

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-14

Estimates of Food Consumption by Marine Mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea

by Michael A. Perez and W. Bruce McAlister

> U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service Alaska Fisheries Science Center

> > May 1993

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS

The National Marine Fisheries Service's Alaska Fisheries Science Center uses the NOAA Technical Memorandum series to issue informal scientific and technical publications when complete formal review and editorial processing are not appropriate or feasible. Documents within this series reflect sound professional work and may be referenced in the formal scientific and technical literature.

The NMFS-AFSC Technical Memorandum series of the Alaska Fisheries Science Center continues the NMFS-F/NWC series established in 1970 by the Northwest Fisheries Center. The new NMFS-NWFSC series will be used by the Northwest Fisheries Science Center.

This document should be cited as follows:

Perez, M. A., and W. B. McAlister. 1993. Estimates of food consumption by marine mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-14, 36 p.

Reference in this document to trade names does not imply endorsement by the National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.



NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-14

Estimates of Food Consumption by Marine Mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea

Michael A. Perez¹ and W. Bruce McAlister²

¹Alaska Fisheries Science Center 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., BIN C-15700 Seattle, WA 98115-0070

> ²Gaia Northwest Inc. 10522 Lake City Way N.E. Seattle, WA 98125

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Ronald H. Brown, Secretary National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Diana Josephson, Acting Administrator

May 1993

This document is available to the public through:

National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

Notice to Users of this Document

This document is being made available in .PDF format for the convenience of users; however, the accuracy and correctness of the document can only be certified as was presented in the original hard copy format.

ABSTRACT

One important aspect of the management of commercial fisheries in an ecosystem context involves understanding the diet and consumption rates of fish species by their natural predators. Such knowledge allows for comparison of commercial fish catches with consumption through predation by species groups such as marine mammals. For the Bering Sea, the limited data available only allows a preliminary assessment of food consumption by marine mammals. Estimates of food consumption for this study were based on marine mammal population and diet data reported in the literature. Where direct consumption data were not available, consumption was estimated from population data and energy requirements. Food consumption by marine mammals (except walrus) in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region was estimated at 3.21×10^6 metric tons (t) per year. Fish comprised 42% of food consumed, an estimated 1.34×10^6 t of fish eaten per year. Pinnipeds ate 65% of the estimated annual consumption of fish. Marine mammals ate an estimated 3.2% of the standing stock of all fish species occurring in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region. Accounting for variations in population size over time of marine mammals in the Bering Sea, the estimated historical consumption of fish by all marine mammals was 0.44×10^6 t to 3.04×10^6 t, which represents 1.0% to 7.3% of the standing stock of all fish species. Commercial groundfish fisheries during the period 1988-90 caught about 7.1% (1.79 x 10^6 t) of the stock of commercially important groundfish species each year, whereas, at present, marine mammals annually consume an estimated 2.0% (0.45 x 10^6 t) of the standing stock of those fish species. This is 75% lower than the average commercial catch.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

Introduction.	1
Methods	7
Results	.11
Discussion	.15
Accuracy and Reliability of Results	.15
Use of Mean Body Mass and Pooled Ages	.16
Effects of Energy Value of Diet	.17
Consumption Estimates from Other Studies	.17
Utilization of Fishery Standing Stocks	.21
Citations	27

Preceding page blank

INTRODUCTION

Fish stocks and marine mammals are recognized as interacting components of the marine ecosystem. For the commercially valuable species, knowledge about the degree of competition between fisheries and marine mammal predators is of vital importance. This is of particular importance in view of the potential impacts of fisheries on marine mammal populations, and vice versa, through reduction of their food supply. The literature on marine mammal-fish relationships indicate that fish **are** the dominant prey of many of the most abundant marine mammal species in the Bering Sea (Perez 1990). The present study uses available data on marine mammal diets and feeding rates to estimate food consumption by marine mammals. The biomass of fish consumed annually by marine mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea also is estimated for comparison with commercial fish catches.

The Bering Sea (Fig. 1) has an approximate surface area of $2.3 \times 10^{6} \text{ km}^{2}$, of which 44% is continental shelf (depth <200 m) (Hood and Kelley 1974). This large continental shelf is one of the most biologically productive areas in the world's oceans (Hood and Kelley 1974; Sambrotto and Goering 1984). In summer the Bering Sea is ice-free. During winter and early spring, part of the shelf is covered with ice, sometimes to the continental slope in the southeastern Bering Sea. During the year, this seasonal movement of sea ice significantly affects the distribution **and** abundance of marine mammals in the Bering Sea (Tikhomirov 1964; Burns 1970; Fay 1974). The study region in this report is the Eastern Bering Sea, including the shelf area surrounding the Aleutian Islands (Fig. 1).

Twenty-eight marine mammal species are found in the Eastern Bering Sea, of which 21 species (8 pinnipeds, 12 cetaceans, and 1 mustelid; Table 1) are abundant and usual constituents of the Bering Sea ecosystem (Lowry et al. 1982; Lowry and Frost 1985; Perez and Loughlin 1986). Only five cetacean species (gray whale, *Eschrichtius robustus;* minke whale, *Balaenoptera acutorostrata;* Dall's porpoise, *Phocoenoides dalli;* belukha whale, *Delphinapterus*

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Figure 1 .--The Bering Sea region. A line (from the Bering Strait to the North Pacific Ocean) separates the western and eastern Bering Sea areas, and a shorter line (at Unimak Pass, 164° W long,) denotes the eastern boundary of the Aleutian Islands area in the Gulf of Alaska. The Aleutian Islands shelf area (depth <200 m) is the southernmost boundary of the region. These boundaries were chosen arbitrarily to include all of the Aleutian Islands and U.S. territorial waters, but exclude most international waters. The 200 m depth contour is indicated.</p>

Preceding page blank

leucas; and sperm whale, *Physeter macrocephalus*) occur in the Bering Sea in large numbers (more than 1,000 animals per species; Table 1). Additional important cetacean species in the Bering Sea are fin whale, *Balaenoptera physalus;* humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae;* bowhead whale, *Balaena mysticetus;* killer whale, *Orcinus orca;* harbor porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena;* giant bottlenose whale, *Berardius bairdii;* and Stejneger's beaked whale, *Mesoplodon stejnegeri* (Table 1). Other cetaceans that occur infrequently in the Eastern Bering Sea have not been included in this study.

The quantity and quality of data available on distribution, diet, abundance, and biomass of marine mammals in the Bering Sea vary widely (Loughlin and Jones 1984; Lowry 1984). Estimates of abundance are available for most pinniped species (Lowry et al. 1982), but not for most cetaceans. In addition, data are not current for many species (e.g., spotted seals, *Phoca largha;* ringed seals, *Phoca hispida;* ribbon seals, *Phoca fasciata;* bearded seals, *Erignathus barbatus)* in the Bering Sea. Apart from northern fur seal (*Callorhinus ursinus*) data, diet and prey availability information, especially by season, are incomplete. However, in view of the importance of the issue involved in fishery management and marine mammal predation it is important to use the available data.

Nearly all studies on food consumption by marine mammals in the Bering Sea have focused on a single species. However, Laevastu and Larkins (1981) estimated prey consumption by all marine mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea using the PROBUB and DYNUMES ecosystem models. Lowry et al. (1982) reviewed the literature through 1982 on prey consumption by marine mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea.

Lowry (1982, 1984) Lowry et al. (1982) Kajimura and Fowler (1984) Loughlin and Jones (1984) Perez and Loughlin (1986), and Lowry et al. (1988) have discussed the relationships of marine mammals to their fish prey and commercial fisheries in the Bering Sea. Fowler (1982), Swartzman and Haar (1983), **and** Livingston (1989) specifically addressed interactions of northern fur seals. Loughlin and Merrick (1988) and Alverson (1992) have discussed the issue of impacts of commercial fisheries on the northern sea lion (*Eumetopias*

4

 Table 1 .--Estimated total population in the Alaska area and average pelagic abundance of marine mammal species in the Eastern Bering Sea by semiannual period (modified from Perez 1990).

	Estimated total population in the Alaska area ^a		Estimated average pelagic abundance in the Eastern Bering Sea ^b			
pecies			May-Oct.	NovApril	Data sources 6	
innipeds:						
Otariids:						
Northern fur seal (Callorhinus ursinus)	871,000		399,500	40,000	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
Northern sea lion (Eumetopias jubatus)	>39,396		32,000	32,000	5, 6, 7	
Phocids:						
Harbor seal (Phoca vitulina richardii)	<150,000		45,000	45,000	5, 8, 9, 10	
Spotted seal (Phoca largha)	>200,000		14,000	140,000	5, 11, 12	
Ringed seal (Phoca hispida)	J-1.5 million		1,000	600,000	11, 13	
Ribbon seal (Phoca fasciaia)	100,000-110,000		66,000	66,000	11, 14	
Bearded seal (Erignathus barbatus)	>300,000		5,000	150,000	11, 15	
Odohenids:						
Walrus (Odobenus rosmarus)	200,000		15,000	150,000	11, 16, 17, 1	
elaceans:						
Mysticetes:		d	5 000		6 10 20	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus)	19,737-22,489	d d	5,000	-	5, 19, 20	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>) Minke whale (<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>)	>21,000	đ	3,000	- 800	21, 22, 23	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>) Minke whale (<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>) Fin whale (<i>Balaenoptera physalus</i>)	>21,000 14,620-18,630	d d	3,000 1,000	800 -	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 25	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae)	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040	ď ď ď	3,000 1,000 150	•	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 25 5, 21, 22, 25	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus)	>21,000 14,620-18,630	d d	3,000 1,000	- 800 - 1600	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 25	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes:	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040 6,400-9,200	ď ď ď	3,000 1,000 150 200	- 1600	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 2: 5, 21, 22, 25 5, 26, 27	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes: Killer whale (Orcinus orca)	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040 6,400-9,200 N.E.	ď ď ď	3,000 1,000 150 200 700	- 1600 300	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 25 5, 21, 22, 25 5, 26, 27 5, 28	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes: Killer whale (Orcinus orca) Harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena)	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040 6,400-9,200 N.E. N.E.	ď ď ď	3,000 1,000 150 200 700 1,000	- 1600 300 500	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 25 5, 21, 22, 25 5, 26, 27 5, 28 5, 29	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes: Killer whale (Orcinus orca) Harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) Dall's porpoise (Phocoenoides dalli)	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040 6,400-9,200 N.E. N.E. 741,000	d d d	3,000 1,000 150 200 700 1,000 85,500	- 1600 300 500 42,700	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 25 5, 21, 22, 25 5, 26, 27 5, 28 5, 29 30, 31	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes: Killer whale (Orcinus orca) Harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) Dall's porpoise (Phocoenoides dalli) Belukha whale (Delphinapterus leucas)	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040 6,400-9,200 N.E. N.E. 741,000 25,000	d d d d	3,000 1,000 150 200 700 1,000 85,500 3,500	- 1600 300 500	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 25 5, 21, 22, 25 5, 26, 27 5, 28 5, 29	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes: Killer whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes: Killer whale (Orcinus orca) Harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) Dall's porpoise (Phocoenoides dalli) Belukha whale (Delphinapterus leucas) Sperm whale (Physeter macrocephalus)	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040 6,400-9,200 N.E. N.E. 741,000 25,000 274,000	d d d d d	3,000 1,000 150 200 700 1,000 85,500	- 1600 300 500 42,700	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 2: 5, 21, 22, 25 5, 26, 27 5, 28 5, 29 30, 31 32, 33	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes: Killer whale (Orcinus orca) Harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) Dall's porpoise (Phocoenoides dalli) Belukha whale (Delphinapterus leucas)	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040 6,400-9,200 N.E. N.E. 741,000 25,000	d d d d d	3,000 1,000 150 200 700 1,000 85,500 3,500 15,000	- 1600 300 500 42,700	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 22 5, 21, 22, 25 5, 26, 27 5, 28 5, 29 30, 31 32, 33 21, 22, 24, 34	
Mysticetes: Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) Odontocetes: Killer whale (Orcinus orca) Harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) Dall's porpoise (Phocoenoides dalli) Belukha whale (Delphinapterus leucas) Sperm whale (Physeter macrocephalus) North Pacific giant bottlenose whale (Berardius bairdit)	>21,000 14,620-18,630 1,398-2,040 6,400-9,200 N.E. N.E. 741,000 25,000 274,000 N.E.	d d d d d	3,000 1,000 150 200 700 1,000 85,500 3,500 15,000 500	1600 300 500 42,700 18,000	21, 22, 23 21, 22, 24, 2: 5, 21, 22, 25 5, 26, 27 5, 28 5, 29 30, 31 32, 33 21, 22, 24, 34 35	

^a This column is for reference purposes only to indicate the current status of the population size. These estimates include animals not present in the eastern Berring Sea study area as delined in Figure I, N.E. = no estimate.

Table 1 .--Continued

- ^b Estimated pelagic abundance refers 10 the average number of animals feeding at sea during each semiannual period, accounting for seasonal migration out of the eastern Bering Sea region and excluding animals for time on land or ice fasting for several months.
- C Details concerning the estimates in this table were discussed by Perez (1990). Data sources: (1) Kajimura et al. 1979; (2) Kajimura et al. 1980; (3) Lander 1980; (4) North Pacific Fur Seal Commission 1984; (5) Low 1991; (6) Merrick et al. 1987; (7) Loughlin et al. 1990; (8) Everitt and Braham 1980; (9) Fiscus et al. 1981; (10) Pitcher 1985; (11) Braham et al. 1984; (12) Lowry 1985a; (13) Frost 1985; (14) Lowry 1985b; (15) Nelson et al. 1985; (16) Fay et al. 1989; (17) Gilbert 1989; (18) Fay and Kelly(1990); (19) Berzin 1984; (20) Rugh 1984; (21) Wada 1980; (22) Wada 1981; (23) Kasamatsu and Hata 1985; (24) Braham 1984a; (25) Berzin and Rovnin 1966; (26) International Whaling Commission 1988; (27) Braham 1984b; (28) Brueggeman et al. 1984; (29) Jones 1984; (30) Bouchet and Haines 1985; (31) Tumock 1987; (32) Lowry 1985c; (33) Seaman et al, 1985; (34) Ohsumi et al. 1977; (35) Kasuya and Ohsumi 1984; (36) Loughlin et al. 1982; (37) Calkins and Schneider 1985.

^d Estimate for the entire North Pacific region; data for only the Alaska area are not available.

e Alaska Peninsula, Eastern Bering Sea, and Aleutian Islands only.

jubatus) in the Bering Sea and North Pacific Ocean. Lowry et al. (1988) have suggested that removal of marine mammal prey resources by commercial fisheries may affect the abundance of marine mammal populations.

The purpose of the present study was to estimate the total amount of food consumed by all marine mammal populations in the Bering Sea. The range of food consumption by marine mammals based on historical marine mammal population sizes also was estimated. In particular, the estimated total consumption of fish by marine mammals was compared with the current and potential commercial catch.

Finally, estimated consumption of fish, especially commercially important species of fish, by marine mammals in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region was compared with estimates of biomass of fish stocks based on catch statistics and the ecosystem simulation models of Laevastu and Larkins (1981). Estimates of food consumption for walruses (Odobenus *rosmarus*) were not reported because they feed nearly exclusively on benthic invertebrates (e.g., mollusks and echinoderms) which consist of shell or other exoskeleton that must be considered when estimating total biomass consumption (Fay et al. 1977).

METHODS

Total food consumption (F) for marine mammal species in the Eastern Bering Sea ecosystem (study area defined in Fig. 1) was based on the following expression:

$$F = (E \ge N \ge T) / K \quad ,$$

where *E* is the estimated daily energy requirements (kcal/d) per average biomass of an individual, N is the number of individuals in the population, *T* is the time period in days, and K is the estimated energy density (kcal/g) of the diet. Individual daily energy requirements for active (i.e., animals in states of behavior such as swimming, feeding, etc.) marine mammals were calculated using body mass/energy consumption relationships for each species group (Table 2; Perez et al. 1990).

Taxonomic group	Estimated coefficient <i>a</i>	Estimation method and references
Otariids	372	Geometric mean regression line for data cited by Perez et al. (1990)
Phocids	200	Average of values from 1) the feeding rate equation coefficients (weighted by sample size) in Innes et al. (1987) using average body mass of the species in this study, and 2) data in Perez et al. (1990)
Mysticetes	192	Average of oxygen consumption data from Wahrenbrock et al. (1974) and Sumich (1983)
Odontocetes	317	Geometric mean regression line for data cited by Perez et al. (1990)
Sea otter	520	Based on data in Costa (1978, 1982)

Table 2.--Estimated coefficients (a) for the feeding rates of marine mammals approximated to the allometric relationship, E=aM^{0.75}, where E is energy consumption (kcal/d) and M is body mass (kg).

Perez (1990) lists the sources used to estimate-average body mass in this study. Length-mass relationships were used to estimate average body mass for most cetacean species (Perez 1990). For pinnipeds, estimates of average body mass during summer and winter were based on the average age/sex distribution in the region by season. For northern fur seals, we included an estimated amount of increased food consumption due to the energy requirements of lactation for females, The average body mass of lactating females has been estimated at 35.3 kg and the increased rate of ingestion was estimated at 1.6 times the feeding rate for nonlactating females (Perez and Mooney 1986). Lactation requirements were calculated for July-October (122 days). For all other species, lactation was accounted for by including pup mass in the average body mass.

Perez (1990) provides estimates for the average pelagic abundance of marine mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea (Table 1). These estimates refer to the average number of animals feeding at sea during each semiannual period, accounting for the seasonal migration of animals out of the eastern Bering Sea region and excluding animals for the time spent on land or ice fasting for several months (when they feed later in the year outside the Eastern Bering Sea) using data in Braham et al. (1984). For some species, it was necessary to estimate the pelagic abundance of the Bering Sea population using published data of seasonal distribution and observed counts of these species in adjacent areas of the North Pacific Ocean.

Total annual food consumption by all marine mammals was calculated as the sum of consumption for two semiannual (182 days) periods, May-October (summer) and November-April (winter), by each marine mammal species. However, based on published data regarding migration, four species were estimated to have shorter semiannual feeding periods in the Eastern Bering Sea: 1) humpback whales were estimated to feed in the Bering Sea only during 5 months (152 days) from June to October, 2) bowhead whales were estimated to feed in the Bering Sea only during 30 days of each semiannual period in this region, 3) sperm whales were estimated to feed in the Bering Sea only during a 3-month period (92 days) in summer, and

9

4) North Pacific giant bottlenose whales were estimated to feed in the Bering Sea only during a5-month period (152 days) from mid-May to mid-October.

The food consumption estimates were based on data averaged over the year. Seasonal or interannual differences in diet have not been considered (data were not available for this purpose). Perez (1990) lists the sources used to estimate the energy value of the diet and average diet composition for each marine mammal species:

Estimates for the percentage of groundfish in the total diet were based on known fish species eaten and the total percentage of fish in the diet (Perez 1990). For taxonomic groups of marine mammals, these diet estimates were weighted by the relative abundance, energy requirements, and total food consumption of the individual marine mammal species. Groundfish species in the Bering Sea region consist of the following commercially important fishes: walleye pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma;* Pacific cod, *Gadus macrocephalus;* Pacific ocean perch, *Sebastes alutus* and other rockfishes; sablefish, *Anoplopoma fimbria;* Atka mackerel, *Pleurogrammus monopterygius;* and yellowfin sole, *Pleuronectes asper,* turbots, and other flatfishes of the family Pleuronectidae (Bakkala 1988; Low 1991). Other gadoid species were not included.

Lavigne et al. (I 986) suggest that the daily maintenance requirements of seals are about two times the basal metabolic rate. Therefore, lower level estimates of the food consumption rate range were calculated at twice the basal metabolic rate of Kleiber (1961), 70M^{0.75}. However, lower level estimates for the sea otter (*Enhydra lutris*) were calculated at twice their observed basal metabolic rate, 233M^{0.75}, based on the arguments discussed by Costa (1982).

Estimates of the minimum population size were based on actual count data when available (for northern fur seals, northern sea lions, harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina richardii*), sea otters, gray whales, Dall's porpoises, and belukha whales); otherwise they were arbitrarily calculated as one-half the current estimate of the population size. An upper population range level was based on maximum estimates from actual count data for ringed seals, sea otters, and gray whales (species in the Bering Sea area estimated to be at or near carrying capacity; Calkins and Schneider

10

1985; Frost 1985; Low 1991). However, many marine mammal populations in the Bering Sea are below historically high abundance levels (e.g., northern fur seal, North Pacific Fur Seal Commission 1984; northern sea lion, Merrick et al. 1987; harbor seal, Low 1991). In these cases, the upper abundance estimates were based on 1) former population sizes during the 1950s for northern fur seals (Briggs and Fowler 1984) and northern sea lions (for the latter, four times the current population size based on population decline data in Met-rick et al. 1987 and Laughlin et al. 1990); 2) population estimates during the 1970s for harbor seals (Pitcher 1985); and 3) estimated precommercial whaling population sizes for fin whales, humpback whales, bowhead whales, and sperm whales (Braham *1984a*). For other species the upper abundance level was arbitrarily calculated as 150% of the current population estimate. Current seasonal distribution patterns, population age/sex structures, and diets of marine mammals in the Bering Sea were used to determine estimates of lower and upper population size and food consumption for all species.

RESULTS

Currently (early 1990s), pinnipeds (excluding walruses) consume an estimated 1.34×10^6 t of food (all prey species) annually in the Eastern Bering Sea (Table 3). Cetaceans consume an estimated 1.71×10^6 t of food (all prey species) annually (Table 3). Consumption by the sea otter population is estimated to be 0.16×10^6 t of prey per year (Table 3). Each year, marine mammals collectively consume an estimated 3.21×10^6 t of food in the eastern Bering Sea region.

The lower and upper estimates of total food consumption by all marine mammals (except walruses) in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region were 1.08×10^6 t and 6.79×10^6 t, respectively. Fish represented 42% (range 41-45%) of the annual food consumption (Table 4).

Presently, marine mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea consume an estimated 1.34×10^6 t of fish per year (Table 3). Pinnipeds account for 65% of the annual consumption of fish

Table 3.--Estimates of average body mass, daily energy requirements per animal, energy value of diet, percent fish in the diet, and annual food consumption by population for marine mammal species in the Eastern Bering Sea.

	Estimated average body mass (kg) ²	Estimated daily energy requirements (10 ³ kcal)	Estimated energy value of diet (kcal/g) ^b	Estimated percent fish in diet ²	Estimated annual food consumption by population	
Species					Total (10 ³ t)	Fish (10 ³ t)
Pinnipeds:		,				
Northern fur seal	43	6.2	1.3 summer 1.4 winter	67	432.4	289.7
Northern sea lion	212	20.7	1.3	76	185.2	140.7
Harbor seal	49	3.7	1.4	75	43.3	32.5
Spotted seal	62	4.4	1.3 summer 1.4 winter	96	89.1	85.5
Ringed seal	34	2.8	1.2	85	256.7	218.2
Ribbon seal	46	3.5	1.2	54	70.7	38.2
Bearded seal	241	12.2	1.3	23	265.2	61.0
Subtotal (pinnipeds)	•	-	•	•	1,342.6	865.8
Detaceans:						
Gray whale	18,000	298.4	1.0	Trace	271.5	Trace
Minke whale	6,000	130.9	1.7 summer 1.8 winter	60	52.6	31.6
Fin whate	49,000	632.3	2.0	16	57.5	9.2
Humpback whale	30,000	437.7	1.8	29	5.5	1.6
Bowhead whale	46,000	603.1	1.8	Trace	18.1	Trace
Killer whale	4,000	159.4	1.8	65	16.1	10.5
Harbor porpoise	50	6.0	1.6 summer 1.7 winter	85	1.0	0.8
Dall's porpoise	95	9.6	1.3 summer 1.4 winter	50	169.0	84.5
Belukha whale	800	47.7	1.3	93	143.3	133.5
Sperm whale	36,000	828.5	1.2	18	952.8	171.5
North Pacific giant bottlenose whale	8,000	268.2	1.2	10	17.0	1.7
Stejneger's beaked whale	2,000	94.8	1.2	10	5.8	0.6
Subtotal (cetaceans)		-	-		1,710.4	445.:
Mustelids						
Sea otter	20	4.9	0.9	18	157.1	28.3
Total (all species)					3,210.1	1,339.6

Table 3.--Continued.

^a Based on references cited by Perez (I 990).

^b Based on diet composition data for marine mammal species in the Bering Sea and estimates of the caloric equivalents of their prey species discussed by Perez (1990).

	М	lay-October	er November-April		Estimated annual fis consumption (10 ³ t	
Marine mammal species group	current	Range	Current	Range	current	Range
Northern fur seal	399,500	3 40,000 - 998,800	40,000	34,000 - 99,900	290	93 - 833
Northern sea lion	32,000	23,000 - 128,000	32,000	23,000 - 128,000	141	38 - 639
Phocids	131,000	73,000 - 245,000	1,001,000	508,000 - 1,549,000	436	161 - 795
Mysticetes	9,350	5,675 - 24,500	2,400	1,200 - 5,200	42	15 - 105
Odontocetes	106,400	77,200 - 154,150	61,700	47,250 - 92,550	403	112 - 624
Sea otter	79,000	66,800 - 90,900	79,000	66,800 - 90,900	28	23 - 40
Total	757,250	585,675 - 1,641,350	1,216,100	680,250 - 1,965,550	1,340	442 - 3,030

Table 4.-Estimated average pelagic abundance of feeding marine mammals and their estimated total annual consumption of fish in the Eastern Bering Sea.

(Table 3). As a group, the otariids (northern fur seals and northern sea lions) have been the major fish consumers among the pinnipeds in **Alaska** (McAlister and Perez 1977). At the present time, they consume an estimated 0.43×10^6 t of fish per year. However, northern fur seal and northern sea lion populations in the Bering Sea have declined significantly in recent decades (Merrick et al. 1987; York 1987; Loughlin et al. 1990), and estimated annual consumption has likewise declined. By comparison, the phocids, with their larger populations, currently consume collectively an estimated 0.44×10^6 t of fish per year (Table 4). Cetaceans accounted for about 33% of the estimated annual fish consumption by marine mammals of the eastern Bering Sea region; sea otters accounted for the remaining 2% (Table 3). The lower and upper estimates of fish consumption by all marine mammals in **the** eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region were 0.44×10^6 t and 3.04×10^6 t, respectively (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Accuracy and Reliability of Results

Reliable feeding or energy data for active large cetaceans are very limited. Estimates of energy use were given for gray whales by Sumich (1983) (on the basis of observed respiration) and Wahrenbrock et al. (1974) (feeding studies and observed respiration). There is a larger uncertainty about food consumption rates in baleen whales than in smaller cetaceans. Except for minke whales, the impact of this uncertainty on the estimates of fish consumption will probably not be great because baleen whales do not generally prey on fish, or fish accounts for less than 30% of the diet.

Energy consumption was estimated to be uniform throughout the year. Those marine mammal species that fast during winter will consume at a higher rate during summer to meet average annual food consumption rates. No corrections in feeding rates were made to account for increased seasonal energy demands due to fasting periods Feeding rates of captive animals may not correctly estimate feeding rates of free-ranging animals. Commonly cited reasons are reduced activity levels for larger animals because of aquarium size and differences in food between aquarium feeding and wild feeding. Whereas limitations on activity may generally reduce food consumption, there is a balancing tendency to overfeed captive marine mammals (Innes et al. 1987). Some animals in captivity are often obese indicating overfeeding and inactivity.

The accuracy of the results reported here is not adequate for purposes of constructing cause-effect models. However, the results provide a basis for estimating fish consumption by various marine mammal groups.

Marine mammal-fish interactions have been studied for many years, and many of the elements of the interactions are known, but the difficulties of obtaining adequate field observations, imposed by the natural variability of the ecosystem, make it unlikely that direct observations with a high accuracy will be available in the foreseeable future. Indirect methods of estimating food consumption will continue to be used out of necessity.

Use of Mean Body Mass and Pooled Ages

Other than for not-them fur seals, no attempt has been made to quantify consumption by age, especially by juveniles, or for stressful periods such as lactation or pregnancy. In this respect the estimates are probably conservative.

Juvenile marine mammals feed at a higher rate per body mass than do adult marine mammals. Innes et al. (1987) reported that juvenile consumption rates for otariids and phocids is 1.4 to 1.8 times the rates of adult seals.

The feeding rates in Table 2 are nonlinear with respect to body mass; use of a mean value for the biomass of a given species will slightly overestimate the energy consumption. The inclusion in the biomass, without correction, of juveniles which consume at a higher rate than adults will result in an energy consumption value (determined from the feeding rates in Table 2) which slightly underestimates the actual energy consumption, Since these two errors are largely

16

compensating and since the net error is small in comparison with uncertainties in both the population size and diet data, no correction has been made.

Effects of Energy Value of Diet

Estimated energy values of the average diet of each marine mammal species (Table 3) do not take into account intraannual changes in the energy content of prey species (except for Pacific herring, *Clupea pallasi*, and capelin, *Mallotus villosus*) because data were not available to make seasonal averages of estimated energy content. Fat content of some fish species (e.g., Pacific herring, capelin) changes significantly between spawning (summer) and foraging (winter) periods. For many other fishes (e.g., walleye pollock), fat content does not vary appreciably during the year (Kizevetter 1971).

Food consumption estimates based on average daily energy requirements for marine mammals (e.g., sea otter, walrus) that feed extensively on benthic invertebrates may underestimate the true biomass of mollusks and echinoderms which are preyed on by these mammals. This is because the mass of shell or other exoskeleton of these invertebrates cannot be estimated by this method. Only consumption on fish stocks was considered in this paper. The magnitude of predation on standing stocks of invertebrates eaten by marine mammals was not assessed.

Consumption Estimates from Other Studies

Our estimates of food consumption for northern fur seals are about 20% lower than values reported by McAlister and Perez (1977) (Table 5). This difference is largely due to a decline in the population of northern fur seals during the 1970s and 1980s and also reflects a difference in feeding rates used between the two studies. The data in Table 5 for northern fur seals from Perez and Mooney (1986) is for 3 months only, and only includes adult females. Their estimated consumption value is consistent with the data reported here. It should be noted, however, that the studies reported in Table 5 refer to Bering Sea regions defined by each particular

investigation. They are similar, but not identical to the eastern Bering Sea area as defined here (Fig. 1).

The estimates of food consumption reported by Ashwell-Erickson and Elsner (1981) for harbor seals and spotted seals are 2.3 and 1.3 times higher, respectively, than values reported here (Table 5). The difference is primarily a result of population estimates for both species used in this study (based on current data) that were one-half to one-third those used by Ashwell-Erickson and Elsner (1981).

Our estimate for gray whales was about half the lower end of the range reported by Nerini (1984) (Table 5). Nerini, however, estimated an effective feeding population in the Bering Sea 53% higher than the value used here (Table 1). The difference is largely because Nerini estimated food consumption for gray whales for the entire Bering Sea, including the Gulf of Anadyr, whereas the present study limited the area of concern to the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands areas. Estimated food consumption of gray whales by Frost and Lowry (198 1) was for the Bering and Chukchi Sea areas, and was based on more than twice the number of feeding days used **by** Nerini (1984).

Several ecosystem models of the Bering Sea, based on fisheries data, have been developed (Laevastu et al. 1976; Laevastu **and** Favorite 1977; Laevastu and Larkins 1981). In these simulation models, marine mammal food consumption rates typically appear as input parameters; commercial fisheries, marine mammals, and birds are considered to be apex predators. For their models, Laevastu and Larkins (198 1) generated marine mammal population and feeding rate distributions based **on** literature sources. Population estimates and feeding rates used in the simulation model of Laevastu and Larkins (198 1) were higher than those used here. Computations using spatially gridded ecosystem models reported by Laevastu and Larkins (198 1) produced an estimate of 2.67×10^6 t for total fish consumption by marine mammals in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region, or 1.77×10^6 t for only the Bering Sea (Table 5). Their research area was somewhat larger than that used in this study (see data sources in Laevastu et al. 1980a, 1980b). Approximately one-half of the Aleutian Islands area considered by Laevastu and

Taxonomic group and data source	Estimated annual food consumption by population $(10^{3}t)$	Estimated annual fish consumption by population (10 ³ t)
Northern fur seal: McAlister and Perez (1977): Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Bering Sea only Perez and Mooney (1986) ^a	540 447 190	439 375 126
Harbor seal: Ashwell-Erickson and Elsner (1981)	432	290
Present study Spotted seal: Ashwell-Erickson and Elsner (1981) Present study	43 118 89	32 92 86
Gray whale: Zimushko and Lenskaya (1970) ^b Frost and Lowry (1981) ^b Nerini (1984) Present study	850 2,700 - 3,240 571 - 1,674 272	
Marine Mammals (all species combined): Laevastu and Larkins (1981): Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands [°] Bering Sea only [°] Present study	11,504 (10,124) 7,333 (6,453) 3,210	2,666 (2,346) 1,773 (1,560) 1,340

Table 5.-Representative estimates of total annual food and fish consumption by marine mammal populations of the Bering Sea region previously reported in the literature.

^a Estimates are for the adult female population during July-September only.

^b Estimates include food biomass eaten by animals in the Chukchi Sea.

^c Laevastu and Larkins (1981) stated that these estimated maximum consumption values should be reduced by 12% to attain equilibrium of calculations in their ecosystem simulation models. The lower equilibrium consumption estimates are shown in parentheses.

Larkins (198 1) lies south of the Aleutian Islands in the North Pacific Ocean, and they also included most of the Gulf of Anadyr as part of the Eastern Bering Sea.

Laevastu and Larkins (198 1) defined their food and fish consumption estimates as "plausible maximum values" because "simulation results indicate that the Bering Sea and Aleutian ecosystems cannot accommodate such a high consumption unless their carrying capacity is greater than that estimated in the model" (Laevastu and Larkins 1981). Equilibrium results were only obtained in the model when marine mammal inputs were reduced by a minimum of 12%. Operating inputs were 1.56×10^6 t per year of fish consumption by marine mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea and 2.35 x 10^6 t per year of fish consumption by marine mammals in both the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands areas combined (Table 5).

Although the total fish consumption by marine mammals predicted by the simulation model (Laevastu and Larkins 1981) was only about 15% higher than total fish consumption reported here (Table 5) the allocation of food consumption among individual marine mammal species in the model is quite different from the food consumption by species distribution obtained here. Because the model uses only total fish consumption by apex predators, the model will function as long as the totals are correct without regard to allocation among apex predators. The ecosystem model of Laevastu and Larkins (198 1) also defines feeding rates for marine mammals as percentage of **body** mass per day, and does not account for varying energy densities of prey.

The allocation of food consumption among marine mammal species is arbitrary in these models, and although generally done to conform to realistic values, no allocation is either predicted or confirmed **by** such simulation models, In using these models, care must be taken in interpreting consumption estimates because the models can generate essentially similar equilibrium states with a wide variety of marine mammal population and feeding rate inputs. It is the total fish consumption **by** apex predators and the distribution of prey consumption which drives these models, not the allocation among apex predators.

Utilization of Fishery Standing Stocks

Groundfish in the Eastern Bering Sea and the adjacent Aleutian Islands area have been exploited commercially for many years, Management programs for species of commercial interest have developed stock estimates for these species (Bakkala 1984; Low 1984; Low 1991) which have been used in ecosystem models (Laevastu and Larkins 1981). Standing stock estimates from resource assessment surveys also exist for demersal species (Sample and Wolotira 1985; Bakkala et al. 1992; Harrison 1993) (Table 6). Standing stock estimates were given by Laevastu and Larkins (1981) for all fish species, including pelagic species (Table 6). Their estimates were essentially the values required to operate the PROBUB and DYNUMES models in an equilibrium condition given fixed apex predation (fishery catch and marine mammal inputs).

The estimated catch and standing stock values for all fish species (Laevastu and Larkins 1981) and for groundfish stocks (Bakkala 1988; Low 1991) cover similar, but not identical, areas to those used in this study. Their "eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region" includes part of the North Pacific Ocean to the south of the Aleutian Islands (EAI, expanded Aleutian Islands area; stock estimates given in column 4 of Table 6, section A) and also deletes the area adjacent and north of the Aleutian Islands in their definition of the Eastern Bering Sea (RBS, reduced Bering Sea; stock estimates given in column 3 of Table 6, section A). Accordingly, percent of standing biomass of fish consumed (Table 7) has been calculated with both sets of catch and standing stock data. The range minimum was taken from the analyses for both the RBS and EAI areas combined compared to the probable lower estimate of fish consumption by marine mammal species in Table 4. The range maximum has been taken from the RBS analysis only compared to the probable upper level estimate of marine mammal fish consumption in Table 4. The mean value is the average of estimates calculated for the combined RBS and EAI areas and only the RBS area compared to the current estimates of marine mammal fish consumption.

Commercially important groundfish species comprised 99% of the fish caught during 1988-90 in the combined domestic and joint venture groundfish fisheries (Low 1991). These

Taxa		Eastern Bering Sea	Norton Sound	Eastern Bering Sea and Norton Sound (combined)	Aleutian Islands and southern Bering Sea	Total Region
(A) By	y species:					
•	Walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma)					
	Total stock	11,581.2	0.8	11,582.0	3,901.0	15,483.0
	Demersal stock only	7,052.9	0.4	7,053.3	264.8	7,318.1
	Midwater stock only ^c	4,528.3	0.4	4,528.7	280.7	4,809.4
	Pelagic stock only ^d	•	-	-	3,355.5	3,355.5
٠	Pacific cod (Gadus macrocephallus)	968.9	-	968.9	183.8	1,152.7
٠	Rockfishes (Scorpaenidae)	44.4	-	44.4	637.5	681.9
•	Sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria)	30.8	-	30.8	21.1	51.9
•	Atka mackerel (Pleurogrammus monopeirygius)	-	-	-	688.2	688.2
٠	Flatlishes (Pleuroneclidae)	6,847.5	11.9	6,859.4	167.0	7,026.4
٠	Pacific herring (Clupea pallasi)					
	Semidemersal stock only	164.4	0.1	164.5	0.6	165.1
	Other fish species ^e	875.1	66.6	941.7	74.2	1,015.9
	Total	20,512.3	79.4	20,591.7	5,673.4	26,265.1
(B) By	/ subgroup:					
	Commercial fish species/					
	(Survey estimate)	19,637.2	12.8	19,650.0	5,599.2	25,249.2
	Commercial fish species b,g					
	(Ecosystem model estimate)	-	-	23,646.0	8,842.0	32,488.0
	Noncommercial fish species ^{b,g}					
	(Ecosystem model estimate)	•	-	12,700.0	3,800.0	16,500.0
	Total standing stock ^{b.g}					
	(Ecosystem model estimate)	-	-	36,346.0	12,642.0	48,988.0

Table 6.--Estimates of the standing stock (thousands of metric tons) of important fish species in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region based on trawl survey estimates^a, midwater acoustic surveys ^a, and ecosystem model computations ^b. Species of commercial importance are denoted by an asterisk.

^a Based on data in Sample and Wolotira (1985) and Bakkala et al. (1992) for the Eastern Bering Sea and Norton Sound; and data in Harrison (1993) for the Aleutian Islands and southern Bering Sea area.

^b Based on data in Laevastu and Larkins (1981).

^c Midwater walleye pollock has not been surveyed in Nothern Sound and the Aleutian islands areas. The biomass of midwater pollock for these two areas was estimated using the average ratio of midwater 10 demersal pollock flocks observed in 4 years of biennial bonom trawl and hydroacoustic (midwater) surveys in the rcmalning Eastern Bering Sea (1.06. 1; Bakkala et al. 1992).

^d Based on data in Okada (1986).

Table 6.--Continued.

- ^e These values were based on trawl survey estimates, and are not representative of the abundance of other fish species, especially pelagic stocks (including pelagic stocks of Pacific herring).
- f Based on the data above in Section A of this table, excluding other fish species
- ^g All stocks (pelagic, demersal, etc.) of fish species in their respective subgroups are included in these estimates.

	Estimated annual fish consumption (10 ³ t)	Estimated percent commercial groundfish species ^a	Estimated percent of standing biomass of fish consumed annually in the Eastern Bering Sea				
Predator			All fish species ^b	Commercial groundfish species ^c	Other fish species ^b		
Northern fur seal	289.7	56 (38)	0.7 (0.2 - 2.0)	0.7 (0.2 - 2.1)	0.9 (0.3 - 2.6)		
Northern sea lion	140.7	69 (52)	0.3 (0.1 - 1.5)	0.4 (0.1 - 2.0)	0.3 (0.1 - 1.4)		
Other pinnipeds	435.4	13 (8)	1.1 (0.4 - 1.9)	0.3 (0.1 - 0.6)	2.6 (0.9 - 4.7)		
Subtotal (pinnipeds)	865.8	37 (24)	2.1 (0.7 - 5.4)	1.4 (0.4 - 4.7)	3.8 (1.3 - 8.7)		
Mysticetes	42.4	34 (4)	0.1 (0.0 - 0.3)	0.1 (0.0 - 0.2)	0.2 (0.1 - 0.5)		
Odontocetes	403.1	28 (9)	0.9 (0.3 - 1.5)	0.5 (0.2 - 0.8)	2.0 (0.6 - 3.1)		
Subtotal (cetaceans)	445.5	28 (7)	1.0 (0.3 - 1.8)	0.6 (0.2 - 1.0)	2.2 (0.7 - 3.6)		
Sea otter	28.3	8 (1)	0.1 (0.0 - 0.1)	Trace	0.2 (0.1 - 0.2)		
Total (marine mammals)	1 ,3 39.6	33 (14)	3.2 (1.0 - 7.3)	2.0 (0.6 - 5.7)	6.2 (2.1 - 12.5)		
Commercial fisheries ^d	1,800.5	99	3.7 (5.8)	7.1 (11.3)	Trace		

Table 7.--Estimated percent of standing stock biomass of fish consumed annually by marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the Eastern Bering Sea. The numbers in parentheses are possible range values.

d Expressed as a percentage of the value in column 1; the numbers in parentheses refer to the estimated percentage of groundfish in the total diet

^b Based on the total standing stock values (ecosystem model estimates; Laevastu and Larkins 1981) from Table 6.

^c Based on the biomass estimates (survey estimates) for commercial fish species from Table 6

^d Based on data in Low (1991).

species represent only an estimated 30-40% of the total biomass of fish eaten by marine mammals in the same area. For the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region, marine mammals consume 3.2% (1.0-7.3%) annually of the standing biomass of all fish stocks; 2.0% (0.6-5.7%) of commercially important groundfish stocks; and 6.2% (2.1-12.5%) of all other fish stocks (Table 7). Groundfish fisheries during 1988-90 took an average of 3.7% of all fish biomass and 7.1% of commercial groundfish stocks annually (Table 7). Based on full utilization of the long-term potential yield (2.84×10^6 t per year) for all groundfish resources in the Bering Sea (Low 1991), commercial groundfish fisheries could take annually up to 5.8% of the standing biomass of all fish stocks and 11.3% of commercially important groundfish stocks in the Eastern Bering Sea. The combined annual harvest by various groundfish fisheries and marine mammal consumption was estimated at 6.9% (13.1% potential total take) for all fish species and 9.1%(17.0% potential total take) for commercially important groundfish stocks (Table 7).

Although marine mammals and commercial fisheries take fish from the same stocks, they generally take different sizes of fish. Marine mammals usually consume fish smaller than 30 cm, although larger fish are sometimes eaten (Nemoto 1957, 1959; Crawford 1981; Frost and Lowry 1981, 1986; Seaman et al. 1982; Bukhtiyarov et al. 1984; Perez and Bigg 1986). The commercial fisheries predominantly catch larger fish (Bakkala 1988). Walleye pollock comprised 74% of fish caught in joint venture and domestic groundfish fisheries during 1988-90 (Low 1991). Frost and Lowry (1986) reported data on the size and age groups of walleye pollock eaten by six marine mammal species. Only northern sea lions and harbor seals forage significantly on walleye pollock caught in fisheries were older than age 3 (Bakkala 1988). Based on the estimated fish consumption data in Tables 3 and 4 and on the diet data summarized in Perez (1990), annual consumption of walleye **pollock** by marine mammals in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands areas was estimated at 0.30×10^6 t. This accounts for 2% of the total standing biomass (Table 6) of walleye pollock; 45% of this estimate were fish 1 year old and 46% were fish 2-3 years old. The commercial fisheries catch (average take of pollock during 1988-90 was 1.33 x 10^6 t per year; Low 1991)

25

accounts for 9% of the estimated standing biomass of walleye pollock in the region; few fish were younger than 2 years in age, and 34% of this take were fish 2-3.years old (Bakkala 1988). Overlap in predation between marine mammals and commercial fisheries for the walleye pollock resource occurs primarily on age-2 fish, with the fisheries taking about twice as much as marine mammals.

In the case of the northern fur seal, Livingston (1989) stated that its greatest competition for age-1 pollock as food came from the cannibalistic adult walleye pollock. Assuming that no other predators increase their consumption of walleye pollock, the short-term effect of the fishery is to increase the amount of age-1 pollock available to fur seals (Livingston 1989). This result is in agreement with the modeling exercises of Laevastu et al. (1980b). Livingston (1989) also suggested that Eastern Bering Sea fisheries may have an indirect beneficial effect on the food supply of northern fur seals by removing the other predator.

In the absence of data on prey preference or data on costs of shifts in prey composition of the diet, no definitive statements can be made on the noncommercial stock take. The stock biomass estimates used in this paper, proposed by Laevastu and Larkins (198 1), for noncommercial stock levels are consistent with the consumption values derived here. Also, if the relative importance of fish to the diet of marine mammals should vary appreciably from the values used in this study, then the level of marine mammal predation on the standing stocks of fish in the Eastern Bering Sea also would change.

CITATIONS

- Alverson, D. L. 1992. A review of commercial fisheries and the Steller sea lion (Eumetopias jubatus): the conflict arena. Rev. Aquat. Sci. 6:203-256.
- Ashwell-Erickson, S., and R. Elsner. 1981. The energy cost of free existence for Bering Sea harbor and spotted seals, p. 869-899. In D. W. Hood and J. A. Calder (eds.), The Eastern Bering Sea shelf oceanography and resources, Vol. 2. Univ. Washington Press, Seattle, WA.
- Bakkala, R. G. 1984. Research and commercial fisheries data bases for Eastern Bering Sea groundfish, p. 39-66. In Proceedings of the workshop on biological interactions among marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the southeastern Bering Sea. Alaska Sea Grant Report 84-1) Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Bakkala, R. G. (ed.). 1988. Condition of groundfish resources of the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region in 1987. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-139, 192 p.
- Bakkala, R. G., W. A. Karp, G. F. Walters, T. Sasaki, M. T. Wilson, T. M. Sample, A. M. Shimada, D. Adams, and C. E. Annistead. 1992. Distribution, abundance, and biological characteristics of groundfish in the Eastern Bering Sea based on results of U.S.--Japan bottom trawl and midwater surveys during June-September 1988. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-213, 362 p.
- Berzin, A. A. 1984. Soviet studies on the distribution and numbers of the gray whale in the Bering and Chukchi Seas from 1968 to 1982, p, 409-419. In M. L. Jones, S. L. Swartz, and S. Leathenvood (eds.), The gray whale: *Eschrichtius robustus*. Academic Press, Orlando, FL.
- Berzin, A. A., and A. A. Rovnin. 1966. Raspredelenie i migratsii kitov v severovostochnoi chasti Tikhogo okeana, v Beringovom i Chukotskom moryakh [The distribution and migrations of whales in the northeastern part of the Pacific, Chukchee [sic] and Bering Seas].
 Izvestiya Tikhookeanskogo Nauchno-Issledovatel'skogo Instituta Rybnogo Khozyaistva i Okeanografii (TINRO) 58: 179-207. In Russian. [Translation, 1966. Pages 103-136 In K. I. Panin (ed.), Soviet research on marine mammals of the Far East. U.S. Dep. Interior, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Seattle, WA. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.]

- Bouchet, G. C., and A. G. Haines. 1985. Estimation of the abundance of Dali's porpoise (*Phocoenoides* dalli): report of 1984 survey efforts and re-evaluation of previous survey efforts. Document submitted to the annual meeting of the Scientific Subcommittee, Ad Hoc Committee on Marine Mammals, International North Pacific Fisheries Commission, Tokyo, 11-15 March 1985, 22 p. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.
- Braham, H. W. 1984a. The status of endangered whales: an overview. U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv. Mar. Fish. Rev. 46(4):2-6.
- Braham, H. W. 19843. The bowhead whale, *Balaena mysticetus*. U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv. Mar. Fish. Rev. 46(4):45-53.
- Braham, H. W., J. J. Bums, G. A. Fedoseev, and B. D. Krogman. 1984. Habitat partitioning by ice-associated pinnipeds: distribution and density of seals and walruses in the Bering Sea, April 1976, p. 25-47. /jr F. H. Fay and G. A. Fedoseev (eds.), Soviet-American cooperative research on marine mammals, Vol. 1: Pinnipeds. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS-12.
- Briggs, L., and C. W. Fowler. 1984. Tables and figures of the basic population data for the northern fur seals of the Pribilof Islands. Background paper submitted to the 27th annual meeting of the North Pacific Fur Seal Commission, Moscow, April 9-13, 1984, 35 p. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.
- Brueggeman, J. J., R. A. Grotefendt, and A. W. Erickson. 1984. Endangered whale abundance and distribution in the Navarin Basin of the Bering Sea during the ice-free period, p. 201-236. *In* Proceedings of the workshop on biological interactions among marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the southeastern Bering Sea. Alaska Sea Grant Report 84-1, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Bukhtiyarov, Y. A., K. J. Frost, and L. F. Lowry. 1984. New information on foods of the spotted seal, *Phoca larghn*, in the Bering Sea in spring, p. 55-59. *In* F. H. Fay and G. A. Fedoseev (eds.), Soviet-American cooperative research on marine mammals, Vol. 1: Pinnipeds. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS-12.
- Bums, J. J. 1970. Remarks on the distribution and natural history of pagophilic pinnipeds in the Bering and Chukchi Seas. J. Mammal. 51:445-454.
- Calkins, D. G., and K. B. Schneider. 1985. The sea otter (*Enhydra lutris*). Alaska Dep. Fish Game Tech. Bull. 7:37-45.
- Costa, D. P. 1978. The ecological energetics, water and electrolyte balance of the California sea otter, *Enhydra lutris*. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. California, Santa Cruz, CA, 75 p.

- Costa, D. P. 1982. Energy, nitrogen, and electrolyte flux and sea water drinking in the sea otter *Enhydra lutris*. Physiol. Zool. 55:35-44.
- Crawford, T. W. 1981. Vertebrate prey of *Phocoenoides dalli*, (Dall's porpoise), associated with the Japanese high seas salmon fishery in the North Pacific Ocean. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Washington, Seattle, WA 72 p.
- Everitt, R. D., and H. W. Braham. 1980. Aerial survey of Pacific harbor seals in the southeastern Bering Sea. Northwest Sci. 54:281-288.
- Fay, F. H. 1974. The role of ice in the ecology of marine mammals of the Bering Sea,p. 383-399. In D. W. Hood and E. J. Kelley (eds.), Oceanography of the Bering Sea.Occasional Publication 2, Institute of Marine Science, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Fay, F. H., and B. P. Kelly. 1990. Development of a method for monitoring the productivity, survivorship, and recruitment of the Pacific walrus population, p. 77-80. *In* Conference proceedings, Alaska OCS region third information transfer meeting, January 30 to February 1,1990, Anchorage, Alaska. Available MBC Applied Environmental Sciences, 947 Newhall Street, Costa Mesa CA 92627, as OCS Study MMS 90-0041, 220 p.
- Fay, F. H., H. M. Feder, and S. W. Stoker. 1977. An estimation of the impact of the Pacific walrus population on its food resources in the Bering Sea. U.S. Mar. Mammal Comm. Rep. MMC-75/06 and 74/03, 38 p. Available Nat1 Tech. Inf Serv., Springfield, VA as PB-273-505.
- Fay, F. H., B. P. Kelly, and. J. L. Sease. 1989. Managing the exploitation of pacific walruses: a tragedy of delayed response and poor communication. Mar. Mammal Sci. 5:1-16.
- Fiscus, C. H., D. J. Rugh, and T. R. Loughlin. 1981. Census of northern sea lion (*Eumetopias jubatus*) in central Aleutian Islands, Alaska, 17 June-15 July 1979, with notes on other marine mammals and birds, U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-17, 109 p.
- Fowler, C. W. 1982. Interactions of northern fin seals and commercial fisheries. Trans. N. Am. Wild]. Nat. Resour. Conf. 47:278-292.
- Frost, K. J. 1985. The ringed seal (Phoca hispidu). Alaska Dep. Fish Game Tech. Bull. 7:79-87.
- Frost, K. J., and L. F. Lowry. 198 1, Foods and trophic relationships of cetaceans in the Bering Sea, p. 825-836. In D. W. Hood and J. A. Calder (eds.), The Eastern Bering Sea shelf oceanography and resources, Vol. 2. Univ. Washington Press, Seattle, WA.
- Frost, K. J., and L. F. Lowry. 1986. Sizes of walleye pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma*, consumed by marine mammals in the Bering Sea. Fish. Bull., U.S. 84:192-197.

- Gilbert, J. R. 1989. Aerial census of Pacific walruses in the Chukchi Sea, 1985. Mar. Mammal Sci. 5: 17-28.
- Harrison, R. C. 1993. Data report: 1991 bottom trawl survey of the Aleutian Islands area, U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-12, 144 p.
- Hood, D. W., and E. J. Kelley (eds.). 1974. Oceanography of the Bering Sea with emphasis on renewable resources. Occasional Publication 2, Institute of Marine Science, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, 623 p.
- Innes, S., D. M. Lavigne, W.M. Earle, and K. M. Kovacs. 1987. Feeding rates of seals and whales. J. Anim. Ecol. 56: 115-130.
- International Whaling Commission. 1988. Report of the Scientific Committee, Annex H: Report of the Sub-Committee on Protected Species and Aboriginal Subsistence Whaling. Rep. Int. Whaling Comm. 38:109-116.
- Jones, L. L. 1984. Incidental take of the Dali's porpoise and the harbor porpoise by Japanese salmon driftnet fisheries in the western North Pacific. Rep. Int. Whaling Comm. 34:531-539.
- Kajimura, H., and C. W. Fowler. 1984. Apex predators in the walleye pollock ecosystem in the eastern Bering Sea and the Aleutian Islands regions, p. 193-293. *In* D. H. Ito (ed.), Proceedings of the workshop on walleye pollock and its ecosystem in the Eastern Bering Sea. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-62.
- Kajimura, H., R. H. Lander, M. A. Perez, A. E. York, and M. A. Bigg. 1979. Preliminary analysis of pelagic fur seal data collected by the United States and Canada during 1958-74. Report submitted to the 22nd annual meeting of the North Pacific Fur Seal Commission, Washington, D.C., April 9-13, 1979, 247 p. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.
- Kajimura, H., R. H. Lander, M. A. Perez, A. E. York, and M. A. Bigg. 1980. Further analysis of pelagic fur seal data collected by the United States and Canada during 1958-74. Parts I (94 p.) and II (172 p.). Report submitted to the 23rd annual meeting of the North Pacific Fur Seal Commission, Moscow, April 14-17, 1980. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98 115-0070.
- Kasamatsu, F., and T. Hata. 1985. Notes on minke whales in the Okhotsk Sea-West Pacific area. Rep. Int. Whaling Comm. 35:299-304.
- Kasuya, T., and S Ohsumi. 1984. Further analysis of the Baird's beaked whale stock in the western North Pacific. Rep. Int. Whaling Comm. 34:587-595.

- Kizevetter, I. V. 1971. Tekhnologicheskaya i khimicheskaya kharakteristika promyslovykh ryb tikhookeanskogo basseina [Chemistry and technology of Pacific fish]. Izdatel'stvo, "Dal'izdat", Vladivostok, Russia. 297 p. In Russian. [Translation. 1973. Israel Program Sci. Transl., Jerusalem, 304 p. Available Nat1 Tech. Inf. Serv., Springfield, VA., as TT72-50019.1
- Kleiber, M. 1961. The fire of life--an introduction to animal energetics. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, 454 p.
- Laevastu, T., F. Favorite, and W. B. McAlister. 1976. Ecosystem dynamics--birds and marine mammals, Part III: A dynamic numerical marine ecosystem model for evaluation of marine resources in the Eastern Bering Sea (final report), p. 264-377. *In* Environmental assessment of the Alaskan continental shelf, Quarterly Report (July-September) 1.
 U.S. Dep. Commer., Environmental Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.
- Laevastu, T., and F. Favorite. 1977. Summary review of dynamical marine ecosystem model (DYNUMES), p. 310-341. In Environmental assessment of the Alaskan continental shelf, Annual Report 12. U.S. Dep. Commer., Environmental Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.
- Laevastu, T., P. Livingston, and K. Niggol. 1980a. Marine mammals in fisheries ecosystem in the Eastern Bering Sea and in the northeastern Pacific Ocean, Part 1: Inputs of marine mammal data for ecosystem models PROBUB 80-1 and 80-2. NWAFC Processed Rep. 80-9, 67 p. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.
- Laevastu, T., P. Livingston, and K. Niggol. 1980b. Marine mammals in fisheries ecosystem in the Eastern Bering Sea and in the northeastern Pacific Ocean, Part 2: Consumption of fish and other marine biota by mammals in the Eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian region. NWAFC Processed Rep. 80-10, 13 p. Available Alaska Fish. ,Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98 115-0070.
- Laevastu, T., and H. A. Larkins. 1981. Marine fisheries ecosystem: its quantitative evaluation and management. Fishing News Books, Farham, UK, 162 p.
- Lander, R. H. (ed.). 1980. Summary of northern fur seal data and collection procedures, Vol. 2: Eastern Pacific pelagic data of the United States and Canada (excluding fur seals sighted).
 U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-4, 541 p.
- Lavigne, D. M., S. Innes, G. A. J. Worthy, K. M. Kovacs, O. J. Schmitz, and J. P. Hickie. 1986. Metabolic rates of seals and whales.' Can. J. Zool. 64:279-284.

- Livingston, P. A. 1989. Key fish species, northern fur seals, *Callorhinus ursinus*, and fisheries interactions involving walleye pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma*, in the Eastern Bering Sea. J. Fish Biol. 35:179-186.
- Loughlin, T. R., and L. L. Jones. 1984. Review of existing data base and of research and management programs for marine mammals in the Bering Sea, p. 79-99. *In* Proceedings of the workshop on biological interactions among marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the southeastern Bering Sea. Alaska Sea Grant Report 84-1, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Loughlin, T. R., and R. L. Merrick. 1988. Comparison of commercial harvest of walleye pollock and northern sea lion abundance in the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska, p. 679-700. *In* Proceedings of the international symposium on the biology and management of walleye pollock. Alaska Sea Grant Report 89-1, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Loughlin, T. R., C. H. Fiscus, A. M. Johnson, and D. J. Rugh. 1982. Observations of *Mesopiodon stejnegeri* (Ziphiidae) in the central Aleutian Islands, Alaska. J. Mammal. 63:697-700.
- Loughlin, T. R., A. S. Perlov, and V. A. Vladimirov. 1990. Survey of northern sea lions (*Eumetopiasjuba/us*) in the Gulf of Alaska and Aleutian Islands. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC- 176, 26 p.
- Low, L. 1984. Review of existing fisheries management programs in the Bering Sea, including utilization of current data bases, p. 67-78. *In* Proceedings of the workshop on biological interactions among marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the southeastern Bering Sea. Alaska Sea Grant Report 84-1, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Low, L. (ed.). 1991. Status of living marine resources off Alaska as assessed in 1991. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-211, 95 p.
- Lowry, L. F. 1982. Documentation and assessment of marine mammal-fishery interactions in the Bering Sea. Trans. N. Am. Wild]. Nat. Resour. Conf 47:300-311.
- Lowry, L. F. 1984. A conceptual assessment of biological interactions among marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the Bering Sea, p, 101-117. *In* Proceedings of the workshop on biological interactions among marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the southeastern Bering Sea. Alaska Sea Grant Report 84-1, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Lowry, L. F. 1985a. The spotted seal (*Phoca largha*). Alaska Dep. Fish Game Tech. Bull. 7:89-96.
- Lowry, L. F. 39853. The ribbon seal (*Phocafasciata*). Alaska Dep. Fish Game Tech. Bull. 7:71-78.

- Lowry, L. F. 1985c. The belukha whale (*Delphinapterus leucas*). Alaska Dep. Fish Game Tech. Bull. 7:3-13.
- Lowry, L. F., and K. J. Frost. 1985. Biological interactions between marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the Bering Sea, p. 277-283. *In* J. R. Bedding-ton, R. J. H. Beverton, and D. M. Lavigne (eds.), Marine mammals and fisheries. George Allen and Unwin (Publishers), London, UK.
- Lowry, L. F., K. J. Frost, D. G. Calkins, G. L. Swartzman, and S. Hills. 1982. Feeding habits, food requirements, and status of Bering Sea marine mammals. Council Document Nos. 19 and 19A (annotated bibliography), 574 p. Available North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, P. 0. Box 103 136, Anchorage, AK 99510..
- Lowry, L. F., K. J. Frost, and T. R. Loughlin. 1988. Importance of walleye pollock in the diets of marine mammals in the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea, and implications for fishery management, p. 701-726. *In* Proceedings of the International Symposium on the Biology and Management of Walleye Pollock. Alaska Sea Grant Report 89-1, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- McAlister, W. B., and M. A. Perez. 1977. Ecosystem dynamics--birds and marine mammals, Part 1: Preliminary estimates of pinniped-finfish relationships in the Bering Sea (final report), p. 342-37 1. *In* Environmental assessment of the Alaskan continental shelf, Annual Report 12. U.S. Dep. Commer., Environmental Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98 115-0070.
- Merrick, R. M., T. R. Loughlin, and D. G. Calkins. 1987. Decline in abundance of the northern sea lion (*Eumetopiusjubatus*) in Alaska, 1956-86. Fish. Bull., U.S. 85:351-365.
- Nelson, R. R., J. J. Burns, and K. J. Frost. 1985. The bearded seal (*Erignathus barbatus*). Alaska Dep. Fish Game Tech. Bull. 7:55-63.
- Nemoto, T. 1957. Foods of baleen whales in the northern Pacific. Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst. Tokyo 12:33-89.
- Nemoto, T. 1959. Food of baleen whales with reference to whale movements. Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst. Tokyo 14:144-290.
- Nerini, M. 1984. A review of gray whale feeding ecology, p. 423-450. *In* M. L. Jones, S. L. Swartz, and S. Leather-wood (eds.). The gray whale: *Eschrichtius robustus*. Academic Press, Orlando, FL.
- North Pacific Fur Seal Commission. 1984. Proceedings of the 27th annual meeting, April 9-13, 1984, Moscow, U.S.S.R. North Pacific Fur Seal Commission, Washington, D.C., 50 p,

- Ohsumi, S., Y. Masaki, and S Wada. 1977. Seasonal distribution of sperm whales sighted by scouting boats in the North Pacific and Southern Hemisphere. Rep. Int. Whaling Comm. 27:308-323.
- Okada, K. 1986. Biological characteristics and abundance of pelagic pollock in the Aleutian basin. Int. North Pac. Fish. Comm. Bull. 45:150-176.
- Perez, M. A. 1990. Review of marine mammal population and prey information for Bering Sea ecosystem studies. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-186, 81 p.
- Perez, M. A., and M. A. Bigg. 1986. Diet of northern fi.n seals, *Callorhinus ursinus, off* western North America. Fish. Bull., U.S. 84:957-971.
- Perez, M. A., and M. A. Mooney. 1986. Increased food and energy consumption of lactating northern fur seals, *Callorhinus ursinus*. Fish. Bull., U.S. 841371-381.
- Perez, M. A., and T. R. Loughlin. 1986. Relationships among marine mammals and gadoid fishes: a comparison between the Bering Sea and the North Sea, p. 357-392. *In* M. Alton (compiler), Proceedings: A workshop on comparative biology, assessment, and management of gadoids from the North Pacific and Alaska areas, Part I. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., NO@ 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.
- Perez, M. A., W. B. McAlister, and E. E. Mooney. 1990. Estimated feeding rate relationship for marine mammals based on captive animal data. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-184, 30 p.
- Pitcher, K. W. 1985. The harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina richardsi* [sic]). Alaska Dep. Fish Game Tech. Bull. 7:65-70.
- Rugh, D. J. 1984. Census of gray whales at Unimak Pass, Alaska: November-December 1977-1979, p, 225-248. In M. L. Jones, S. L. Swartz, and S. Leatherwood (eds.). The gray whale: *Eschrichtius robustus*. Academic Press, Orlando, FL.
- Sambrotto, R. N., and J. J. Goering. 1984. Relevancy of southeast Bering Sea oceanographic studies to fisheries and marine mammals, p. 17-37. *In* Proceedings of the workshop on biological interactions among marine mammals and commercial fisheries in the southeastern Bering Sea. Alaska Sea Grant Rep. 84-1, Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Sample, T. M., and R. J. Wolotira, Jr. 1985. Demersal fish and shellfish resources of Norton Sound and adjacent waters during 1979. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/N-WC-89,208 p.
- Seaman, G. A., L. F. Lowry, and K. J. Frost. 1982. Foods of belukha whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*) inwestern Alaska. Cetology 44:1-19.

- Seaman, G. A., K. J. Frost, and L. F. Lowry. 1985. Investigations of belukha whales in coastal waters of western and northern Alaska. I. Distribution, abundance, and movements. Final report of OCSEAP Research Unit 512, 60 p. Available Alaska Dep. Fish Game, 1300 College Rd., Fairbanks, AK 99701.
- Sumich, J. L. 1983. Swimming velocities, breathing patterns, and estimated costs of locomotion in migrating gray whales, *Eschrichtius robustus*. Can. J. Zool. 61:647-652.
- Swartzman, G. L., and R. T. Haar. 1983. Interactions between fur seal populations and fisheries in the Bering Sea. Fish. Bull., U.S. 81:121-132.
- Tikhomirov, E. A. 1964. 0 raspredelenii i biologii lastonogikh Beringova Morya (materialy l-go ekspeditsionnogo reisa v 1962 g) [Distribution and biology of pinnipeds in the Bering Sea (from materials of the first expedition in 1962]. Trudy Vsesoyuznogo Nauchno-issledovatel'skogo Instituta Morskogo Rybnogo Khozyaistva Okeanografii (VNIRO) 53 [Izvestiya Tikhookeanskogo Nauchno-issledovatel'skogo Instituta Rybnogo Khozyaistva Okeanografii (TINRO) 52]:277-285. In Russian. [Translation. 1968. Israel Program Sci. Transl., Jerusalem, p, 272-280. *In* P. A. Moiseev (ed.), Soviet fisheries investigations in the northeast Pacific, Part 3. Available Nat1 Tech. Inf Serv., Springfield, VA, as TT67-5 1205.]
- Turnock, B. J. 1987. Analysis of experiments to assess movement of Dall's porpoise in relation to survey vessels and population estimates corrected for movement and visibility bias for the North Pacific Ocean. Document submitted to the annual meeting of the Scientific Subcommittee, Ad Hoc Committee on Marine Mammals, International North Pacific Fisheries Commission, Tokyo, 10-14 March 1987, 33 p. Available Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115-0070.
- Wada, S. 1980. Japanese whaling and whale sighting in the North Pacific 1978 season. Rep. Int. Whaling Comm. 30:415-424.
- Wada, S. 1981. Japanese whaling and whale sighting in the North Pacific 1979 season. Rep. Int. Whaling Comm. 31:783-792.
- Wahrenbrock, E. A., G. F. Maruschak, R. Elsner, and D. W. Kenney. 1974. Respiration and metabolism in two baleen whale calves. U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., Mar. Fish. Rev. 36(4): 1-9.
- York, A. E. 1987. Northern fur seal, *Callorhinus ursinus*, eastern Pacific population (Pribilof Islands, Alaska, and San Miguel Island, California, p. 9-21. *In* Croxall, J. P., and R. L. Gentry (eds.). Status, biology, and ecology of fur seals: proceedings of an international symposium and workshop, Cambridge, England, 23-27 April 1984. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 5 1.

Zimushko, V. V., and S. A. Lenskaya. 1970. O pitanii serogo kita (*Eschrichtius gibbosus* Erx.) na mestakh nagula [Feeding of the gray whale (*Eschrichtius robustus* Erx.) at foraging grounds]. Ekologiya, Akademii Nauk SSSR, 1(3):26-35. In Russian. [Translation. 1971. Consultants Bureau, Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York, NY, p. 205-212.]

RECENT TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS

Copies of this and other NOAA Technical Memorandums are available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22167 (web site: *www.ntis.gov*). Paper and microfiche copies vary in price.

AFSC-

- 13 BERGER, J. D. 1993. Comparisons between observed and reported catches of retained and discarded groundfish in the Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska, 89 p. NTIS No. PB93-184711.
- 12 HARRISON, R.C. 1993. Data report: 1991 bottom trawl survey of the Aleutian Islands area,144 p. NTIS No. PB93-186237.
- 11 LIVINGSTON, P. A., A. WARD, G. M. LANG, and M-S. YANG. 1993. Groundfish food habits and predation on commercially important prey species in the eastern Bering Sea from 1987 to 1989, 192 p. NTIS No. PB93-184703.
- 10 KINOSHITA, R. K., and J. M. TERRY. 1993. Oregon, Washington, and Alaska exports of edible fishery products, 1991, 47 p. NTIS No. PB93-159101.
- 9 KARINEN, J. F., M. M. BABCOCK, D. W. BROWN, W. D. MACLEOD, JR., L. S. RAMOS, and J.W. SHORT. 1993. Hydrocarbons in intertidal sediments and mussels from Prince William Sound, Alaska, 1977-1980: Characterization and probable sources, 69 p. NTIS No. PB93-159093.
- 8 WING, B. L. 1993. Winter oceanographic conditions in the eastern Gulf of Alaska, January-February 1986, 53 p. NTIS No. PB93-158335.
- 7 ARMISTEAD, C. E., and D. G. NICHOL. 1993. 1990 bottom trawl survey of the eastern Bering Sea and continental shelf, 190 p. NTIS No. PB93-156677.
- 6 WOLOTIRA, R. J., JR., T. M. SAMPLE, S. F. NOEL, and C. R. ITEN. 1993. Geographic and bathymetric distributions for many commercially important fishes and shellfishes off the West Coast of North America, based on research survey and commercial catch data, 1912-84, 184 p. NTIS No. PB93-167682.
- 5 GUTTORMSEN, M., R. NARITA, J. GHARRETT, G. TROMBLE, and J. BERGER. 1992. Summary of observer sampling of domestic groundfish fisheries in the northeast Pacific Ocean and Eastern Bering Sea, 1990, 281 p. NTIS No. PB93-159085.
- 4 GUTTORMSEN, M., R. NARITA, and J. BERGER. 1992. Summary of U. S. observer sampling of joint venture fisheries in the northeast Pacific Ocean and Eastern Bering Sea, 1990, 78 p. NTIS No. PB93-127546.
- 3 JOHNSON, P. A., S. D. RICE, and M. M. BABCOCK (compilers). 1992. Impacts of oil pollution and Prince William Sound studies: Bibliography of 1960-91 publications and reports, Auke Bay Laboratory, 98 p. NTIS No. PB93-114064.
- 2 KAJIMURA, H., and E. SINCLAIR. 1992. Fur seal investigations, 1990, 192 p. NTIS No. PB93-109080.
- 1 MERRICK, R. L., D. G. CALKINS, and D. C. MCALLISTER. 1992. Aerial and ship-based surveys of Steller sea lions (<u>Eumetopias jubatus</u>) in southeast Alaska, the Gulf of Alaska, and Aleutian Islands during June and July 1991, 41 p. NTIS No. PB92-235928.