 4.20). The 1981 year class was the strongest observed (and estimated) during the 47 year period analyzed and the 1983 year class was also very strong. Survey age composition estimates and the assessment model also estimate that the 1987 and 1988 year classes were average and the 1991 and 1995 year classes were above average. With the exception of these 4 year classes, recruitment from 14 of the last 18 years estimated (since the strong 1983 year-class) has been below the 48 year average, which has caused the population to gradually decline. The 1995 year-class were at the maximum of their cohort biomass in 2005 and still contribute to the mature adult reservoir of spawners. The recruitment contribution to the stock biomass in the near future may be indicated by the 2003 year-class whose strength is estimated at well-above average and have now been observed as eight year old fish in the 2011 population age samples.

#### *Historical Exploitation Rates*

Based on results from the stock assessment model, annual average exploitation rates of yellowfin sole ranged from 3 to 8% of the total biomass since 1977, and have averaged 5% (Table 4.14). Posterior distributions of selected parameters from the preferred stock assessment model used in the assessment are shown in Figure 4.20. The values and standard deviations of some selected model parameters are listed in Table 4.21.

## **Acceptable Biological Catch**

After increasing during the 1970s and early 1980s, estimates from the stock assessment model indicate the total biomass has been in a slow decline from high levels of stock biomass since the peak in 1985. The estimate of age 6+ total biomass for 2013 is 1,963,000 t.

The SSC has determined that yellowfin sole qualify as a Tier 1 stock and therefore the 2013 ABC is calculated using Tier 1 methodology. In 2006 the SSC selected the 1978-2001 data set for the Tier 1 harvest recommendation. Using this approach again for the 2013 harvest (now the 1978-2006 time-series) recommendation (Model B in Table 4.13 with growth option 1), the  $F_{ABC} = F_{\text{harmonic mean}} = 0.105$ .

The Tier 1 harvest level is calculated as the product of the harmonic mean of  $F_{MSY}$  and the geometric mean of the 2013 biomass estimate, as follows:

$B_{gm} = e^{\ln \hat{B} - \frac{cv^2}{2}}$ , where  $B_{gm}$  is the geometric mean of the 2013 biomass estimate,  $\hat{B}$  is the point estimate of the 2013 biomass from the stock assessment model and  $cv^2$  is the coefficient of variation of the point estimate;

and

$\bar{F}_{har} = e^{\ln \hat{F}_{msy} - \frac{\ln sd^2}{2}}$ , where  $\bar{F}_{har}$  is the harmonic mean,  $\hat{F}_{msy}$  is the peak mode of the  $F_{MSY}$  distribution and  $sd^2$  is the square of the standard deviation of the  $F_{MSY}$  distribution. This calculation gives a Tier 1 ABC harvest recommendation of **206,200 t** and an OFL of 219,600 t for 2013. This gives a 6% (13,400 t) buffer between ABC and OFL, 3% less than the same buffer calculated for 2011 in last year's assessment.

## Overfishing

The stock assessment analysis must also consider harvest limits, usually described as overfishing fishing mortality levels with corresponding yield amounts. Amendment 56 to the BSAI FMP sets the Tier 1 harvest limit at the  $F_{MSY}$  fishing mortality value. The overfishing fishing mortality values, ABC fishing mortality values and their corresponding yields are given as follows:

<u>Harvest level</u>	<u>F value</u>	<u>2013 Yield</u>
<b>Tier 1 <math>F_{OFL} = F_{MSY}</math></b>	<b>0.112</b>	<b>219,600 t</b>
<b>Tier 1 <math>F_{ABC} = F_{\text{harmonic mean}}</math></b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>206,200 t</b>

## Biomass Projections

### *Status Determination*

A standard set of projections is required for each stock managed under Tiers 1, 2, or 3 of Amendment 56. This set of projections encompasses seven harvest scenarios designed to satisfy the requirements of Amendment 56, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA).

For each scenario, the projections begin with the vector of 2012 numbers at age estimated in the assessment. This vector is then projected forward to the beginning of 2013 using the schedules of natural mortality and selectivity described in the assessment and the best available estimate of total (year-end) catch for 2012. In each subsequent year, the fishing mortality rate is prescribed on the basis of the spawning biomass in that year and the respective harvest scenario. In each year, recruitment is drawn from an inverse Gaussian distribution whose parameters consist of maximum likelihood estimates determined from recruitments estimated in the assessment. Spawning biomass is computed in each year based on the time of peak spawning and the maturity and weight schedules described in the assessment. Total catch is assumed to equal the catch associated with the respective harvest scenario in all years. This projection scheme is run 1000 times to obtain distributions of possible future stock sizes, fishing mortality rates, and catches.

Five of the seven standard scenarios will be used in an Environmental Assessment prepared in conjunction with the final SAFE. These five scenarios, which are designed to provide a range of harvest alternatives that are likely to bracket the final TAC for 2013, are as follows (“ $max F_{ABC}$ ” refers to the maximum permissible value of  $F_{ABC}$  under Amendment 56):

*Scenario 1:* In all future years,  $F$  is set equal to  $max F_{ABC}$ . (Rationale: Historically, TAC has been constrained by ABC, so this scenario provides a likely upper limit on future TACs.)

*Scenario 2:* In all future years,  $F$  is set equal to a constant fraction of  $max F_{ABC}$ , where this fraction is equal to the ratio of the  $F_{ABC}$  value for 2013 recommended in the assessment to the  $max F_{ABC}$  for 2013. (Rationale: When  $F_{ABC}$  is set at a value below  $max F_{ABC}$ , it is often set at the value recommended in the stock assessment.)

*Scenario 3:* In all future years,  $F$  is set equal to 50% of  $max F_{ABC}$ . (Rationale: This scenario provides a likely lower bound on  $F_{ABC}$  that still allows future harvest rates to be adjusted downward when stocks fall below reference levels.)

*Scenario 4:* In all future years,  $F$  is set equal to the 2008-2012 average  $F$ . (Rationale: For some stocks, TAC can be well below ABC, and recent average  $F$  may provide a better indicator of  $F_{TAC}$  than  $F_{ABC}$ .)

*Scenario 5:* In all future years,  $F$  is set equal to zero. (Rationale: In extreme cases, TAC may be set at a level close to zero.)

Two other scenarios are needed to satisfy the MSFCMA’s requirement to determine whether a stock is currently in an overfished condition or is approaching an overfished condition. These two scenarios are as follow (for Tier 3 stocks, the MSY level is defined as  $B_{35\%}$ ):

*Scenario 6:* In all future years,  $F$  is set equal to  $F_{OFL}$ . (Rationale: This scenario determines whether a stock is overfished. If the stock is expected to be above its MSY level in 2012 and above its MSY level in 2024 under this scenario, then the stock is not overfished.)

*Scenario 7:* In 2013 and 2014,  $F$  is set equal to  $max F_{ABC}$ , and in all subsequent years,  $F$  is set equal to  $F_{OFL}$ . (Rationale: This scenario determines whether a stock is approaching an overfished condition. If the stock is expected to be above its MSY level in 2025 under this scenario, then the stock is not approaching an overfished condition.)

Simulation results shown in Table 4.22 indicate that yellowfin sole are not currently overfished and are not approaching an overfished condition. The projection of yellowfin sole female spawning biomass through 2023 is shown in Figure 4.21 and a phase plane figure of the estimated time-series of yellowfin sole female spawning biomass relative to the harvest control rule is shown in Figure 4.22.

*Scenario Projections and Two-Year Ahead Overfishing Level*

In addition to the seven standard harvest scenarios, Amendments 48/48 to the BSAI and GOA Groundfish Fishery Management Plans require projections of the likely OFL two years into the future. The 2012 numbers at age from the stock assessment model are projected to 2013 given the 2012 catch and then a 2013 catch of 140,000 t is applied to the projected 2013 population biomass to obtain the 2014 OFL.


Tier 1 Projection		SSB	Geometric mean 6+ total biomass		
Year	Catch			ABC	OFL
2013	140,000	582,300	1,963,000	206,200	219,600
2014		601,000	1,960,000	205,800	219,200

## Ecosystem Considerations

### Ecosystem Effects on the stock

*1) Prey availability/abundance trends*

Yellowfin sole diet by life stage varies as follows: Larvae consume plankton and algae, early juveniles consume zooplankton, late juvenile stage and adults prey includes bivalves, polychaetes, amphipods, mollusks, euphausiids, shrimps, brittle stars, sculpins and miscellaneous crustaceans. Information is not available to assess the abundance trends of the benthic infauna of the Bering Sea shelf. The original description of infaunal distribution and abundance by Haflinger (1981) resulted from sampling conducted in 1975 and 1976 and has not been re-sampled since. The large populations of flatfish which have occupied the middle shelf of the Bering Sea over the past twenty-five years for summertime feeding do not appear food-limited. These populations have fluctuated due to the variability in recruitment success which suggests that the primary infaunal food source has been at an adequate level to sustain the yellowfin sole resource.



### 2) Predator population trends

As juveniles, it is well-documented from studies in other parts of the world that flatfish are prey for shrimp species in near shore areas. This has not been reported for Bering Sea yellowfin sole due to a lack of juvenile sampling and collections in near shore areas, but is thought to occur. As late juveniles they have been found in stomachs of Pacific cod and Pacific halibut; mostly on small yellowfin sole ranging from 7 to 25 cm standard length..

Past, present and projected future population trends of these predator species can be found in their respective SAFE chapters in this volume and also from Annual reports compiled by the International Pacific Halibut Commission. Encounters between yellowfin sole and their predators may be limited since their distributions do not completely overlap in space and time.

### 3) Changes in habitat quality

Changes in the physical environment which may affect yellowfin sole distribution patterns, recruitment success and migration timing patterns are catalogued in the Ecosystem Considerations Appendix of this SAFE report. Habitat quality may be enhanced during years of favorable cross-shelf advection (juvenile survival) and warmer bottom water temperatures with reduced ice cover (higher metabolism with more active feeding).

## Fishery Effects on the ecosystem

- 1) The yellowfin sole target fishery contribution to the total bycatch of other target species is shown for 1992-2011 in Table 4.23. The catch of non-target species from 2003-2011 is shown in Table 4.24. The yellowfin sole target fishery contribution to the total bycatch of prohibited species is shown for 2009 and 2010 in Table 13 of the Economic SAFE (Appendix C) and is summarized for 2010 as follows:

Prohibited species	Yellowfin sole fishery % of total bycatch
Halibut mortality	28.4
Herring	36.0
Red King crab	26.4
<u>C. bairdi</u>	34.4
Other Tanner crab	23.4
Salmon	0

- 2) Relative to the predator needs in space and time, the yellowfin sole target fishery has a low selectivity for fish 7-25 cm and therefore has minimal overlap with removals from predation.
- 3) The target fishery is not perceived to have an effect on the amount of large size target fish in the population due to its history of light exploitation (6%) over the past 30 years.
- 4) Yellowfin sole fishery discards are presented in the Catch History section.

- 5) It is unknown what effect the fishery has had on yellowfin sole maturity-at-age and fecundity.
- 6) Analysis of the benthic disturbance from the yellowfin sole fishery is available in the Preliminary draft of the Essential Fish Habitat Environmental Impact Statement.

<b>Ecosystem effects on yellowfin sole</b>			
Indicator	Observation	Interpretation	Evaluation
<i>Prey availability or abundance trends</i>			
Benthic infauna	Stomach contents	Stable, data limited	Unknown
<i>Predator population trends</i>			
Fish (Pacific cod, halibut, skates)	Stable	Possible increases to yellowfin sole mortality	
<i>Changes in habitat quality</i>			
Temperature regime	Cold years yellowfin sole catchability and herding may decrease, timing of migration may be prolonged	Likely to affect surveyed stock	No concern (dealt with in model)
Winter-spring environmental conditions	Affects pre-recruit survival	Probably a number of factors	Causes natural variability
<b>Yellowfin sole effects on ecosystem</b>			
Indicator	Observation	Interpretation	Evaluation
<i>Fishery contribution to bycatch</i>			
Prohibited species	Stable, heavily monitored	Minor contribution to mortality	No concern
Forage (including herring, Atka mackerel, cod, and pollock)	Stable, heavily monitored	Bycatch levels small relative to forage biomass	No concern
HAPC biota	Low bycatch levels of (spp)	Bycatch levels small relative to HAPC biota	No concern
Marine mammals and birds	Very minor direct-take	Safe	No concern
Sensitive non-target species	Likely minor impact	Data limited, likely to be safe	No concern
<i>Fishery concentration in space and time</i>	Low exploitation rate	Little detrimental effect	No concern
<i>Fishery effects on amount of large size target fish</i>	Low exploitation rate	Natural fluctuation	No concern
<i>Fishery contribution to discards and offal production</i>	Stable trend	Improving, but data limited	Possible concern
<i>Fishery effects on age-at-maturity and fecundity</i>	Unknown	NA	Possible concern



## References

- Bakkala, R. G. and V. Wespestad. 1984. Yellowfin sole. In R. G. Bakkala and L. resources of the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region in 1983, p. 37-60. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-53.
- Bakkala, R. G., V. Wespestad, and L. Low. 1982. The yellowfin sole (*Limanda aspera*) resource of the eastern Bering Sea--its current and future potential for commercial fisheries. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-33, 43p.
- Bakkala, R. G., and T. K. Wilderbuer. 1990. Yellowfin sole. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Document for Groundfish Resources in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Region as Projected for 1990, p. 60-78. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.
- Clark, W. G., Hare, S. R., Parns, A. M., Sullivan, P. J., Trumble, R. J. 1999. Decadal changes in growth and recruitment of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*). Can. J. fish. Aquat. Sci. 56, 242-252.
- Fournier, D. A. and C.P. Archibald. 1982. A general theory for analyzing catch-at-age data. Can. J. Fish Aquat. Sci. 39:1195-1207.
- Greiwank, A. and G. F. Corliss (eds) 1991. Automatic differentiation of algorithms: theory, implementation and application. Proceedings of the SIAM Workshop on the Automatic Differentiation of Algorithms, held Jan. 6-8, Breckenridge, CO. Soc. Indust. And Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia.
- Haflinger, K. 1981. A survey of benthic infaunal communities of the Southeastern Bering Sea shelf. *In* Hood and Calder (editors) The Eastern Bering Sea Shelf: Oceanography and Resources, Vol. 2. P. 1091-1104. Office Mar. Pol. Assess., NOAA. Univ. Wash. Press, Seattle, Wa 98105.
- Ianelli, J. N. and D. A. Fournier. 1998. Alternative age-structured analyses of the NRC simulated stock assessment data. *In* Restrepo, V. R. [ed.] Analyses of simulated data sets in support of the NRC study on stock assessment methods. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/SPO-30. 96 p.
- Low, L. and R.E. Narita. 1990. Condition of groundfish resources in the Bering Sea-Aleutian Islands region as assessed in 1988. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/NWC-178, 224 p.
- Matta, M. E., B. A. Black and T. K. Wilderbuer. 2010. Climate-driven synchrony in otolith growth-increment chronologies for three Bering Sea flatfish species. MEPS, Vol. 413:137-145, 2010.
- Nichol, D. R. . 1995. Spawning and maturation of female yellowfin sole in the eastern Bering Sea. In Proceedings of the international flatfish symposium, October 1994, Anchorage, Alaska, p. 35-50. Univ. Alaska, Alaska Sea Grant Rep. 95-04.
- Nichol, D.R. 1998. Annual and between sex variability of yellowfin sole, *Pleuronectes asper*, spring-summer distributions in the eastern Bering Sea. Fish. Bull., U.S. 96: 547-561.
- Ricker, W. E. 1958. Handbook of computations for biological statistics of fish populations. Bull. Fish. Res. Bd. Can., (119) 300 p.
- Somerton, D. A. and P. Munro. 2001. Bridle efficiency of a survey trawl for flatfish. Fish. Bull. 99:641-652 (2001).
- Wakabayashi, K. 1989. Studies on the fishery biology of yellowfin sole in the eastern Bering Sea. [In Jpn., Engl. Summ.] Bull. Far Seas Fish. Res. Lab. 26:21-152.

- Wakabayashi, K., R. Bakkala, and L. Low. 1977. Status of the yellowfin sole resource in the eastern Bering Sea through 1976. Unpubl. manusc., 45p. Northwest and Alaska Fish. Cent., Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., NOAA, 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Bin C 15700, Seattle, Wa 98115.
- Walters, G. E. and T. K. Wilderbuer. 2000. Decreasing length at age in a rapidly expanding population of northern rock sole in the eastern Bering Sea and its effect on management advice. *Journal of Sea Research* 44(2000)17-26.
- Wilderbuer, T. K. 1992. Yellowfin sole. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Document for Groundfish Resources in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Region as Projected for 1993, chapter 3. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.
- Wilderbuer, T. K. 1993. Yellowfin sole. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Document for Groundfish Resources in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Region as Projected for 1994, chapter 3. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.
- Wilderbuer, T. K. and D. Nichol. 2001. Yellowfin sole. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Document for Groundfish Resources in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Region as Projected for 2004, chapter 4. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.
- Wilderbuer, T. K. and D. Nichol. 2003. Yellowfin sole. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Document for Groundfish Resources in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Region as Projected for 2004, chapter 4. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.
- Wilderbuer, T. K. and D. Nichol. 2007. Yellowfin sole. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Document for Groundfish Resources in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Region as Projected for 2004, chapter 4. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.
- Wilderbuer, T. K. D. G. Nichol, and J. Ianelli. 2010. Yellowfin sole. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Document for Groundfish Resources in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Region as Projected for 2011, chapter 4. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.
- Wilderbuer, T.K., G.E. Walters, and R.G. Bakkala 1992. Yellowfin sole, *Pleuronectes asper*, of the eastern Bering Sea: biological characteristics, history of exploitation, and management. *Mar Fish. Rev.* 54(4):1-18.

## Tables

Table 4.1--Catch (t) of yellowfin sole 1964-2012. Catch for 2012 is an estimate through the end of 2012.

Year	Foreign	Domestic		Total
		JVP	DAP	
1964	111,777			111,777
1965	53,810			53,810
1966	102,353			102,353
1967	162,228			162,228
1968	84,189			84,189
1969	167,134			167,134
1970	133,079			133,079
1971	160,399			160,399
1972	47,856			47,856
1973	78,240			78,240
1974	42,235			42,235
1975	64,690			64,690
1976	56,221			56,221
1977	58,373			58,373
1978	138,433			138,433
1979	99,019			99,019
1980	77,768	9,623		87,391
1981	81,255	16,046		97,301
1982	78,331	17,381		95,712
1983	85,874	22,511		108,385
1984	126,762	32,764		159,526
1985	100,706	126,401		227,107
1986	57,197	151,400		208,597
1987	1,811	179,613	4	181,428
1988		213,323	9,833	223,156
1989		151,501	1,664	153,165
1990		69,677	14,293	83,970
1991			115,842	115,842
1992			149,569	149,569
1993			106,101	106,101
1994			144,544	144,544
1995			124,740	124,740
1996			129,659	129,659
1997			181,389	181,389
1998			101,201	101,201
1999			67,320	67,320
2000			83,850	83,850
2001			63,395	63,395
2002			73,000	73,000
2003			74,418	74,418
2004			69,046	69,046
2005			94,383	94,383
2006			99,068	99,068
2007			121,029	121,029
2008			148,894	148,894
2009			107,528	107,528
2010			118,624	118,624
2011			151,164	151,164
2012			133,000	133,000

Table 4.2 Estimates of retained and discarded (t) yellowfin sole caught in Bering Sea fisheries.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Retained</b>	<b>Discarded</b>
1987	3	1
1988	7,559	2,274
1989	1,279	385
1990	10,093	4,200
1991	89,054	26,788
1992	103,989	45,580
1993	76,798	26,838
1994	107,629	36,948
1995	96,718	28,022
1996	101,324	28,334
1997	149,570	31,818
1998	80,365	20,836
1999	55,202	12,118
2000	69,788	14,062
2001	54,759	8,635
2002	62,050	10,950
2003	63,732	10,686
2004	57,378	11,668
2005	85,321	9,062
2006	90,570	8,498
2007	109,084	11,945
2008	141,253	7,659
2009	92,488	5,733
2010	113,244	5,380
2011	146,419	4,745

Table 4.3. Discarded and retained catch of yellowfin sole, by fishery, in 2012.  
 Source: AKFIN.

Trip Target Name	Discarded	Retained
Atka Mackerel		
Pollock - bottom	34	702
Pacific Cod	725	1,119
Alaska Plaice	11	604
Other Flatfish	0	3
Halibut	0	0
Rockfish	0	0
Flathead Sole	4	869
Other Species	0	0
Pollock - midwater	277	86
Rock Sole	280	9,547
Sablefish	0	0
Greenland	0	0
Turbot		
Arrowtooth	0	1
Flounder		
Yellowfin Sole	3,416	133,489
Total catch		151,167

Table 4.4. Model estimated yellowfin sole fishery catch-at-age numbers (millions), 1977-2012.

	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17+
1977	45.26	63.75	58.53	52.81	27.82	9.31	4.34	1.99	1.47	1.87	1.28
1978	57.56	127.09	145.62	108.87	89.80	45.81	15.12	6.98	3.19	2.35	5.04
1979	26.58	63.18	97.56	85.60	55.90	43.69	21.86	7.17	3.30	1.51	3.49
1980	19.93	21.99	41.87	62.07	58.61	42.31	35.85	18.95	6.42	2.99	4.57
1981	28.89	36.37	34.40	54.04	66.37	53.44	34.12	26.57	13.30	4.37	5.05
1982	26.71	56.46	53.30	37.43	46.78	49.57	36.62	22.30	16.94	8.38	5.89
1983	42.14	44.69	69.86	54.15	34.57	41.54	43.37	31.86	19.36	14.70	12.37
1984	23.35	62.78	61.48	92.33	70.62	44.92	53.92	56.28	41.34	25.13	35.13
1985	21.16	58.26	107.48	84.27	115.79	86.00	54.23	64.94	67.74	49.75	72.50
1986	43.25	42.37	74.70	102.12	68.77	88.45	64.04	40.01	47.75	49.75	89.74
1987	13.11	44.77	33.62	54.89	77.05	53.34	69.55	50.64	31.71	37.87	110.65
1988	42.04	41.47	90.28	48.32	65.77	83.45	55.14	70.59	51.04	31.88	149.16
1989	2.38	40.12	32.07	60.56	30.21	39.89	50.12	33.02	42.23	30.53	108.28
1990	16.14	8.64	71.58	25.82	29.09	11.76	14.55	17.96	11.78	15.04	49.43
1991	6.49	43.72	14.51	84.03	25.92	27.88	11.16	13.78	17.01	11.16	61.07
1992	9.90	25.17	102.82	24.94	125.28	36.33	38.10	15.10	18.59	22.91	97.23
1993	7.66	8.70	15.74	55.83	13.53	71.23	21.61	23.37	9.43	11.73	76.17
1994	20.68	29.28	28.22	36.82	94.74	18.08	81.77	22.74	23.47	9.24	84.62
1995	22.52	40.07	35.13	24.73	28.28	69.67	13.12	59.12	16.43	16.95	67.76
1996	13.85	38.99	45.04	32.82	22.13	25.28	62.56	11.82	53.36	14.84	76.56
1997	17.16	23.80	59.54	62.20	42.54	27.76	31.24	76.85	14.49	65.32	111.78
1998	19.05	15.58	18.79	38.65	34.70	21.74	13.58	14.97	36.48	6.85	83.51
1999	1.86	7.49	6.82	9.40	21.96	21.35	13.88	8.80	9.76	23.83	59.07
2000	2.27	6.56	22.35	15.03	14.86	27.56	23.80	14.72	9.15	10.07	85.27
2001	2.89	5.97	11.56	24.89	11.96	10.08	17.69	15.05	9.28	5.77	60.07
2002	4.87	5.81	12.83	21.18	35.95	14.20	10.58	17.47	14.48	8.83	62.26
2003	4.51	26.35	16.94	18.97	21.11	31.07	11.77	8.68	14.28	11.83	58.07
2004	3.79	9.37	34.93	16.37	16.00	17.00	24.68	9.32	6.86	11.29	55.24
2005	9.92	13.74	21.96	56.04	21.15	18.90	19.49	28.02	10.55	7.76	75.24
2006	38.32	33.65	25.28	26.29	53.40	18.18	15.57	15.77	22.53	8.46	66.47
2007	13.25	36.12	32.77	26.92	29.31	60.58	20.75	17.81	18.06	25.80	85.79
2008	20.78	28.27	56.99	41.26	30.27	31.50	64.05	21.81	18.68	18.93	116.97
2009	9.94	23.47	25.59	41.72	27.30	19.36	19.94	40.43	13.76	11.78	85.70
2010	26.73	27.91	40.80	30.64	41.87	25.75	17.91	18.35	37.15	12.64	89.52
2011	10.71	50.11	41.54	50.82	34.99	46.60	28.53	19.86	20.36	41.24	113.41
2012	18.19	28.78	101.89	53.80	45.10	25.10	30.38	17.90	12.28	12.52	94.87

Table 4.5—Yellowfin sole biomass estimates (t) from the annual Bering Sea shelf bottom trawl survey and upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.

Year	Total	Lower CI	Upper CI
1975	972,500	812,300	1,132,700
1979	1,866,500	1,586,000	2,147,100
1980	1,842,400	1,553,200	2,131,700
1981	2,394,700	2,072,900	2,716,500
1982	3,377,800	2,571,000	4,184,600
1983	3,535,300	2,958,100	4,112,400
1984	3,141,200	2,636,800	3,645,600
1985	2,443,700	1,563,400	3,324,000
1986	1,909,900	1,480,700	2,339,000
1987	2,613,100	2,051,800	3,174,400
1988	2,402,400	1,808,400	2,996,300
1989	2,316,300	1,836,700	2,795,800
1990	2,183,800	1,886,200	2,479,400
1991	2,393,300	2,116,000	2,670,700
1992	2,172,900	1,898,900	2,690,600
1993	2,465,400	2,151,500	2,779,300
1994	2,610,500	2,266,800	2,954,100
1995	2,009,700	1,724,800	2,294,600
1996	2,298,600	1,749,900	2,847,300
1997	2,163,400	1,907,900	2,418,900
1998	2,329,600	2,033,130	2,626,070
1999	1,306,470	1,118,800	1,494,150
2000	1,581,900	1,382,000	1,781,800
2001	1,863,700	1,605,000	2,122,300
2002	2,016,700	1,740,700	2,292,700
2003	2,239,600	1,822,700	2,656,600
2004	2,530,600	2,147,900	2,913,300
2005	2,823,500	2,035,800	3,499,800
2006	2,133,070	1,818,253	2,447,932
2007	2,152,738	1,775,191	2,530,285
2008	2,099,521	1,599,100	2,600,000
2009	1,739,238	1,435,188	2,043,288
2010	2,367,830	1,807,430	2,928,230
2011	2,403,021	1,926,371	2,879,671
2012	1,951,400	1,675,982	2,226,819

Table 4.6. Yellowfin sole population numbers-at-age (millions) estimated from the annual bottom trawl surveys, 1982-2011.

**Females**

<b>year/age</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17+</b>
<b>1979</b>	21	113	150	442	616	386	555	801	626	528	219	274	59	35	29	15
<b>1980</b>	1	92	342	518	800	1055	413	661	880	651	765	285	113	33	23	23
<b>1981</b>	0	20	195	839	692	1321	1155	261	477	744	527	311	168	55	23	45
<b>1982</b>	38	183	349	1211	1485	1424	1619	843	829	832	704	409	246	159	51	84
<b>1983</b>	0	5	59	154	751	1413	843	1065	936	753	1155	866	295	160	60	54
<b>1984</b>	0	53	278	264	427	745	841	1111	1080	941	541	583	480	239	174	133
<b>1985</b>	0	3	105	442	587	406	632	915	441	518	545	384	298	321	205	127
<b>1986</b>	0	8	24	219	349	666	279	574	519	377	284	318	196	250	136	259
<b>1987</b>	0	0	70	120	803	458	843	259	376	599	356	449	243	270	247	688
<b>1988</b>	0	0	7	370	71	1495	560	557	184	239	351	208	360	273	219	886
<b>1989</b>	0	0	14	98	718	234	1337	593	446	74	179	308	234	238	183	565
<b>1990</b>	0	0	70	102	325	1066	192	1257	408	482	101	72	107	78	231	605
<b>1991</b>	0	10	127	248	123	405	896	151	1263	213	525	63	128	87	123	807
<b>1992</b>	0	19	247	485	520	213	286	938	94	825	75	309	129	137	170	715
<b>1993</b>	0	24	100	357	634	434	269	224	1314	78	866	157	165	69	68	674
<b>1994</b>	0	54	95	223	518	905	555	482	284	1170	516	44	274	142	42	588
<b>1995</b>	0	19	153	288	181	889	627	274	135	25	634	21	561	104	80	512
<b>1996</b>	0	16	154	809	288	279	434	517	206	146	151	602	116	637	47	619
<b>1997</b>	0	18	324	502	725	256	239	506	228	114	176	184	500	44	314	533
<b>1998</b>	0	10	83	479	420	900	260	203	370	413	369	170	176	265	67	1167
<b>1999</b>	0	3	65	198	175	185	727	104	107	245	190	186	72	102	175	425
<b>2000</b>	0	11	54	248	208	304	444	537	189	198	237	219	65	117	145	572
<b>2001</b>	0	1	71	239	522	248	403	415	654	374	83	191	154	127	189	617
<b>2002</b>	0	16	123	170	255	778	346	290	229	457	221	91	307	116	152	805
<b>2003</b>	0	15	115	241	251	287	1143	225	279	286	251	103	115	170	168	943
<b>2004</b>	10	33	192	430	560	441	217	966	221	212	218	219	106	20	167	1020
<b>2005</b>	0	53	167	194	602	433	213	487	834	196	144	191	324	170	53	1332
<b>2006</b>	0	67	302	376	276	634	470	176	325	738	133	133	71	156	175	514
<b>2007</b>	0	37	515	348	376	277	504	308	124	227	504	119	137	127	105	724
<b>2008</b>	0	24	115	736	621	546	359	355	198	117	259	350	153	79	85	732
<b>2009</b>	5	38	204	204	1187	609	488	259	210	218	129	138	196	88	43	444



<b>2010</b>	0	33	328	386	438	895	554	517	329	335	155	166	135	173	99	684
<b>2011</b>	0	14	243	539	707	463	769	410	457	204	226	149	142	145	186	619

Table 4.6.(continued)

**Males**

<b>year/age</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17+</b>
<b>1979</b>	21	115	143	390	381	303	583	847	604	406	349	247	54	76	29	36
<b>1980</b>	20	78	306	632	853	1221	457	558	616	568	444	370	147	18	8	8
<b>1981</b>	0	50	200	1047	640	1280	858	394	372	546	534	266	66	83	55	12
<b>1982</b>	89	193	428	1780	1781	1059	1673	644	774	463	471	482	302	8	24	8
<b>1983</b>	0	1	65	183	724	1729	808	1049	676	699	722	566	425	550	77	51
<b>1984</b>	0	68	246	323	497	734	830	612	788	718	358	379	201	316	122	106
<b>1985</b>	0	41	172	419	559	263	652	527	401	451	360	224	260	157	112	65
<b>1986</b>	0	13	47	108	373	652	262	327	284	335	211	205	115	210	82	252
<b>1987</b>	0	5	41	106	838	467	673	445	328	277	210	147	106	142	185	600
<b>1988</b>	0	2	10	435	49	1163	553	443	85	187	28	177	336	189	28	599
<b>1989</b>	0	2	23	181	788	177	1306	513	357	135	50	103	54	204	35	478
<b>1990</b>	0	11	47	121	316	888	195	1144	318	263	40	65	67	24	55	389
<b>1991</b>	0	0	103	354	139	275	1046	68	1137	328	244	74	64	60	53	420
<b>1992</b>	0	0	146	445	566	262	226	812	114	907	193	213	12	12	61	607
<b>1993</b>	0	20	52	233	646	393	279	247	1096	69	842	53	53	50	0	341
<b>1994</b>	4	22	71	166	427	953	656	308	191	822	26	622	46	132	11	303
<b>1995</b>	0	0	169	120	270	667	565	94	179	75	478	13	603	49	24	418
<b>1996</b>	0	76	95	837	244	227	425	344	331	141	139	399	61	449	125	495
<b>1997</b>	0	10	214	425	798	181	184	446	245	194	214	108	514	79	264	416
<b>1998</b>	0	48	70	351	569	832	159	226	204	272	346	140	157	191	113	814
<b>1999</b>	0	5	100	142	225	243	575	146	94	309	269	75	53	28	119	425
<b>2000</b>	0	0	36	219	259	143	509	583	78	215	133	77	92	78	66	547
<b>2001</b>	0	0	87	141	652	341	375	357	562	208	87	158	65	73	140	432
<b>2002</b>	0	58	72	158	309	758	318	333	262	442	194	120	220	161	133	507
<b>2003</b>	0	24	95	178	258	251	1074	238	363	53	284	173	10	71	57	682
<b>2004</b>	4	63	114	469	447	199	395	993	263	81	195	223	103	47	249	456
<b>2005</b>	0	49	166	187	474	476	204	288	972	123	142	121	133	69	93	726
<b>2006</b>	0	101	173	348	332	505	393	288	298	384	116	155	89	39	11	590
<b>2007</b>	0	58	481	352	405	284	545	209	166	252	338	101	133	72	59	620

<b>2008</b>	0	10	99	662	462	483	344	453	225	144	185	329	63	66	35	581
<b>2009</b>	0	65	144	289	946	462	555	248	249	217	78	31	195	30	29	363
<b>2010</b>	0	78	199	418	371	1032	462	510	171	189	159	53	117	151	78	678
<b>2011</b>	1	7	150	385	482	358	792	398	224	176	77	81	136	103	157	440

---

Table 4.7-Occurance of yellowfin sole in the Bering Sea trawl survey and collections of length and age structures and the number of otoliths aged from each survey.

Year	Total Hauls	Hauls w/length	Number lengths	Hauls w/otolith	Number otoliths	Number ages
1982	334	246	37,023	35	744	744
1983	353	256	33,924	37	709	709
1984	355	271	33,894	56	821	796
1985	358	262	33,831	44	810	802
1986	354	249	30,470	34	739	739
1987	360	224	31,241	16	798	798
1988	373	254	27,138	14	543	543
1989	373	235	29,518	24	740	740
1990	371	251	30,257	28	792	792
1991	372	249	27,988	26	742	742
1992	356	229	23,628	16	606	606
1993	375	242	26,651	20	549	549
1994	376	270	24,451	14	526	522
1995	376	254	22,116	20	654	647
1996	375	247	27,505	16	729	721
1997	376	262	26,034	11	470	466
1998	375	310	34,509	15	575	570
1999	373	276	28,431	31	777	770
2000	372	255	24,880	20	517	511
2001	375	251	26,558	25	604	593
2002	375	246	26,309	32	738	723
2003	376	241	27,135	37	699	695
2004	375	251	26,103	26	725	712
2005	373	251	24,658	34	644	635
2006	376	246	28,470	39	440	426
2007	376	247	24,790	66	779	772
2008	375	238	25,848	65	858	830
2009	376	235	22,018	70	784	752
2010	376	228	20,619	77	841	535
2011	376	228	21,665	65	784	753
2012	376	242	23,519	72	993	

Table 4.8—Total tonnage of yellowfin sole caught in resource assessment surveys in the eastern Bering Sea from 1977-2012.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Research catch (t)</b>
1977	60
1978	71
1979	147
1980	92
1981	74
1982	158
1983	254
1984	218
1985	105
1986	68
1987	92
1988	138
1989	148
1990	129
1991	118
1992	60
1993	95
1994	91
1995	95
1996	72
1997	76
1998	79
1999	61
2000	72
2001	75
2002	76
2003	78
2004	114
2005	94
2006	74
2007	74
2008	69
2009	60
2010	79
2011	77
2012	64

Table 4.9—Mean length and weight at age for yellowfin sole.

		average mean length at age (cm)																			
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
males		7.4	10.7	11.8	14.3	16.9	19.5	22.0	24.0	25.7	27	28	28.9	29.7	30.3	30.5	31	31.3	31.6	32.2	32.2
females			9.8	12.6	14.6	17.4	19.8	22.4	24.5	26.7	28.5	29.6	30.8	31.7	32.5	33	33.4	34.2	34.3	33.2	33.8

		weight at age (g)																			
		males																			
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1954		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1955		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1956		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1957		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1958		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1959		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1960		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1961		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1962		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1963		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1964		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1965		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1966		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1967		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1968		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1969		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1970		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1971		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1972		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1973		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1974		0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1975		4	14	18	32	54	85	120	156	193	225	253	280	303	324	330	344	355	366	390	423
1976		4	14	18	32	54	85	120	156	193	225	253	280	303	324	330	344	355	366	390	423
1977		4	14	18	32	54	85	120	156	193	225	253	280	303	324	330	344	355	366	390	423
1978		4	14	18	32	54	85	120	156	193	225	253	280	303	324	330	344	355	366	390	423
1979		4	14	18	32	54	85	120	156	193	225	253	280	303	324	330	344	355	366	390	423
1980		4	14	18	32	54	85	120	156	193	225	253	280	303	324	330	344	355	366	390	423
1981		4	14	18	32	54	85	120	156	193	225	253	280	303	324	330	344	355	366	390	423
1982		4	11	25	50	83	112	133	142	158	182	196	212	218	249	403	386	386	455	532	408
1983		4	5	5	23	57	95	156	156	155	176	212	227	227	254	262	287	271	370	370	408
1984		4	10	20	31	57	121	150	181	202	193	202	213	246	252	257	262	282	415	290	370
1985		4	11	23	32	51	84	148	186	214	227	228	246	277	267	283	305	407	389	532	387
1986		4	9	18	27	34	61	98	176	217	233	239	229	271	263	258	324	265	318	300	370
1987		4	8	14	17	27	53	97	157	211	226	260	267	311	309	276	291	307	296	329	394
1988		4	7	10	18	45	75	76	138	207	242	261	304	301	297	339	304	308	315	326	386
1989		4	7	10	27	47	72	142	130	179	244	270	351	338	352	317	302	391	309	361	348
1990		4	9	16	22	44	64	98	120	175	197	273	323	341	326	337	286	348	353	343	388
1991		4	9	17	29	51	75	100	132	180	212	266	267	325	355	326	359	352	304	532	381

1992	4	9	17	28	53	86	97	125	174	208	239	264	306	508	407	395	344	360	406	360
1993	4	9	18	45	56	93	135	145	206	209	238	265	387	303	349	363	376	349	342	384
1994	4	23	32	53	76	92	116	182	198	207	259	336	311	345	345	407	356	479	349	424
1995	4	10	19	32	59	88	110	154	177	207	249	258	336	294	319	377	367	383	401	448
1996	4	10	19	32	54	107	134	163	184	215	221	264	281	295	314	326	333	418	326	435
1997	4	8	14	37	64	75	149	174	185	239	231	248	261	303	349	336	384	370	346	444
1998	4	10	20	27	49	79	113	156	208	207	259	262	289	301	291	332	330	354	350	392
1999	4	6	7	18	37	63	95	123	170	171	245	281	269	269	347	330	395	350	350	450
2000	4	10	20	36	32	64	88	133	161	284	233	271	302	255	291	331	351	349	373	385
2001	4	9	16	27	38	51	91	152	161	198	268	240	280	299	292	320	343	357	430	434
2002	4	9	18	21	57	59	81	134	188	204	241	248	269	306	303	343	336	304	368	414
2003	4	11	22	39	53	83	109	161	179	251	248	304	263	468	330	339	305	339	352	405
2004	4	7	20	40	64	94	157	157	213	266	334	310	297	356	360	338	387	414	443	446
2005	4	11	24	44	77	110	136	170	201	262	278	332	366	308	328	350	375	347	349	434
2006	4	10	19	36	71	124	139	180	207	237	233	315	330	380	385	446	369	335	382	390
2007	4	10	19	36	63	107	140	181	208	248	291	286	311	340	375	342	353	369	422	430
2008	4	8	13	29	50	91	113	181	194	252	262	289	306	364	366	369	372	374	417	481
2009	4	7	11	20	39	74	112	133	194	273	270	302	348	321	379	320	405	370	391	460
2010	4	14	18	32	54	85	120	156	193	225	253	280	303	324	330	344	355	366	390	423
2011	4	14	17	25	47	81	134	164	174	305	283	330	291	346	332	344	389	364	375	400
2012	4	14	17	32	54	85	130	183	221	243	303	302	352	318	337	371	368	424	407	400

Females																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1954	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1955	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1956	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1957	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1958	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1959	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1960	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1961	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1962	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1963	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1964	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1965	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1966	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1967	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1968	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1969	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1970	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1971	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1972	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1973	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1974	0	4	15	34	60	91	125	160	195	230	263	294	322	348	372	393	412	429	444	481
1975	6	8	20	31	55	84	124	165	217	266	301	341	374	407	428	443	480	483	499	590
1976	6	8	20	31	55	84	124	165	217	266	301	341	374	407	428	443	480	483	499	590

1977	6	8	20	31	55	84	124	165	217	266	301	341	374	407	428	443	480	483	499	590
1978	6	8	20	31	55	84	124	165	217	266	301	341	374	407	428	443	480	483	499	590
1979	6	8	20	31	55	84	124	165	217	266	301	341	374	407	428	443	480	483	499	590
1980	6	8	20	31	55	84	124	165	217	266	301	341	374	407	428	443	480	483	499	590
1981	6	8	20	31	55	84	124	165	217	266	301	341	374	407	428	443	480	483	499	590
1982	6	8	20	42	75	98	139	176	214	233	235	289	300	339	336	406	490	417	386	568
1983	6	10	14	26	60	103	162	185	201	243	255	280	329	395	477	539	583	578	630	685
1984	6	14	26	33	57	110	156	177	222	246	294	338	332	325	422	436	458	497	665	654
1985	6	11	16	28	46	77	177	202	251	286	302	323	371	370	421	425	499	624	600	620
1986	6	14	27	23	41	71	103	173	239	284	338	342	350	402	351	391	422	440	455	611
1987	6	10	14	20	47	55	127	179	256	317	324	373	373	385	384	422	412	458	436	523
1988	6	9	12	16	34	66	85	159	237	286	307	378	396	404	388	415	437	429	485	578
1989	6	12	21	33	67	71	112	133	197	279	339	402	430	449	456	456	456	578	476	516
1990	6	11	17	24	38	65	99	126	197	243	321	449	450	416	446	464	455	471	523	569
1991	6	11	16	23	58	56	100	142	156	238	310	370	457	446	473	474	490	492	484	598
1992	6	12	21	29	55	85	121	177	176	283	305	284	352	435	516	459	484	519	459	547
1993	6	15	28	35	64	93	155	165	232	244	301	333	368	442	452	497	499	471	538	586
1994	6	20	46	53	86	87	125	155	235	276	284	337	396	351	461	464	480	476	514	553
1995	6	12	20	28	60	84	123	160	217	284	332	340	443	384	414	454	439	619	482	589
1996	6	11	16	36	51	108	137	167	202	222	311	318	334	405	399	432	534	462	523	558
1997	6	16	34	33	72	85	157	200	236	260	292	353	373	401	469	440	490	431	515	600
1998	6	10	14	36	51	90	104	177	237	278	279	318	370	416	405	403	448	407	532	581
1999	6	9	12	18	37	67	103	131	239	284	296	328	348	384	396	416	461	502	477	639
2000	6	11	16	33	33	91	81	158	175	237	306	310	373	401	440	422	494	506	483	636
2001	6	6	6	32	41	57	83	148	179	255	305	357	372	447	415	420	422	476	522	598
2002	6	11	18	27	48	65	87	120	224	243	261	337	346	374	408	434	452	505	489	585
2003	6	9	12	31	53	86	124	156	213	289	303	344	407	425	399	434	365	438	457	536
2004	6	9	18	43	63	101	168	172	245	299	346	380	407	483	543	450	461	464	500	604
2005	6	14	26	44	78	114	152	213	238	277	337	347	397	439	461	531	522	438	539	629
2006	6	9	13	40	82	125	153	204	245	319	314	375	370	533	460	476	865	480	537	691
2007	6	11	16	36	66	115	173	198	244	316	311	362	358	417	461	462	497	491	611	640
2008	6	13	24	28	54	98	129	199	226	286	320	355	384	442	434	471	530	530	552	630
2009	6	6	9	18	45	69	127	163	239	306	322	375	416	381	413	473	736	539	491	679
2010	6	8	20	31	55	84	124	165	217	266	301	341	374	407	428	443	480	483	499	590
2011	6	8	18	25	56	80	126	188	205	327	332	372	403	415	440	426	369	491	542	590
2012	6	8	12	32	49	102	143	195	262	306	327	380	404	448	418	515	443	498	581	590

Table 4.10. Female yellowfin sole proportion mature at age from Nichol (1994).

Age	Proportion mature
1	0.00
2	0.00
3	.001
4	.004
5	.008
6	.020
7	.046
8	.104
9	.217
10	.397
11	.612
12	.790
13	.899
14	.955
15	.981
16	.992
17	.997
18	1.000
19	1.000
20	1.000



Table 4.11. Key equations used in the population dynamics model.

$N_{t,1} = R_t = R_0 e^{\tau_t}$ , $\tau_t \sim N(0, \delta^2_R)$	Recruitment 1956-75
$N_{t,1} = R_t = R_\gamma e^{\tau_t}$ , $\tau_t \sim N(0, \delta^2_R)$	Recruitment 1976-96
$C_{t,a} = \frac{F_{t,a}}{Z_{t,a}} (1 - e^{-z_{t,a}}) N_{t,a}$	Catch in year $t$ for age $a$ fish
$N_{t+1,a+1} = N_{t,a} e^{-z_{t,a}}$	Numbers of fish in year $t+1$ at age $a$
$N_{t+1,A} = N_{t,A-1} e^{-z_{t,A-1}} + N_{t,A} e^{-z_{t,A}}$	Numbers of fish in the “plus group”
$S_t = \sum N_{t,a} W_{t,a} \phi_a$	Spawning biomass
$Z_{t,a} = F_{t,a} + M$	Total mortality in year $t$ at age $a$
$F_{t,a} = s_a \mu^F \exp^{\varepsilon^F_t}$ , $\varepsilon^F_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2_F)$	Fishing mortality
$s_a = \frac{1}{1 + (e^{-\alpha + \beta a})}$	Age-specific fishing selectivity
$C_t = \sum C_{t,a}$	Total catch in numbers
$P_{t,a} = C_{t,a} / C_t$	Proportion at age in catch
$SurB_t = q \sum N_{t,a} W_{t,a} v_a$	Survey biomass

Table 4.11—continued.

$$qprior = \lambda \frac{0.5(\ln q_{est,t} - \ln q_{prior})^2}{\sigma_q^2} \quad \text{survey catchability prior (when estimated)}$$

$$mprior = \lambda \frac{0.5(\ln m_{est} - \ln m_{prior})^2}{\sigma_m^2} \quad \text{natural mortality prior (when estimated)}$$

$$reclike = \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1965}^{\text{endyear}} (R - R_i)^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{20} (R_{init} - R_{init,a})^2 + \frac{1}{2 \left( \left( \sum_{i=1965}^{\text{endyear}} (R - R_i) \right) \frac{1}{n+1} \right)} \right) \quad \text{recruitment likelihood}$$

$$catchlike = \lambda \sum_{i=\text{startyear}}^{\text{endyear}} (\ln C_{obs,i} - \ln C_{est,i})^2 \quad \text{catch likelihood}$$

$$surveylike = \lambda \frac{(\ln B - \ln \hat{B})^2}{2\sigma^2} \quad \text{survey likelihood}$$

$$SurvAgelike = \sum_{i,t} m_i P_{t,a} \ln \frac{\hat{P}_{t,a}}{P_{t,a}} \quad \text{survey age composition likelihood}$$

$$FishAgelike = \sum_{i,t} m_i P_{t,a} \ln \frac{\hat{P}_{t,a}}{P_{t,a}} \quad \text{fishery age composition likelihood}$$

Table 4.12. Variables used in the population dynamics model.

Variables

$R_t$	Age 1 recruitment in year $t$
$R_0$	Geometric mean value of age 1 recruitment, 1956-75
$R_\gamma$	Geometric mean value of age 1 recruitment, 1976-96
$\tau_t$	Recruitment deviation in year $t$
$N_{t,a}$	Number of fish in year $t$ at age $a$
$C_{t,a}$	Catch numbers of fish in year $t$ at age $a$
$P_{t,a}$	Proportion of the numbers of fish age $a$ in year $t$
$C_t$	Total catch numbers in year $t$
$W_{t,a}$	Mean body weight (kg) of fish age $a$ in year $t$
$\phi_a$	Proportion of mature females at age $a$
$F_{t,a}$	Instantaneous annual fishing mortality of age $a$ fish in year $t$
$M$	Instantaneous natural mortality, assumed constant over all ages and years
$Z_{t,a}$	Instantaneous total mortality for age $a$ fish in year $t$
$s_a$	Age-specific fishing gear selectivity
$\mu^F$	Median year-effect of fishing mortality
$\varepsilon_t^F$	The residual year-effect of fishing mortality
$v_a$	Age-specific survey selectivity
$\alpha$	Slope parameter in the logistic selectivity equation
$\beta$	Age at 50% selectivity parameter in the logistic selectivity equation
$\sigma_t$	Standard error of the survey biomass in year $t$

Table 4.13. Models evaluated for stock productivity in the 2012 stock assessment of yellowfin sole

	<b>Model A</b>	<b>Model B</b>
<b>Years included</b>	1955-2006	1978-2006
<b>Fmsy</b>	0.163	0.112
<b>Bmsy (t)</b>	268,000	353,000
<b>ABC (t)</b>	317,900	206,200
<b>OFL (t)</b>	319,900	219,600
<b>Buffer between ABC and OFL</b>	1%	6%







Table 4.15. Model estimates of yellowfin sole full selection fishing mortality and exploitation rate (catch/total biomass).

<b>Year</b>	<b>Full selection F</b>	<b>Exploitation Rate</b>
1964	0.27	0.14
1965	0.25	0.07
1966	0.44	0.12
1967	0.54	0.20
1968	0.35	0.11
1969	0.66	0.22
1970	0.68	0.19
1971	1.02	0.22
1972	0.31	0.06
1973	0.53	0.08
1974	0.17	0.04
1975	0.14	0.05
1976	0.14	0.03
1977	0.06	0.03
1978	0.12	0.06
1979	0.07	0.04
1980	0.08	0.04
1981	0.07	0.04
1982	0.05	0.04
1983	0.05	0.04
1984	0.08	0.06
1985	0.12	0.08
1986	0.11	0.07
1987	0.11	0.07
1988	0.14	0.08
1989	0.11	0.06
1990	0.05	0.03
1991	0.05	0.04
1992	0.09	0.06
1993	0.07	0.04
1994	0.08	0.06
1995	0.07	0.05
1996	0.07	0.05
1997	0.11	0.08
1998	0.06	0.05
1999	0.05	0.03
2000	0.06	0.04
2001	0.05	0.03
2002	0.05	0.03
2003	0.05	0.04
2004	0.05	0.03



2005	0.06	0.05
2006	0.06	0.05
2007	0.08	0.06
2008	0.11	0.07
2009	0.09	0.05
2010	0.10	0.06
2011	0.14	0.07
2012		0.06

---









Table 4.17. Model estimates of yellowfin sole age 2+ total biomass (t) and begin-year female spawning biomass (t) from the 2011 and 2012 stock assessments.

Year	2011 Assessment		2012 Assessment	
	Female spawning biomass	Total biomass	Female spawning biomass	Total biomass
1964	139,362	780,308	127,733	771,625
1965	167,014	781,471	143,009	767,314
1966	205,430	838,305	159,627	819,804
1967	216,786	831,268	151,517	810,860
1968	212,659	760,966	130,719	733,371
1969	197,417	778,939	120,504	757,973
1970	144,670	725,519	92,773	709,264
1971	106,535	747,700	72,090	733,241
1972	90,836	774,704	47,137	745,387
1973	97,747	948,921	52,048	923,714
1974	109,601	1,128,100	65,143	1,111,290
1975	145,220	1,387,300	97,831	1,375,670
1976	194,006	1,637,640	147,292	1,629,730
1977	264,874	1,899,120	220,952	1,895,140
1978	353,086	2,146,950	313,144	2,146,370
1979	440,852	2,286,760	406,321	2,289,690
1980	540,465	2,446,710	510,239	2,452,730
1981	634,779	2,593,320	607,825	2,601,440
1982	719,625	2,717,610	695,993	2,728,410
1983	796,589	2,807,460	775,810	2,820,490
1984	852,453	2,867,460	834,221	2,882,260
1985	867,750	2,851,680	852,904	2,868,480
1986	852,850	2,766,960	840,950	2,785,530
1987	828,568	2,696,570	818,932	2,716,620
1988	793,115	2,670,800	785,310	2,692,360
1989	752,543	2,587,080	746,208	2,609,920
1990	759,509	2,574,790	754,197	2,598,860
1991	803,565	2,605,200	799,132	2,630,260
1992	837,044	2,604,970	833,483	2,631,000
1993	859,981	2,532,270	857,165	2,559,340
1994	872,684	2,488,080	870,482	2,516,060
1995	860,272	2,397,370	858,739	2,426,400
1996	837,648	2,330,420	836,645	2,360,690
1997	796,679	2,254,840	796,104	2,286,520
1998	753,953	2,123,080	753,792	2,156,230
1999	732,818	2,073,090	733,067	2,107,710
2000	722,844	2,080,120	723,588	2,116,370
2001	716,424	2,070,420	717,839	2,108,240

2002	707,861	2,066,670	710,079	2,105,270
2003	707,040	2,061,690	710,213	2,100,650
2004	701,645	2,061,730	705,755	2,100,360
2005	697,860	2,063,330	702,773	2,101,570
2006	687,063	2,041,540	692,642	2,079,070
2007	670,161	2,039,230	676,246	2,073,210
2008	636,839	2,036,040	643,154	2,070,390
2009	597,012	2,009,360	603,153	2,042,740
2010	572,579	2,041,070	578,050	2,072,760
2011	558,674	2,077,490	555,717	2,107,030
2012			540,236	2,117,470

---

Table 4.18—Model estimates of yellowfin sole population numbers at age (billions) for 1954-2012.

<b>Females</b>																				
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>1954</b>	1.02	0.71	0.33	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24
<b>1955</b>	0.83	0.91	0.63	0.29	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.42
<b>1956</b>	0.62	0.74	0.80	0.56	0.26	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.56
<b>1957</b>	1.78	0.55	0.66	0.71	0.49	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.65
<b>1958</b>	1.20	1.58	0.49	0.58	0.63	0.44	0.21	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.71
<b>1959</b>	0.90	1.06	1.40	0.43	0.52	0.56	0.39	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.73
<b>1960</b>	0.85	0.80	0.94	1.24	0.39	0.46	0.49	0.34	0.16	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.67
<b>1961</b>	0.49	0.75	0.71	0.84	1.10	0.34	0.40	0.43	0.29	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.44
<b>1962</b>	0.93	0.44	0.67	0.63	0.74	0.97	0.30	0.36	0.38	0.24	0.09	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.16
<b>1963</b>	0.47	0.82	0.39	0.59	0.55	0.66	0.86	0.27	0.32	0.33	0.21	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1964</b>	0.42	0.42	0.73	0.34	0.52	0.49	0.56	0.69	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.13	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1965</b>	0.55	0.38	0.37	0.64	0.25	0.35	0.33	0.38	0.47	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.09	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1966</b>	0.59	0.49	0.33	0.33	0.57	0.22	0.31	0.29	0.33	0.40	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1967</b>	1.20	0.52	0.44	0.30	0.29	0.50	0.20	0.28	0.25	0.28	0.30	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1968</b>	1.81	1.07	0.46	0.39	0.26	0.26	0.43	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1969</b>	1.83	1.61	0.95	0.41	0.34	0.23	0.23	0.38	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1970</b>	2.39	1.62	1.42	0.84	0.36	0.30	0.20	0.19	0.30	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
<b>1971</b>	2.63	2.12	1.44	1.26	0.74	0.32	0.27	0.18	0.17	0.26	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1972</b>	2.06	2.33	1.88	1.28	1.12	0.66	0.26	0.11	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1973</b>	1.43	1.83	2.07	1.67	1.13	0.99	0.58	0.23	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1974</b>	1.92	1.27	1.62	1.84	1.48	1.00	0.88	0.51	0.20	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1975</b>	2.26	1.71	1.12	1.44	1.63	1.31	0.89	0.78	0.45	0.17	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1976</b>	1.49	2.00	1.51	1.00	1.27	1.44	1.16	0.77	0.65	0.36	0.13	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1977</b>	1.87	1.32	1.78	1.34	0.88	1.13	1.27	1.01	0.66	0.54	0.29	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1978</b>	1.22	1.65	1.17	1.57	1.18	0.78	0.98	1.10	0.86	0.56	0.45	0.24	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1979</b>	0.78	1.08	1.47	1.04	1.39	1.05	0.68	0.84	0.91	0.69	0.44	0.36	0.19	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
<b>1980</b>	1.50	0.69	0.96	1.30	0.92	1.23	0.92	0.59	0.72	0.76	0.57	0.37	0.29	0.16	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01



Table 4.18—Model estimates of yellowfin sole population numbers at age (billions) for 1954-2012 (continued).

<b>Females</b>																				
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>1981</b>	1.11	1.33	0.61	0.85	1.15	0.81	1.08	0.80	0.51	0.61	0.64	0.48	0.30	0.24	0.13	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
<b>1982</b>	3.19	0.98	1.18	0.54	0.75	1.02	0.71	0.95	0.69	0.43	0.51	0.53	0.40	0.25	0.20	0.11	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01
<b>1983</b>	0.59	2.83	0.87	1.05	0.48	0.66	0.90	0.62	0.81	0.59	0.37	0.43	0.45	0.33	0.21	0.17	0.09	0.03	0.01	0.02
<b>1984</b>	2.61	0.52	2.51	0.77	0.93	0.42	0.58	0.78	0.53	0.69	0.50	0.31	0.37	0.38	0.28	0.18	0.14	0.08	0.03	0.03
<b>1985</b>	0.90	2.32	0.46	2.23	0.69	0.82	0.37	0.51	0.66	0.44	0.57	0.41	0.25	0.30	0.31	0.23	0.15	0.12	0.06	0.04
<b>1986</b>	0.69	0.80	2.06	0.41	1.97	0.61	0.72	0.32	0.43	0.55	0.36	0.45	0.32	0.20	0.24	0.24	0.18	0.11	0.09	0.08
<b>1987</b>	0.93	0.61	0.71	1.82	0.36	1.75	0.53	0.62	0.27	0.35	0.43	0.28	0.36	0.26	0.16	0.19	0.19	0.14	0.09	0.14
<b>1988</b>	1.25	0.82	0.54	0.63	1.62	0.32	1.54	0.47	0.54	0.23	0.29	0.35	0.23	0.29	0.20	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.18
<b>1989</b>	1.24	1.11	0.73	0.48	0.55	1.43	0.28	1.35	0.40	0.44	0.18	0.22	0.27	0.18	0.22	0.16	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.23
<b>1990</b>	0.61	1.10	0.99	0.65	0.42	0.49	1.27	0.25	1.18	0.34	0.36	0.15	0.18	0.22	0.14	0.18	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.28
<b>1991</b>	0.67	0.54	0.97	0.87	0.57	0.38	0.44	1.12	0.22	1.02	0.29	0.31	0.12	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.31
<b>1992</b>	1.47	0.60	0.48	0.86	0.78	0.51	0.33	0.38	0.98	0.19	0.87	0.25	0.26	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.13	0.09	0.32
<b>1993</b>	0.86	1.31	0.53	0.43	0.77	0.69	0.45	0.29	0.33	0.83	0.16	0.71	0.20	0.21	0.08	0.10	0.13	0.08	0.10	0.33
<b>1994</b>	0.72	0.77	1.16	0.47	0.38	0.68	0.61	0.39	0.25	0.29	0.71	0.13	0.60	0.17	0.18	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.36
<b>1995</b>	0.71	0.64	0.68	1.03	0.42	0.33	0.60	0.53	0.34	0.21	0.24	0.58	0.11	0.49	0.14	0.15	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.35
<b>1996</b>	1.78	0.63	0.57	0.60	0.91	0.37	0.29	0.52	0.45	0.28	0.18	0.20	0.48	0.09	0.41	0.11	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.36
<b>1997</b>	0.75	1.58	0.56	0.50	0.53	0.80	0.32	0.26	0.45	0.38	0.24	0.15	0.16	0.40	0.07	0.34	0.09	0.10	0.04	0.35
<b>1998</b>	0.63	0.66	1.40	0.50	0.44	0.47	0.70	0.28	0.22	0.37	0.31	0.19	0.12	0.13	0.32	0.06	0.27	0.08	0.08	0.31
<b>1999</b>	0.77	0.56	0.59	1.24	0.44	0.39	0.41	0.61	0.24	0.18	0.31	0.26	0.16	0.10	0.11	0.26	0.05	0.22	0.06	0.32
<b>2000</b>	1.08	0.69	0.49	0.52	1.10	0.39	0.35	0.37	0.54	0.21	0.16	0.26	0.22	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.22	0.04	0.19	0.32
<b>2001</b>	0.70	0.96	0.61	0.44	0.46	0.98	0.35	0.31	0.32	0.47	0.18	0.13	0.22	0.18	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.19	0.03	0.43
<b>2002</b>	1.01	0.62	0.85	0.54	0.39	0.41	0.86	0.30	0.27	0.28	0.40	0.15	0.11	0.19	0.16	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.16	0.39
<b>2003</b>	1.05	0.90	0.55	0.75	0.48	0.34	0.36	0.76	0.27	0.23	0.24	0.34	0.13	0.09	0.16	0.13	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.46
<b>2004</b>	2.15	0.94	0.80	0.49	0.67	0.42	0.30	0.32	0.67	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.07	0.04	0.43
<b>2005</b>	0.95	1.91	0.83	0.71	0.44	0.59	0.38	0.27	0.28	0.57	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.24	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.40
<b>2006</b>	1.40	0.85	1.69	0.74	0.63	0.39	0.52	0.33	0.23	0.24	0.48	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.20	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.38
<b>2007</b>	1.78	1.24	0.75	1.50	0.65	0.55	0.33	0.44	0.28	0.19	0.20	0.40	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.17	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.38
<b>2008</b>	1.71	1.58	1.10	0.66	1.33	0.58	0.49	0.29	0.38	0.23	0.16	0.16	0.33	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.14	0.05	0.04	0.38
<b>2009</b>	0.86	1.52	1.40	0.97	0.59	1.18	0.51	0.42	0.25	0.31	0.19	0.13	0.13	0.26	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.04	0.33
<b>2010</b>	1.03	0.77	1.35	1.24	0.86	0.52	1.04	0.44	0.36	0.20	0.25	0.15	0.10	0.11	0.21	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.30
<b>2011</b>	1.09	0.91	0.68	1.19	1.10	0.76	0.46	0.91	0.38	0.30	0.17	0.21	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.17	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.31
<b>2012</b>	1.09	0.96	0.81	0.60	1.06	0.98	0.68	0.40	0.79	0.32	0.24	0.13	0.16	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.05	0.04	0.28

Table 4.18—Model estimates of yellowfin sole population numbers at age (billions) for 1954-2012 (continued).

<b>Males</b>																				
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>1954</b>	1.02	0.42	0.29	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24
<b>1955</b>	0.83	0.91	0.37	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.42
<b>1956</b>	0.62	0.74	0.80	0.33	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.56
<b>1957</b>	1.78	0.55	0.66	0.71	0.29	0.20	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.65
<b>1958</b>	1.20	1.58	0.49	0.58	0.63	0.26	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.71
<b>1959</b>	0.90	1.06	1.40	0.43	0.52	0.56	0.23	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.73
<b>1960</b>	0.85	0.80	0.94	1.24	0.39	0.46	0.49	0.20	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.67
<b>1961</b>	0.49	0.75	0.71	0.84	1.10	0.34	0.40	0.41	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.44
<b>1962</b>	0.93	0.44	0.67	0.62	0.74	0.96	0.28	0.29	0.22	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.16
<b>1963</b>	0.47	0.82	0.39	0.59	0.54	0.60	0.60	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1964</b>	0.42	0.42	0.73	0.34	0.52	0.48	0.52	0.49	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1965</b>	0.55	0.38	0.37	0.65	0.31	0.46	0.42	0.46	0.42	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1966</b>	0.59	0.49	0.33	0.33	0.57	0.27	0.41	0.37	0.38	0.31	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1967</b>	1.20	0.52	0.44	0.30	0.29	0.51	0.24	0.35	0.30	0.26	0.19	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1968</b>	1.81	1.07	0.46	0.39	0.26	0.26	0.45	0.21	0.30	0.24	0.18	0.11	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1969</b>	1.83	1.61	0.95	0.41	0.34	0.23	0.23	0.38	0.16	0.21	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1970</b>	2.39	1.62	1.42	0.84	0.36	0.30	0.21	0.20	0.30	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1971</b>	2.63	2.12	1.44	1.26	0.74	0.32	0.25	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1972</b>	2.06	2.33	1.88	1.28	1.12	0.66	0.28	0.22	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1973</b>	1.43	1.83	2.07	1.67	1.13	0.99	0.57	0.23	0.15	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1974</b>	1.92	1.27	1.62	1.84	1.48	1.00	0.86	0.44	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1975</b>	2.26	1.71	1.12	1.44	1.62	1.30	0.86	0.70	0.34	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1976</b>	1.49	2.00	1.51	1.00	1.27	1.44	1.14	0.72	0.55	0.26	0.08	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1977</b>	1.87	1.32	1.78	1.34	0.88	1.13	1.27	1.00	0.62	0.46	0.21	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1978</b>	1.22	1.66	1.17	1.57	1.19	0.78	1.00	1.12	0.86	0.52	0.38	0.18	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>1979</b>	0.78	1.08	1.47	1.04	1.39	1.05	0.69	0.86	0.94	0.70	0.42	0.30	0.14	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
<b>1980</b>	1.50	0.69	0.96	1.30	0.92	1.23	0.92	0.60	0.73	0.78	0.58	0.34	0.25	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01

Table 4.18—Model estimates of yellowfin sole population numbers at age (billions) for 1954-2012 (continued).

<b>Males</b>																				
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>1981</b>	1.11	1.33	0.61	0.85	1.15	0.81	1.09	0.81	0.52	0.64	0.67	0.49	0.29	0.21	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
<b>1982</b>	3.19	0.98	1.18	0.54	0.75	1.02	0.72	0.95	0.71	0.45	0.54	0.57	0.41	0.24	0.17	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02
<b>1983</b>	0.59	2.83	0.87	1.05	0.48	0.66	0.89	0.62	0.82	0.60	0.38	0.46	0.48	0.35	0.20	0.15	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.02
<b>1984</b>	2.61	0.52	2.51	0.77	0.93	0.42	0.58	0.77	0.53	0.69	0.51	0.32	0.39	0.40	0.29	0.17	0.12	0.06	0.02	0.02
<b>1985</b>	0.90	2.32	0.46	2.23	0.69	0.82	0.37	0.50	0.65	0.44	0.57	0.42	0.26	0.32	0.33	0.24	0.14	0.10	0.05	0.03
<b>1986</b>	0.69	0.80	2.06	0.41	1.97	0.61	0.72	0.32	0.41	0.52	0.35	0.45	0.33	0.21	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.11	0.08	0.06
<b>1987</b>	0.93	0.61	0.71	1.82	0.36	1.75	0.53	0.61	0.26	0.33	0.41	0.27	0.36	0.26	0.16	0.20	0.21	0.15	0.09	0.11
<b>1988</b>	1.25	0.82	0.54	0.63	1.62	0.32	1.54	0.46	0.51	0.21	0.26	0.33	0.22	0.28	0.21	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.12	0.16
<b>1989</b>	1.24	1.11	0.73	0.48	0.56	1.43	0.29	1.35	0.39	0.41	0.16	0.20	0.25	0.17	0.22	0.16	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.21
<b>1990</b>	0.61	1.10	0.99	0.65	0.42	0.49	1.27	0.25	1.17	0.33	0.33	0.13	0.16	0.20	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.08	0.10	0.27
<b>1991</b>	0.67	0.54	0.97	0.87	0.57	0.38	0.44	1.12	0.22	1.00	0.28	0.28	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.11	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.31
<b>1992</b>	1.47	0.60	0.48	0.86	0.78	0.51	0.33	0.38	0.96	0.18	0.84	0.23	0.24	0.09	0.11	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.32
<b>1993</b>	0.86	1.31	0.53	0.43	0.77	0.69	0.45	0.29	0.32	0.80	0.15	0.69	0.19	0.19	0.08	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.10	0.33
<b>1994</b>	0.72	0.77	1.16	0.47	0.38	0.68	0.61	0.39	0.25	0.28	0.68	0.13	0.58	0.16	0.16	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.36
<b>1995</b>	0.71	0.64	0.68	1.03	0.42	0.33	0.60	0.53	0.33	0.21	0.23	0.56	0.11	0.47	0.13	0.13	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.35
<b>1996</b>	1.78	0.63	0.57	0.60	0.91	0.37	0.29	0.52	0.45	0.28	0.17	0.19	0.47	0.09	0.39	0.11	0.11	0.04	0.05	0.36
<b>1997</b>	0.75	1.58	0.56	0.50	0.53	0.80	0.32	0.25	0.44	0.37	0.23	0.14	0.16	0.38	0.07	0.32	0.09	0.09	0.04	0.34
<b>1998</b>	0.63	0.66	1.40	0.50	0.44	0.47	0.70	0.28	0.21	0.36	0.30	0.18	0.11	0.13	0.30	0.06	0.26	0.07	0.07	0.30
<b>1999</b>	0.77	0.56	0.59	1.24	0.44	0.39	0.42	0.62	0.24	0.18	0.30	0.25	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.25	0.05	0.21	0.06	0.30
<b>2000</b>	1.08	0.69	0.49	0.52	1.10	0.39	0.35	0.37	0.54	0.21	0.16	0.26	0.21	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.21	0.04	0.18	0.31
<b>2001</b>	0.70	0.96	0.61	0.44	0.46	0.98	0.35	0.31	0.32	0.47	0.18	0.13	0.21	0.18	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.18	0.03	0.41
<b>2002</b>	1.01	0.62	0.85	0.54	0.39	0.41	0.87	0.31	0.27	0.28	0.41	0.15	0.11	0.18	0.15	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.15	0.37
<b>2003</b>	1.05	0.90	0.55	0.75	0.48	0.34	0.36	0.77	0.27	0.24	0.24	0.35	0.13	0.09	0.15	0.13	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.44
<b>2004</b>	2.15	0.94	0.80	0.49	0.67	0.42	0.30	0.32	0.66	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.29	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.07	0.04	0.42
<b>2005</b>	0.95	1.91	0.83	0.71	0.44	0.59	0.38	0.27	0.28	0.57	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.25	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.39
<b>2006</b>	1.40	0.85	1.69	0.74	0.63	0.39	0.52	0.33	0.23	0.24	0.48	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.21	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.37
<b>2007</b>	1.78	1.24	0.75	1.50	0.65	0.55	0.34	0.45	0.28	0.19	0.20	0.40	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.17	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.37
<b>2008</b>	1.71	1.58	1.10	0.66	1.33	0.58	0.49	0.29	0.38	0.23	0.16	0.16	0.33	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.14	0.05	0.04	0.36
<b>2009</b>	0.86	1.52	1.40	0.97	0.59	1.18	0.51	0.42	0.25	0.31	0.18	0.13	0.13	0.26	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.04	0.32
<b>2010</b>	1.03	0.77	1.35	1.24	0.86	0.52	1.04	0.45	0.37	0.21	0.25	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.21	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.29
<b>2011</b>	1.09	0.91	0.68	1.19	1.10	0.76	0.46	0.91	0.38	0.30	0.17	0.20	0.12	0.08	0.08	0.17	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.31
<b>2012</b>	1.09	0.96	0.81	0.60	1.06	0.98	0.67	0.40	0.78	0.32	0.25	0.13	0.16	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.04	0.04	0.28

Table 4.19—Model estimates of the number of female spawners (millions) 1964-2012.

year/age	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
<b>1964</b>	4.4	5.8	26.0	71.9	42.3	84.1	131.7	105.2	39.8	14.2	2.6	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.8
<b>1965</b>	2.1	4.2	15.2	39.5	101.6	52.5	87.8	115.1	81.0	28.6	9.9	1.8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.6
<b>1966</b>	4.8	2.7	14.5	30.2	72.2	158.2	63.9	82.5	91.8	59.6	20.3	6.9	1.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
<b>1967</b>	2.4	6.0	9.2	28.8	55.0	110.8	183.4	53.5	55.8	56.3	35.1	11.7	4.0	0.7	0.1	0.3
<b>1968</b>	2.2	3.1	20.0	15.6	36.1	53.8	89.0	122.8	31.6	30.7	30.0	18.4	6.1	2.1	0.4	0.2
<b>1969</b>	2.9	2.8	10.5	39.7	28.8	58.0	71.0	90.7	97.4	21.6	19.9	18.9	11.5	3.8	1.3	0.3
<b>1970</b>	3.1	3.6	9.5	20.2	64.8	34.0	47.3	43.8	47.8	47.5	10.2	9.2	8.7	5.3	1.8	0.7
<b>1971</b>	6.2	3.9	12.5	18.8	37.2	103.6	43.3	41.6	26.2	23.7	22.1	4.6	4.2	3.9	2.4	1.1
<b>1972</b>	9.4	7.9	11.8	11.0	12.6	21.7	50.9	17.8	15.1	8.9	7.7	7.1	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.1
<b>1973</b>	9.5	11.9	27.0	23.6	20.3	20.3	27.1	44.5	13.2	10.4	5.9	5.1	4.7	1.0	0.9	1.5
<b>1974</b>	12.4	12.0	40.7	53.5	43.0	31.4	24.2	23.1	29.1	7.6	5.6	3.1	2.7	2.4	0.5	1.3
<b>1975</b>	13.7	15.7	41.2	80.9	98.0	67.8	40.1	24.7	20.1	23.3	5.8	4.3	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.3
<b>1976</b>	10.7	17.3	53.5	80.3	140.9	142.8	81.3	40.0	21.6	16.5	18.5	4.5	3.3	1.8	1.5	2.4
<b>1977</b>	7.4	13.5	59.0	105.3	144.1	214.7	177.1	82.5	35.4	17.8	13.1	14.5	3.5	2.6	1.4	3.1
<b>1978</b>	9.9	9.3	45.5	114.1	187.1	222.6	277.7	191.1	78.3	31.3	15.3	11.0	12.1	3.0	2.1	3.7
<b>1979</b>	11.7	12.6	31.4	87.5	197.0	274.8	271.1	281.5	170.4	65.2	25.2	12.1	8.7	9.5	2.3	4.6
<b>1980</b>	7.7	14.8	42.6	61.2	155.4	301.8	351.3	289.1	264.2	149.2	55.2	21.0	10.0	7.2	7.8	5.7
<b>1981</b>	9.7	9.7	50.1	83.4	110.1	241.8	389.9	375.7	270.6	229.9	125.3	45.6	17.2	8.2	5.9	11.0
<b>1982</b>	6.3	12.2	33.0	98.2	150.2	171.8	314.1	420.9	356.1	238.9	196.2	105.2	38.0	14.4	6.8	14.0
<b>1983</b>	4.0	8.0	41.5	64.6	176.5	234.5	224.6	342.9	404.6	319.4	207.2	167.5	89.3	32.2	12.1	17.6
<b>1984</b>	7.8	5.1	27.0	80.5	115.1	273.6	305.3	244.5	329.0	362.3	276.6	176.6	141.9	75.5	27.1	25.0
<b>1985</b>	5.8	9.8	17.3	52.8	143.5	176.3	348.7	323.9	228.2	286.5	305.0	229.2	145.5	116.6	61.8	42.7
<b>1986</b>	16.6	7.3	33.4	33.7	93.3	216.2	218.6	357.6	291.3	191.2	231.9	242.9	181.4	114.9	91.8	82.4
<b>1987</b>	3.1	21.0	24.7	64.6	58.3	137.7	266.0	224.5	323.4	245.9	156.0	186.3	194.0	144.6	91.3	138.4
<b>1988</b>	13.6	3.9	71.5	48.7	116.8	90.3	174.8	277.2	204.3	273.6	200.8	125.4	148.8	154.6	114.9	182.5

Table 4.19 (continued).

year/age	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1989	4.7	17.2	13.2	140.5	86.9	175.5	110.2	175.3	243.6	167.3	216.5	156.4	97.1	114.9	119.1	229.1
1990	3.6	5.9	58.7	26.1	256.2	135.7	223.3	114.7	159.5	206.3	136.9	174.4	125.2	77.5	91.5	277.2
1991	4.8	4.5	20.2	116.4	47.7	404.8	178.4	244.5	110.5	143.5	179.4	117.1	148.3	106.3	65.6	312.1
1992	6.5	6.1	15.4	39.9	212.2	75.4	532.1	194.9	234.7	98.9	124.0	152.7	99.1	125.2	89.4	317.9
1993	6.4	8.2	20.7	30.3	71.8	328.1	96.4	563.6	181.2	203.2	82.8	102.2	124.9	80.9	102.0	331.7
1994	3.2	8.1	28.1	40.9	55.1	113.7	432.9	105.3	538.6	160.7	173.9	69.6	85.3	104.1	67.2	360.2
1995	3.5	4.0	27.7	55.0	73.1	84.6	145.1	460.2	98.5	470.1	135.7	144.4	57.5	70.3	85.5	351.1
1996	7.7	4.4	13.6	54.0	98.0	112.3	108.6	155.6	434.5	86.8	400.6	113.8	120.4	47.8	58.3	362.1
1997	4.5	9.6	15.0	26.6	96.7	151.5	144.6	116.3	146.3	380.9	73.6	333.9	94.3	99.5	39.4	346.5
1998	3.7	5.6	32.6	29.1	47.1	147.0	190.4	150.3	105.8	123.8	311.1	59.1	266.5	75.1	79.0	306.4
1999	3.7	4.7	19.1	63.6	51.9	72.8	189.8	205.0	142.4	93.5	105.8	261.7	49.4	222.3	62.4	320.6
2000	9.2	4.7	16.1	38.0	116.7	82.7	96.4	208.2	197.3	127.7	81.0	90.2	221.8	41.8	187.6	323.1
2001	3.9	11.7	16.0	32.0	69.6	185.1	108.7	104.7	198.2	175.0	109.4	68.3	75.6	185.6	34.9	425.9
2002	3.3	4.9	40.0	31.7	58.2	109.8	243.6	119.1	101.0	178.4	152.3	93.7	58.2	64.2	157.2	390.2
2003	4.0	4.1	16.8	79.3	58.0	92.3	144.5	266.1	114.4	90.4	154.4	129.7	79.3	49.1	54.1	461.1
2004	5.6	5.1	14.1	33.3	144.5	91.4	121.1	157.9	255.8	102.5	78.4	131.7	110.0	67.1	41.5	434.6
2005	3.7	7.1	17.4	27.8	60.6	227.8	120.3	132.8	152.2	230.1	89.2	67.1	112.0	93.4	56.8	403.0
2006	5.3	4.6	24.1	34.1	50.2	94.7	296.3	130.1	126.2	134.8	196.9	75.1	56.2	93.6	77.7	382.9
2007	5.5	6.6	15.5	46.0	59.7	76.8	121.9	319.4	123.6	111.9	115.6	166.2	63.0	47.0	78.1	384.6
2008	11.2	6.9	22.5	30.3	82.0	91.5	97.7	128.9	296.9	107.1	93.7	95.4	136.3	51.5	38.3	377.4
2009	4.9	14.1	23.5	43.9	53.3	122.6	113.3	100.6	116.8	250.8	87.5	75.4	76.2	108.7	41.0	330.6
2010	7.2	6.3	48.2	46.2	78.3	81.1	154.8	119.2	93.2	101.0	209.8	72.0	61.7	62.2	88.5	302.5
2011	9.3	9.2	21.3	94.8	83.0	120.2	102.5	162.0	109.5	79.8	83.5	170.8	58.3	49.8	50.1	314.7
2012	8.9	11.7	31.3	42.0	170.5	126.8	149.4	104.2	143.5	90.2	63.5	65.4	132.8	45.2	38.5	282.3

Table 4.20. Model estimates of yellowfin sole age 5 recruitment (millions) from the 2011 and 2012 stock assessments.

<b>Year class</b>	<b>2011 Assessment</b>	<b>2012 Assessment</b>
1964	754	686
1965	727	727
1966	1,509	1,488
1967	2,248	2,240
1968	2,245	2,263
1969	2,935	2,955
1970	3,234	3,253
1971	2,532	2,546
1972	1,756	1,765
1973	2,365	2,375
1974	2,776	2,786
1975	1,828	1,836
1976	2,296	2,305
1977	1,499	1,503
1978	957	959
1979	1,849	1,852
1980	1,368	1,371
1981	3,938	3,947
1982	726	728
1983	3,224	3,234
1984	1,107	1,110
1985	846	848
1986	1,142	1,146
1987	1,545	1,552
1988	1,522	1,530
1989	749	755
1990	826	832
1991	1,806	1,821
1992	1,049	1,067
1993	880	889
1994	858	882
1995	2,194	2,202
1996	914	927
1997	761	776
1998	967	958
1999	1,337	1,334
2000	869	871
2001	1,288	1,253
2002	1,328	1,304
2003	2,694	2,663
2004	1,116	1,178
2005		1,726

Table 4.21—Selected parameter estimates and their standard deviation from the preferred stock assessment model.

parameter	value	std.dev	parameter	value	std.dev
alpha (temp-q)	-0.13	0.04	1971 Total Biomass	733.24	19.38
beta (temp-q)	0.09	0.02	1972 Total Biomass	745.39	21.70
mean_log_rec	0.78	0.10	1973 Total Biomass	923.71	26.05
sel_slope_fsh_females	1.24	0.09	1974 Total Biomass	1111.30	30.93
sel50_fsh_females	8.63	0.26	1975 Total Biomass	1375.70	36.62
sel_slope_fsh_males	1.34	0.10	1976 Total Biomass	1629.70	42.47
sel50_fsh_males	8.27	0.25	1977 Total Biomass	1895.10	48.15
sel_slope_srv_females	1.61	0.09	1978 Total Biomass	2146.40	53.47
sel50_srv_females	5.09	0.07	1979 Total Biomass	2289.70	58.03
sel_slope_srv_males	-0.05	0.08	1980 Total Biomass	2452.70	62.18
sel50_srv_males	0.02	0.02	1981 Total Biomass	2601.40	65.69
F40	0.11	0.03	1982 Total Biomass	2728.40	68.80
F35	0.13	0.04	1983 Total Biomass	2820.50	71.30
F30	0.16	0.05	1984 Total Biomass	2882.30	73.38
Ricker SR_logalpha	-4.10	0.52	1985 Total Biomass	2868.50	74.92
Ricker SR_logbeta	-6.03	0.30	1986 Total Biomass	2785.50	76.22
Fmsy	0.22	0.10	1987 Total Biomass	2716.60	77.45
logFmsy	-1.50	0.44	1988 Total Biomass	2692.40	79.04
Fmsyr	0.11	0.03	1989 Total Biomass	2609.90	80.07
ABC_biomass 2011	1968.20	140.58	1990 Total Biomass	2598.90	81.39
ABC_biomass 2012	1966.20	159.32	1991 Total Biomass	2630.30	82.16
msy	340.89	113.84	1992 Total Biomass	2631.00	82.57
Bmsy	352.93	61.85	1993 Total Biomass	2559.30	82.77
Total Biomass	2320.10	150.87	1994 Total Biomass	2516.10	82.59
Total Biomass	2284.70	136.47	1995 Total Biomass	2426.40	82.13
Total Biomass	2234.00	121.28	1996 Total Biomass	2360.70	82.06
Total Biomass	2182.90	105.25	1997 Total Biomass	2286.50	82.01
Total Biomass	2143.50	90.23	1998 Total Biomass	2156.20	81.60
Total Biomass	2095.90	76.08	1999 Total Biomass	2107.70	81.79
Total Biomass	1919.80	61.52	2000 Total Biomass	2116.40	82.98
Total Biomass	1499.10	43.68	2001 Total Biomass	2108.20	84.32
Total Biomass	1030.60	24.30	2002 Total Biomass	2105.30	85.15
Total Biomass	735.38	14.60	2003 Total Biomass	2100.70	86.48
Total Biomass	771.62	15.01	2004 Total Biomass	2100.40	88.02
Total Biomass	767.31	15.51	2005 Total Biomass	2101.60	89.59
Total Biomass	819.80	16.57	2006 Total Biomass	2079.10	91.39
Total Biomass	810.86	16.77	2007 Total Biomass	2073.20	94.40
Total Biomass	733.37	16.27	2008 Total Biomass	2070.40	99.10
Total Biomass	757.97	17.24	2009 Total Biomass	2042.70	104.80
Total Biomass	709.26	17.57	2010 Total Biomass	2072.80	112.53
			2011 Total Biomass	2107.00	123.19
			2012 Total Biomass	2117.50	137.55

Table 4.22. Projections of yellowfin sole female spawning biomass (1,000s t), catch (1,000s t) and full selection fishing mortality rate for seven future harvest scenarios.

<b>Scenarios 1 and 2</b>				<b>Scenario 3</b>			
<b>Maximum Tier 1 ABC harvest permissible</b>				<b>1/2 Maximum Tier 1 ABC harvest permissible</b>			
	<b>Female</b>				<b>Female</b>		
<b>Year</b>	<b>spawning biomass</b>	<b>catch</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>spawning biomass</b>	<b>catch</b>	<b>F</b>
2012	573.134	132.990	0.10	2012	573.134	132.990	0.10
2013	586.136	151.991	0.11	2013	596.478	75.998	0.05
2014	600.877	156.680	0.11	2014	642.508	82.282	0.05
2015	622.246	161.320	0.11	2015	696.228	88.058	0.05
2016	632.532	163.488	0.11	2016	737.072	92.445	0.05
2017	646.300	160.967	0.11	2017	781.932	94.152	0.05
2018	641.819	156.513	0.11	2018	803.531	94.371	0.05
2019	641.885	153.356	0.11	2019	830.014	94.982	0.05
2020	619.980	148.918	0.11	2020	823.624	94.168	0.05
2021	609.248	146.537	0.11	2021	829.37	94.380	0.05
2022	599.160	144.961	0.11	2022	832.427	94.709	0.05
2023	591.032	143.772	0.11	2023	833.664	95.070	0.05
2024	582.359	141.821	0.11	2024	831.056	95.286	0.05
2025	583.127	141.366	0.11	2025	839.725	95.992	0.05
<b>Scenario 4</b>				<b>Scenario 5</b>			
<b>Harvest at average F over the past 5 years</b>				<b>No fishing</b>			
	<b>Female</b>				<b>Female</b>		
<b>Year</b>	<b>spawning biomass</b>	<b>catch</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>spawning biomass</b>	<b>catch</b>	<b>F</b>
2012	573.134	132.990	0.10	2012	573.134	132.990	0.10
2013	588.614	133.991	0.10	2013	606.514	0	0
2014	613.690	117.397	0.08	2014	685.134	0	0
2015	651.556	123.548	0.08	2015	776.049	0	0
2016	677.494	127.727	0.08	2016	855.392	0	0
2017	706.918	128.147	0.08	2017	942.444	0	0
2018	715.563	126.703	0.08	2018	1003.110	0	0
2019	728.465	125.963	0.08	2019	1071.970	0	0
2020	714.069	123.694	0.08	2020	1095.950	0	0
2021	710.922	122.893	0.08	2021	1135.240	0	0
2022	706.675	122.475	0.08	2022	1168.560	0	0
2023	702.600	122.296	0.08	2023	1194.840	0	0
2024	696.241	122.077	0.08	2024	1213.140	0	0
2025	699.972	122.532	0.08	2025	1245.830	0	0



Table 4.22—continued.

<b>Scenario 6</b>				<b>Scenario 7</b>			
<b>Determination of whether yellowfin sole are currently overfished</b>				<b>Determination of whether the stock is approaching an overfished condition</b>			
<b>B35=488.500</b>				<b>B35=488.500</b>			
	<b>Female</b>				<b>Female</b>		
<b>Year</b>	<b>spawning biomass</b>	<b>catch</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>spawning biomass</b>	<b>catch</b>	<b>F</b>
2012	573.134	132.990	0.10	2012	573.134	132.990	0.10
2013	582.221	180.153	0.13	2013	586.134	152.005	0.11
2014	585.669	182.545	0.13	2014	600.871	156.678	0.11
2015	596.124	185.235	0.13	2015	618.085	191.181	0.13
2016	596.803	185.283	0.13	2016	616.462	190.388	0.13
2017	601.351	180.180	0.13	2017	618.686	184.428	0.13
2018	589.762	173.308	0.13	2018	604.302	176.763	0.13
2019	583.037	168.264	0.13	2019	595.325	171.093	0.13
2020	558.042	161.828	0.13	2020	567.787	164.562	0.13
2021	544.755	154.997	0.13	2021	552.341	158.464	0.13
2022	534.482	150.334	0.12	2022	539.962	152.794	0.13
2023	527.946	147.712	0.12	2023	531.747	149.364	0.12
2024	521.800	145.639	0.12	2024	524.401	146.701	0.12
2025	524.093	146.519	0.12	2025	525.816	147.190	0.12





Table 4-24. Estimated non-target species catch (t) in the yellowfin sole fishery, 2003-2012 (PSC not included).

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Benthic urochordata	1670846	1695563	674762	520091	114427	347756	205806	155571	132867	80023
Birds			0				0	0	0	
Bivalves	1543	1113	1327	343	448	1484	1300	1822	1671	321
Brittle star unidentified	34303	32271	28706	19961	7526	19048	5209	4082	14024	1476
Capelin	3	4519	45	108	321	161	251	718	3769	2275
Corals Bryozoans	240	46	1232	9378	162	8309	312	504	950	611
Eelpouts	19044	12256	7729	4514	2344	5598	5188	5144	29320	11444
Eulachon	12	278	33	115	5075	22	89	133	453	106
Giant Grenadier									236	
Greenlings	646	753	283	703	474	183	24	53	49	98
Grenadier					339		358			
Gunnels					1					
Hermit crab unidentified	87940	51999	82996	26898	35820	36606	15623	16760	15898	4407
Invertebrate unidentified	556495	625561	418512	177181	40009	70401	30665	25883	65462	55579
Misc crabs	14432	21524	11774	10571	27967	14095	11052	11681	20216	5504
Misc crustaceans	14	186	225	2325	1402	719	1335	935	539	458
Misc fish	95745	91469	66164	42470	70971	66422	48913	29256	40108	76536
Misc inverts (worms etc)	20	123	25	50	46	152	170	105	181	79
Other osmerids	4258	4292	497	634	35770	9833	849	2830	2053	4692
Pacific Sand lance	9	167	97	33	17	37	15	35	395	147
Pandalid shrimp	216	920	115	772	101	305	494	744	2273	554
Polychaete unidentified	16	68	42	360	69	175	75	102	212	39
Scypho jellies	111900	299034	115550	46785	42346	146153	222944	152367	309001	144892
Sea anemone unidentified	6087	6202	2581	4896	8791	24840	25572	20526	14668	5187
Sea pens whips	9	28	164	3	12	324	185	635	20	52
Sea star	1939624	1865768	1606948	1308482	1456620	1831017	684867	791632	1662779	816611
Snails	118257	191064	69769	141517	95876	139765	58354	57060	74718	15067
Sponge unidentified	11434	6807	12205	3118	405	6721	69506	16623	11312	10018
Stichaeidae	72	32		10	784	239	10	171	384	135
Surf smelt						1.02				
urchins dollars cucumbers	2253.73	314.93	2548.64	845.45	3477.35	4897.16	7548.42	1278.18	987.46	550.86

Table 4.25--Yellowfin sole TAC and ABC levels, 1980- 2012

Year	TAC	ABC
1980	117,000	169,000
1981	117,000	214,500
1982	117,000	214,500
1983	117,000	214,500
1984	230,000	310,000
1985	229,900	310,000
1986	209,500	230,000
1987	187,000	187,000
1988	254,000	254,000
1989	182,675	241,000
1990	207,650	278,900
1991	135,000	250,600
1992	235,000	372,000
1993	220,000	238,000
1994	150,325	230,000
1995	190,000	277,000
1996	200,000	278,000
1997	230,000	233,000
1998	220,000	220,000
1999	207,980	212,000
2000	123,262	191,000
2001	113,000	176,000
2002	86,000	115,000
2003	83,750	114,000
2004	86,075	114,000
2005	90,686	124,000
2006	95,701	121,000
2007	136,000	225,000
2008	225,000	248,000
2009	210,000	210,000
2010	219,000	219,000
2011	196,000	239,000
2012	202,000	203,000





Figure 4.1—Yellowfin sole annual catch (1,000s t) in the Eastern Bering Sea from 1954-2012 (top panel) and catch by week from 2007 – September 2012 (bottom panel).





Figure 4.2--Size composition of the yellowfin sole catch in 2012 (through September), by subarea and total.

**yellowfin sole catch by month in 2012 through September**



**yellowfin sole catch by area in 2012 (through September)**




Figure 4.3 Yellowfin sole catch by month and area in the Eastern Bering Sea in 2011.






Figure 4.4—General fishery locations by month.




Figure 4.4—(continued).





Figure 4.4—(continued).





Figure 4.4—(continued).




Figure 4.4— (continued).




Figure 4.5.--Yellowfin sole CPUE (catch per unit effort in kg/ha) from the annual Bering Sea shelf trawl surveys, 1982-2012.




Figure 4.6.--Annual bottom trawl survey biomass point-estimates and 95% confidence intervals for yellowfin sole, 1982-2012.




Figure 4.7.--Difference between the 1985-2011 average trawl survey CPUE for yellowfin sole and the 2012 survey CPUE. Open circles indicate that the magnitude of the catch was greater in 2012 than the long-term average, closed circles indicate the catch was greater in the long-term average than in 2012.




Figure 4.8--Estimates of average yellowfin sole weight-at-age (g) from trawl survey observations.




Figure 4.9--Master chronology for yellowfin sole and time series of mean summer bottom temperature and May sea surface temperature for the southeastern Bering Sea (Panel A). All data re normalized to a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1. Correlations of chronologies with bottom temperature and sea surface temperature are shown in panels B and C, respectively. From Matta et al. 2010.




Figure 4.10—Yellowfin sole length-at-age anomalies, for males and females, and bottom temperature anomalies. Correspondence in these residuals is apparent with a 2-3 year lag effect from the mid-1990s to 2009. Late 1980s and early 1990s pattern may be a density-dependent response in growth from the large 1981 and 1983 year-classes.




Figure 4.11.--Average bottom water temperature from stations less than or equal to 100 m in the Bering Sea trawl survey (bars) and the stock assessment model estimate of  $q$  for each year 1982-2012.






Figure 4.12--Fit of the Ricker (1958) stock recruitment model to two distinct stock recruitment time-series data sets (top panel), and the fit to the assessment preferred model (model B, lower panel).




Figure 4.13--Posterior distributions of  $F_{msy}$  for three models considered in the stock productivity analysis.




Figure 4.14a--Estimated male fishery selectivity by age and year.




Figure 4.14b.--Estimated female fishery selectivity by age and year.




Figure 4.15—Estimates of female spawning biomass (1978-2010) from the 4 growth models considered in the assessment.




Figure 4.16. Model fit to the survey biomass estimates (top left panel), model estimate of the full selection fishing mortality rate throughout the time-series (top right panel), model estimate of total biomass (middle left panel), the model estimate of survey selectivity (middle right panel) and the estimate of female spawning biomass (bottom left panel).




Figure 4.17. Stock assessment model fit to the time-series of fishery and survey age composition, by sex.




Figure 4.17 (continued).




Figure 4.17 (continued).




Figure 4.17 (continued).






Figure 4.18.--Comparison of the fit to the survey biomass using a fixed q and the q-bottom temperature relationship.




Figure 4.19.--Year class strength of age 5 yellowfin sole estimated by the stock assessment model. The dotted line is the average of the estimates from 56 years of recruitment.




Figure 4.20.--Posterior distributions of some important parameters estimated by the preferred stock assessment model (from mcmc integration).




Figure 4.21.--Projection of yellowfin sole female spawning biomass (1,000s t) at the average F from the past 5 years (0.055) through 2025 with B<sub>40%</sub> and B<sub>msy</sub> levels indicated.




Figure 4.22.--Phase plane figure of the time-series of yellowfin sole female spawning biomass relative to the harvest control rule with 1975 and 2012 indicated.

## Appendix

	IPHC research catch of yellowfin sole	
	number	weight (kg)
2007	707	502
2008	0	0
2009	0	0
2010	898	741

## AFSC Bottom Trawl survey catch weight

---

<b>Year</b>	<b>Research catch (t)</b>
1977	60
1978	71
1979	147
1980	92
1981	74
1982	158
1983	254
1984	218
1985	105
1986	68
1987	92
1988	138
1989	148
1990	129
1991	118
1992	60
1993	95
1994	91
1995	95
1996	72
1997	76
1998	79
1999	61
2000	72
2001	75
2002	76
2003	78
2004	114
2005	94
2006	74
2007	74
2008	69
2009	60
2010	79
2011	77
2012	64

---

*(This page intentionally left blank)*